00:00:02.800 --> 00:00:15.120

<v SPEAKER_1>In this episode, which we recorded July 17th, I'm talking
to David Coletto, the CEO of Abacus Data, to discuss some of his
recent research on public opinions towards Canadian Defence, and some
of the initiatives the current government is taking in this respect.

00:00:15.120 --> 00:00:24.380

<v SPEAKER_1>We also situate how Canadians are thinking about defence
against how they're thinking about the Prime Minister and his
government so far, and the national horse race between the parties.

00:00:26.060 --> 00:00:27.740

<v SPEAKER_1>David, welcome back to Defence Deconstructed.

00:00:28.240 --> 00:00:29.200

<v SPEAKER_2>Thanks for having me, David.

00:00:29.200 --> 00:00:31.000 <v SPEAKER_2>Great to be back.

00:00:31.000 --> 00:00:42.660

<v SPEAKER_1>So we want to have you on to chat because you've got some
new survey data with some extra defence related questions, I guess a
little bit more so than just your rolling survey that you're doing.

00:00:42.660 --> 00:00:47.980

<v SPEAKER_1>Walk us through some of what you're finding that
Canadians are thinking about their national defence.

00:00:47.980 --> 00:01:02.760

<v SPEAKER_2>Yeah, so just for context, the survey was done in middle
of June after Mark Carney's big announcement on what he describes as
this hinge moment and the investments that Canada is going to make and
meeting the NATO targets and going beyond.

00:01:02.760 --> 00:01:08.760

<v SPEAKER_2>And I think what we see just at the broad level is a few things.

00:01:08.760 --> 00:01:17.580

<v SPEAKER_2>One is, interestingly, rising trust and favourability
towards the Canadian Armed Forces more generally compared to the
beginning of the year.

00:01:17.580 --> 00:01:28.500

<v SPEAKER_2>We've seen a seven point increase in those who say they
have a positive view, which I think is an expression of the
conversation that we're having about this.

00:01:28.500 --> 00:01:42.040

<v SPEAKER_2>And there's even a larger increase in, even though I
don't think you would argue nothing materially has changed in the
Canadian Forces in that period, people are feeling more confident in
its ability to defend the country.

00:01:42.040 --> 00:01:44.200

<v SPEAKER_2>So I think, again, everything's about perception.

00:01:44.200 --> 00:01:53.520

<v SPEAKER_2>And the more we're talking about it, the more it seems
that, you know, Defence and the Armed Forces are in people's, are on
people's minds.

00:01:53.520 --> 00:02:03.000

<v SPEAKER_2>And that I think is benefiting public attitudes towards
it, which then leads them to, you know, showing overwhelming support,
I would say.

00:02:03.000 --> 00:02:09.100

<v SPEAKER_2>Solid majority, 62%, say they support the government's
decision to increase defence spending.

00:02:09.100 --> 00:02:18.920

<v SPEAKER_2>That's highest among liberal supporters, not surprising
given it's a liberal party and liberal government decision, but fairly
cross-partisan support.

 $00:02:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.100$

<v SPEAKER_2>60% of conservatives, even half of New Democrat
supporters, there's not many of them these days, but even half of them
say they favor that.

00:02:27.100 --> 00:02:32.540

<v SPEAKER_2>And I think that's a reflection of two things we've seen
more broadly.

00:02:32.540 --> 00:02:40.800

<v SPEAKER_2>We have seen, you know, a growing recognition that the
world is not in a great place, that it's more dangerous.

00:02:40.800 --> 00:02:51.380

<v SPEAKER_2>At the same time, because of Trump, Canadians recognize
that we can't rely on the US for security protection, for a range of
things.

00:02:51.380 --> 00:03:00.600

<v SPEAKER_2>And the result has been, I think, a foundation or an
environment in which the public is now far more open to this

conversation than they've been for many years.

00:03:00.600 --> 00:03:02.180

<v SPEAKER_2>We've been talking about this for years, David.

00:03:02.180 --> 00:03:06.500

<v SPEAKER_2>And, you know, every time you talk about defense
spending, it was never high up on the agenda.

