

Environmental Protection Authority

Form for the referral of a proposal to the Environmental Protection Authority under Section 38 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*

Referrer information						
Who is referring this proposal?		 □ Proponent □ Decision-making authority ✓ Community member/third party 				
		Signature	· · · ·			
Position	Director	Organisation Conservation Council of WA			f WA	
Email	Piers.verstegen@ccwa.org	g.au				
Address	2	Delhi St				
	West Perth	'est Perth			WA	6005
Date	14/12/2018					
Does the referrer request that the EPA treat any part of the proposal information in the referral as confidential? Provide confidential information in a separate attachment.				☐ Yes ✓ No		
Referral declaration for organisations, proponents and decision-making authorities:						
I, Piers Verstegen, declare that I am authorised to refer this proposal on behalf of the Conservation Council of WA and further declare that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading.						
Part A: Proponent and proposal description						
Proponent information						
Name of the proponent/s (including Trading Name if relevant)			Vimy Resources			
Australian Comp OR Australian Busin		□ ✓	56 12	0 178 949		
Contact for the proposal (if different from the referrer) Please include: name, physical address, phone, and email.			✓ Yes □ No Julian Tapp Vimy Resources Ground Floor, 10 Richardson Street WEST PERTH WA 6005 +61 (08) 9389 2700 / 0414013836 itapp@vimyresources.com.au			

Does the preparent have the logal access required for the	
Does the proponent have the legal access required for the implementation of all aspects of the proposal? ✓ No	
If ves provide details of legal access authorisations /	
agreements / tenure. Mining Proposal including a Mine Closu Plan: Mining Act (1978).	re
If no, what authorisations / agreements / tenure is required	
and from whom? Radiation Management Plan: Mines Saf	ety
and Inspection Act (1994) and Regulation	15
(1995) & Radiation Safety Act (1975)	
Transport licenses: Mines Safety and	
Inspection Act (1994) and Regulations (1	
& Radiation Safety Transport of Radioac	ive
Materials (2008) Transport Code.	
Export licenses: Commonwealth Safegua	ırds
Act (1987) & Section 6 of the <i>Nuclear No</i>	n-
Proliferation Safeguards Act (1987) and transport uranium products & Reg 9 of	
Customs (Prohibited Exports) Regulation	ŝ
under the Customs Act (1901).	
Building consent: Planning and Develope Act (2005) and the Health Act (1911)	nent
The (2003) and the near the (1911)	
Miscellaneous licenses: Mining Act (197	<i>8)</i>
s.18 Permits: Aboriginal Heritage Act (1)	1721
	72)
Proposal type What type of proposal is being referred? □ significant – new proposal	
What type of proposal is being referred? ☐ significant – new proposal For a change to an approved proposal please state the	
Ministerial Statement number/s (MS No./s) of the proposal (MS 1046)	
approved proposal under an assessed planning	<i>,</i>
scheme	
For a derived proposal please state the Ministerial	
Statement number (MS No.) of the associated strategic III derived (Strategic MS No.:	١
Statement number (MS No.) of the associated strategic derived (Strategic MS No.:)
proposal)
proposal For a significant proposal: Why do you consider the proposal may have a The revised Mulga Rock Uranium Project proposal is significantly different from the proposal is significantly differe	е
proposal For a significant proposal: • Why do you consider the proposal may have a significant effect on the environment and warrant The revised Mulga Rock Uranium Project proposal is significantly different from the proposal which has been assessed previously.	е
proposal For a significant proposal: • Why do you consider the proposal may have a significant effect on the environment and warrant referral to the EPA? The revised Mulga Rock Uranium Project proposal is significantly different from the proposal which has been assessed previously the EPA, including	e ously
proposal For a significant proposal: • Why do you consider the proposal may have a significant effect on the environment and warrant referral to the EPA? The revised Mulga Rock Uranium Project proposal is significantly different from the proposal which has been assessed previously the EPA, including	e ously being
proposal For a significant proposal: • Why do you consider the proposal may have a significant effect on the environment and warrant referral to the EPA? The revised Mulga Rock Uranium Project proposal is significantly different from the proposal which has been assessed previously the EPA, including • the base metals plant replaced with a turnkey sulpacid plant	e ously being
proposal For a significant proposal: Why do you consider the proposal may have a significant effect on the environment and warrant referral to the EPA? The revised Mulga Rock Uranium Project proposal is significantly different from the proposal which has been assessed previously the EPA, including the base metals plant replaced with a turnkey sulp acid plant change from Princess	e ously peing huric to
proposal For a significant proposal: • Why do you consider the proposal may have a significant effect on the environment and warrant referral to the EPA? The revised Mulga Rock Uranium Project proposal is significantly different from the proposal which has been assessed previously the EPA, including • the base metals plant replaced with a turnkey sulpacid plant	e ously peing huric to