00:03:06.500 --> 00:03:11.400

<v SPEAKER_2>It was never something people really were willing to sort
of back.

00:03:11.700 --> 00:03:13.820

<v SPEAKER_2>0verwhelmingly, I think that's changed.

00:03:13.820 --> 00:03:16.760

<v SPEAKER_2>And the world is a very different place in people's
minds.

00:03:16.760 --> 00:03:20.560

<v SPEAKER_2>And so when you ask them questions like, what's keeping
you up at night?

00:03:20.560 --> 00:03:25.280

<v SPEAKER_2>It's not as much of the, my cost of living is too
expensive.

00:03:25.280 --> 00:03:26.260

<v SPEAKER 2>I can't afford my home.

00:03:26.260 --> 00:03:29.020

<v SPEAKER 2>Those are still real issues for people.

00:03:29.020 --> 00:03:30.660

<v SPEAKER 2>But it's words like war.

00:03:30.660 --> 00:03:32.580

<v SPEAKER_2>It's words like uncertainty.

00:03:33.140 --> 00:03:34.040

<v SPEAKER 2>It's Trump.

00:03:34.040 --> 00:03:43.900

<v SPEAKER_2>And that, I think, has created this environment for, for
at least for now, public support for where the government wants to
take it.

00:03:43.900 --> 00:03:51.240

<v SPEAKER_2>In part, I think, my last point, and it's something we've

also talked about in the past, is how important leadership actually is on this.

00:03:51.240 --> 00:03:58.840

<v SPEAKER_2>That I think for almost 10 years, you had a government
that, and prime minister in particular, who didn't really want to talk
about this stuff, didn't lead the country there.

00:03:58.840 --> 00:04:07.700

<v SPEAKER_2>And I think Mark Carney in the short time he's been in
office, in part because of the environment, but in part because of his
consistency around this, has brought the public with them.

00:04:07.940 --> 00:04:10.840

<v SPEAKER_2>And so, I think that's why we are where we are.

00:04:10.840 --> 00:04:18.740

<v SPEAKER_2>And I think the polling, largely at this point, backs
what the government wants to do, or at least intending to do.

00:04:18.740 --> 00:04:21.840

<v SPEAKER_1>Yeah, I mean, I would just emphasize that last point you
made.

00:04:21.840 --> 00:04:22.120

<v SPEAKER_1>Mr.

00:04:22.120 --> 00:04:33.060

<v SPEAKER_1>Carney so far has made his defense announcements
basically in a way to attract attention rather than to avoid it, which
was how I would largely characterize Mr.

00:04:33.060 --> 00:04:37.720

<v SPEAKER_1>Trudeau's approach, at least in execution, even if that
wasn't the intent when Mr.

 $00:04:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.120$

<v SPEAKER_1>Carney made the announcement earlier in June about
significant additional spending.

00:04:42.120 --> 00:04:43.760

<v SPEAKER 1>He did it on a Monday.

00:04:43.940 --> 00:04:45.480

<v SPEAKER 1>He made a media availability.

00:04:45.480 --> 00:04:46.480

<v SPEAKER_1>It was in the morning.

00:04:46.480 --> 00:04:48.680

<v SPEAKER 1>It was at the front of the weekly news cycle.

00:04:48.680 --> 00:04:50.180

<v SPEAKER 1>Whereas a lot of what Mr.

00:04:50.180 --> 00:04:53.640

<v SPEAKER 1>Trudeau did, even if it was, and to be clear so far, Mr.

00:04:53.640 --> 00:04:56.620

<v SPEAKER_1>Trudeau committed much, much more money than Mr.

00:04:56.620 --> 00:05:04.460

<v SPEAKER_1>Carney has so far, in terms of actually committing
dollars, not making pledges to hit targets, which is just that's a
difference that matters.

00:05:05.620 --> 00:05:05.840

<v SPEAKER_1>Mr.

00:05:05.840 --> 00:05:21.280

<v SPEAKER_1>Trudeau made those commitments in a way that largely, if
it wasn't intentional, was sure by accident, a whole set of mechanics
that maximized the opportunity to not have people notice what he was
saying or what he was doing.

00:05:21.280 --> 00:05:21.720

<v SPEAKER 2>Yeah.

00:05:21.720 --> 00:05:24.680

<v SPEAKER 2>And I think we're in a different world now, right?

00:05:24.680 --> 00:05:31.160

<v SPEAKER_2>And in fact, the public opinion is requiring, I think,
answers from political leaders.

00:05:31.160 --> 00:05:37.440

<v SPEAKER_2>And so you can't put this on a Friday afternoon, you
know, dump the trash out kind of thing.

00:05:37.440 --> 00:05:40.080

<v SPEAKER_2>It's got to be front and center because it's on people's
minds.

00:05:40.080 --> 00:05:47.320

<v SPEAKER_2>And they're asking government and their leaders to
respond to these anxieties that they now feel and think exist.

00:05:47.320 --> 00:05:47.960

<v SPEAKER_1>Right.

00:05:47.960 --> 00:05:50.180

<v SPEAKER_1>So you touched on this briefly, I guess.

00:05:50.180 --> 00:06:02.900

<v SPEAKER_1>So notwithstanding increasing levels of support for these
different defense initiatives that you discussed, you do rolling
systematic research on basically what issues are top of mind for
Canadians.

00:06:02.900 --> 00:06:06.540

<v SPEAKER_1>And previously you framed that in terms of a precarity
mindset.

00:06:06.540 --> 00:06:08.160

<v SPEAKER_1>You touched on that a little bit.

00:06:08.160 --> 00:06:24.800

<v SPEAKER_1>And amongst that sort of sampling, you would get, if I
recall correctly from your last couple of surveys that I looked at,
either medium or low single digits of Canadians that identified things
we had tied to defence considerations like concern about China and
Russia as top considerations.

00:06:24.800 --> 00:06:29.500

<v SPEAKER_1>Have you seen any movement in terms of that overall issue
set or is that largely staying the same?

00:06:29.500 --> 00:06:31.540

<v SPEAKER_2>It's largely staying the same.

00:06:31.540 --> 00:06:39.760

<v SPEAKER_2>So these specific issues don't seem to be, again, in the
way we ask the question, we're asking people to pick the top three.

00:06:39.760 --> 00:06:52.620

<v SPEAKER_2>So it's not rising to the level where they're willing to
say, look, it's more important than my ability to access health care
or pay for food or even my broader concerns around Trump.

00:06:52.620 --> 00:06:54.800

<v SPEAKER_2>But that Trump factor is high up.

00:06:54.800 --> 00:06:56.980

<v SPEAKER 2>It's number two on the list.

00:06:56.980 --> 00:07:05.820

<v SPEAKER_2>We're going to have new data out on the weekend that
shows 43% of Canadians put Donald Trump in his administration in their
top three issues, which is holding steady.

00:07:05.820 --> 00:07:12.280

<v SPEAKER_2>And that's mixed in with a whole bunch of things, whether
it's tariffs and 51st state and the general chaos that he creates.

00:07:12.280 --> 00:07:19.000

<v SPEAKER_2>But it's tied to, I think, an understanding that the
world isn't the way it is.

00:07:19.000 --> 00:07:23.800

<v SPEAKER_2>And I think it's been a number of months now that that
has crystallized in people's minds.

00:07:25.480 --> 00:07:27.960

<v SPEAKER 2>I have no data to validate this.

00:07:27.960 --> 00:07:42.440

<v SPEAKER_2>But as an observer of what's been going on and seeing
these trends, I do think that that meeting between Trump and Zelensky
in the Oval Office was also one of those catalyzing moments for people
that said like, this isn't normal, the world isn't the way it was.

00:07:42.440 --> 00:07:49.300

<v SPEAKER_2>We can't assume, and a lot of times most people just
didn't think about this stuff.

00:07:50.360 --> 00:07:55.840

<v SPEAKER_2>Canada has never really had this outward view of what our role might be in the world.