	initial ramp-up period due to higher grade ore being sourced; uranium production increased from 3.0 to 3.5Mlbs U3O8 per annum.
	See accompanying letter and attachment for further details.
For a proposal under an assessed planning scheme provide the following details:	,
Scheme name and number	
For the Responsible Authority:	
 What new environmental issues are raised by the proposal that were not assessed during the assessed of the planning scheme? 	
 How does the proposal not comply with the ass scheme and/or the environmental conditions in assessed planning scheme? 	
Proposal description	
Title of the proposal	Mulga Rock Uranium Project
Name of the Local Government Authority in which proposal is located.	the Shire of Menzies
Location: a) street address, lot number, suburb, and neares intersection; or b) if remote the nearest town and distance and different that town to the proposal site.	Victoria Desert. 240 NE of Kalgoorlie. Access
Proposal description – including the key characteristhe proposal Provide as an attachment to the form	The subject of this referral is the development of a Sulphuric Acid Plant for the processing or uranium ore and other changes to the original proposal. *note there are substantial other aspects of the proposal including 4 open cut mines and a temporary above ground tailings storage facility.
Have you provided electronic spatial data, maps an in the appropriate format? Refer to instructions at the front of the form	d figure ☐ Yes ✓ No
	he Unallocated Crown Land
What is the current land use on the property, and t extent (area in hectares) of the property?	THE STATE OF THE S
Have you had pre-referral discussions with the EPA DWER Services? If so, quote the reference number the DWER contact.	
Part B: Environmental impacts	
Environmental factors	
What are the likely significant environmental	☐ Benthic Communities and Habitat

factors for this proposal?		☐ Coastal Processes			
		☐ Marine Environmental Quality			
		☐ Flora and Vegetation			
		☐ Landform	ıs		
		☐ Subterranean Fauna			
		✓ Terrestrial Environmental Quality			
		☐ Terrestrial Fauna			
		☐ Inland Waters			
		✓ Air Quality	У		
		☐ Social Surroundings			
		✓ Human Health			
	ich of the environmental factors identified a nation in a supplementary report	bove, complete	the following table, or provide the		
Potentia	al environmental impacts				
1	EPA Factor		Nuclear Action		
2	EPA policy and guidance - What have you and how have you applied them in relation factor?		The Mulga Rock Uranium Project is a proposal for the mining and milling of uranium. Under section 21, 22 and 22A of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth) (EPBC Act) 'uranium mining and milling' are listed as a 'nuclear action' which is a Matter of National Environmental Significance (MNES) and requires assessment under the EPBC Act. The Mulga Rock Uranium Project is also in an area with listed threatened species and communities. Under section 18 and 18A of the EPBC Act listed threatened species and communities are a MNES and require assessment.		
3	Consultation – Outline the outcomes of consultation in relation to the potential environmental impacts		We are still trying to find a convenient time to meet with the proponent but are in communication with the them.		

The Mulga Rock Uranium Project **Receiving environment** – Describe the current condition proposal is within the #54 Yellow of the receiving environment in relation to this factor. Sandplain Priority Ecological Community (PEC) in the Goldfields Region. The environment is described as "Undulating yellow sandplain with an open upper stratum of Eucalyptus gongylocarpa, with or without a diverse mallee stratum of E. voungiana, E. mannensis, E. platycorys, over a sparse, though diverse shrubs over hummock grasses, Triodia desertorum or T. scariosa. Very high vertebrate diversity and unusual combinations of species (mixture of south-western and arid inter zones)." Threats to this PEC are listed as mining and exploration, extensive summer wildfires and feral predators. There are a number of rare and threatened species at the site as identified by the proponent in Assessment 1979. For the purpose of this referral which 5 **Proposal activities** – Describe the proposal activities is specific to the Sulphuric Acid Plant that have the potential to impact the environment and its impacts and other aspects of the proposal which are different from the original assessment, we identify that the threat to the environment stems from the design, construction and ongoing management of such a facility, added threats of transporting and management of sulphuric acid should be considered. Changes to the waste products from the facility and their short term and long term storage and management pose a significant and long term threat to the environment. Water consumption and contamination and management are all risk factors from the new processing plant. We note that there have been a number of incidents at other processing facilities at uranium mine sites which have led to workplace exposure of radiation levels above legal limits. The changes in proposed tailings storage also have the potential to present different, and significant environmental impacts that have not been assessed by the EPA as part of the original assessment.