00:07:55.840 --> 00:08:02.340

<v SPEAKER_2>We never saw ourselves as necessarily needing a strong
military, and that's changed.

00:08:04.020 --> 00:08:18.920

<v SPEAKER_2>Whether it's general support or interest in looking at
other partnerships, Carney going over to Europe and talking about that
work, I think reflects and aligns with where the public is.

00:08:18.920 --> 00:08:29.880

<v SPEAKER_2>We saw lots of support for general re-engagement and
increased engagement with Europe on some of these things, and I think
it's both economic and defence and security.

00:08:30.020 --> 00:08:33.960

<v SPEAKER_2>So yeah, I think the moment has just fundamentally
changed.

00:08:33.960 --> 00:08:35.840

<v SPEAKER_2>Does it sustain itself?

00:08:35.840 --> 00:08:37.140

<v SPEAKER 2>Who knows?

00:08:37.140 --> 00:08:40.360

<v SPEAKER_2>But I think, David, you're much more attuned to these
things.

00:08:40.360 --> 00:08:44.660

<v SPEAKER_2>This world is not going to get safer and more stable very
quickly.

00:08:44.660 --> 00:08:53.300

<v SPEAKER_2>In fact, it may go the other way, and that I think is
going to continue to create the environment by which leaders can bring
the public to where they are.

00:08:53.300 --> 00:09:01.160

<v SPEAKER_2>I also think it's important to note that what Mark Carney
is trying to do as well is not just make this only about defense and
security.

00:09:01.160 --> 00:09:12.260

<v SPEAKER_2>He talks a lot about the economic benefits of this
reinvestment and this re-arming and rebuilding of the Canadian Armed
Forces and our capacity there.

00:09:12.260 --> 00:09:21.620

<v SPEAKER_2>I think that is also tying back to his grand mission,
which is to build the strongest G7 economy possible.

00:09:21.960 --> 00:09:27.720

<v SPEAKER_2>And so, he is very much, you know, if there's anything
you can say so far about Mr.

00:09:27.720 --> 00:09:32.060

<v SPEAKER_2>Carney and his government in these early days, is that
it's incredibly focused.

00:09:32.060 --> 00:09:44.620

<v SPEAKER_2>And so everything he's doing is also tying it back to the
economic upside of the kind of investment that he's saying they're
going to do over the next number of years.

00:09:47.020 --> 00:09:52.980

<v SPEAKER_1>This episode of Defence Deconstructed is brought to you
by Irving Shipbuilding, Canada's national shipbuilder is currently
hiring.

00:09:52.980 --> 00:09:58.000

<v SPEAKER_1>For more information on the many jobs and opportunities

currently available, please visit www.shipsforcanada.ca.

00:10:03.400 --> 00:10:06.640

<v SPEAKER_1>Just one more thing on the breadth of the support.

00:10:06.640 --> 00:10:09.900

<v SPEAKER_1>I'm curious whether or not you've seen any interesting
regional variation.

00:10:09.900 --> 00:10:12.840

<v SPEAKER_1>So you mentioned that there's a kind of a broad party
consensus.

00:10:12.840 --> 00:10:21.640

<v SPEAKER_1>I don't think you mentioned what the level of Bloc
Quebecois supporters support for these defence initiatives is.

00:10:21.640 --> 00:10:26.080

<v SPEAKER_1>I guess historically, you'd find a lot of variation in
national level data.

00:10:26.080 --> 00:10:35.640

<v SPEAKER_1>If you had enough detail to get into regional breakdowns,
basically Quebec would be an outlier on what you could loosely sort of
call, to oversimplify more of a pacifist kind of view.

00:10:35.640 --> 00:10:38.460

<v SPEAKER_1>Alberta would be an outlier the other way, being more
hawkish.

 $00:10:38.460 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.400$

<v SPEAKER_1>And then the rest of the country on a lot of things would
tend to kind of even out and be, you know, or at least roughly
comparable.