6	Mitigation – Describe the measures proposed to manage and mitigate the potential environmental impacts.	We are unaware of the full range of risks or proposed mitigation strategies by the proponent as there is no public information about the features of the sulphuric acid plant.
7	Impacts – Assess the impacts of the proposal and review the residual impacts against the EPA objective.	The impacts of any accident or spillage from the plant could impact workers through exposure of radiation and could cause the spread of contaminated materials beyond the site and into the food chain. Acid on site could also lead to Acid Metalliferous Drainage (AMD). Proceeding without a full environmental assessment and alterations to other aspects of the proposal could lead a range of environmental issues with the management of tailings, water supply, waste rock and storage of chemicals.
8	Assumptions - Describe any assumptions critical to your assessment e.g. particular mitigation measures or regulatory conditions.	Not applicable.

Part C: Other approvals and regulation					
State and Local Government approvals					
Is rezoning of any land implemented? If yes, please provide definition of the second	posal can be	☐ Yes	✓ No		
	n referred by a decision	ı-making	NA		
· · · · ·	al(s) are required from	-			
Please identify other ap	pprovals required for the	e proposal:			
Proposal activities	roposal activities Land tenure/access Type of approval		al	Legislation regulating the	
e.g. clearing, dewatering, mining, processing, dredging	e.g. Crown land, Mining lease, specify legislation for access if relevant	e.g. Native Vegetation Clearing Permit, licence, mining proposal,		e.g. EP Act 1986 – Part V, RiWI Act 1914, Mining Act 1979	
Mining and Mine Closure.	Mining Lease	Mining Proposal and Mine Closure Plan.		Mining Act (1978).	
Uranium mining		Radiation Management Plan		Mines Safety and Inspection Act (1994) and Regulations (1995)	
				Radiation Safety Act (1975)	
Transport of Uranium		Transport of ura	ınium	Mines Safety and Inspection Act (1994) and Regulations (1995)	
				Radiation Safety Transport of Radioactive Materials (2008) Transport Code.	
Uranium mining, processing and transport		Dangerous Good Licences	ds	Dangerous Goods Safety Act (2004)	
infrastructure		Miscellaneous Licence		Mining Act (1978)	
Aboriginal Heritage		S.18 (remove and destroy Aboriginal heritage)		Aboriginal Heritage Act (1972)	
Construction		Building application and consent	tions	Planning and Development Act (2005) and the Health Act (1911)	

Part C: Other approvals and regulation					
State and Local Government approvals					
Selling / exporting uranium		export licences		Commonwealth Safeguards Act (1987) and Section 6 of the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Safeguards Act (1987) and transport uranium products. Reg 9 of Customs (Prohibited Exports) Regulations under the Customs Act (1901).	
Commonwealth Gover	nment approvals				
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?			✓ Ye	s 🗆 No	
	n been referred? If yes,		□ Ye	es ✓ No	
referred and what is th	e reference number (EP	BC NO.J?	Date:		
			EPBC No.:		
action is a controlled ac	on been made on wheth ction? If 'yes', check the		☐ Yes ☐ No		
and provide the decision	n in an attachment.		☐ Decision – controlled action		
			☐ Decision – not a controlled action		
If the proposal is determined to be a controlled action, do you request that this proposal be assessed under the bilateral			✓ Yes - Bilateral ☐ No		
agreement or as an acc		ic bilateral	☐ Yes - Accredited		
Is approval required from other Commonwealth Government/s			✓ Ye	s 🗆 No	
for any part of the proposal? If yes, describe.			Approval: Transport and export licenses		
			Radiation Safety Transport of Radioactive Materials (2008)		
			Commonwealth Safeguards Act (1987)		
		Section 6 of the <i>Nuclear Non-</i> <i>Proliferation Safeguards Act (1987)</i> and transport uranium products.			
		Reg 9 of Customs (Prohibited Exports) Regulations under the Customs Act (1901).			