00:10:45.980 --> 00:10:54.080

<v SPEAKER_1>I'm just not sure whether or not you had samples big
enough to tease anything out of there, but are you seeing anything in
terms of regional breakdown that's of interest?

00:10:54.080 --> 00:10:56.380

<v SPEAKER 2>Yeah, I mean, there is some here.

00:10:56.380 --> 00:11:06.000

<v SPEAKER_2>I think what's interesting about Quebec over the last
number of months is those historic differences, as you point, were
real.

00:11:06.120 --> 00:11:07.660

<v SPEAKER_2>I don't see them as much today.

00:11:07.660 --> 00:11:18.460

<v SPEAKER_2>I think there's less enthusiasm in Quebec, so they're not
as, you know, we think of research polling, you know, you have scales
and you go from strongly support to strongly dis-oppose.

00:11:19.500 --> 00:11:26.420

<v SPEAKER_2>Quebecers are less likely to strongly support these kinds
of things, but they're not as, they're not more likely to say I'm
opposed to it.

00:11:26.420 --> 00:11:28.820

<v SPEAKER_2>There's just less, I think, enthusiasm.

00:11:28.820 --> 00:11:34.560

<v SPEAKER_2>So it's almost as if they've come to accept that this is
the new reality.

00:11:34.560 --> 00:11:57.900

<v SPEAKER_2>And I think, you know, whether it's defence spending,
whether it's rearming and reinvesting in the military, or more
broadly, I think other nationalist kind of things has surprised a lot
of people about Quebec, that they are as much uncomfortable with being
so close to the United States these days as the rest of the country
is.

00:11:57.900 --> 00:12:01.060

<v SPEAKER_2>And that, I think, has shaped a lot of their thinking.

00:12:01.060 --> 00:12:02.360

<v SPEAKER_2>We see it on energy projects.

00:12:02.360 --> 00:12:08.640

<v SPEAKER_2>You see it on a range of other things that for forever,
we would say Quebec was never going to accept some of those things.

 $00:12:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.220$

<v SPEAKER_2>I don't think we can, I think we've got to throw some of
those assumptions out these days because it's an entirely new world
right now.

00:12:15.220 --> 00:12:15.640

<v SPEAKER 1>0kay.

00:12:15.640 --> 00:12:17.180

<v SPEAKER_1>That's really interesting.

00:12:17.180 --> 00:12:25.640

<v SPEAKER_1>I guess just to get you to tie these specific defencefocused public attitudes and what your research is showing. 00:12:25.640 --> 00:12:31.900

<v SPEAKER_1>Situate for listeners that might have not had a chance to
pay as close attention to some of your recent data and polling.

00:12:31.900 --> 00:12:35.360

<v SPEAKER_1>How are people thinking about the Carney government in particular?

00:12:35.360 --> 00:12:37.820

<v SPEAKER_1>Are they happy with what they've seen so far?

00:12:37.820 --> 00:12:40.400

<v SPEAKER_1>How do you see the political landscape?

00:12:40.440 --> 00:12:42.460

<v SPEAKER_1>How are the Liberals doing compared to the alternatives?

00:12:42.460 --> 00:12:48.880

<v SPEAKER_1>Which all kind of ties back to the likelihood, at least
in the short term, because we do have a minority government.

00:12:48.880 --> 00:12:53.400

<v SPEAKER_1>Technically, if not by most appearances, I guess in my
observation.

00:12:53.520 --> 00:12:57.500

<v SPEAKER_1>What is the public's attitude suggesting to you about the
likelihood that Mr.

00:12:57.500 --> 00:13:04.080

<v SPEAKER_1>Carney can have a reasonable amount of time to stay in
office and try and move forward on some of these things?

00:13:04.340 --> 00:13:14.360

<v SPEAKER_2>We continue to see and I hesitate to use the word
honeymoon because honeymoon lasts as long as it does.

00:13:14.360 --> 00:13:15.800

<v SPEAKER_2>I think we are obviously in one.

00:13:17.440 --> 00:13:24.220

<v SPEAKER_2>We're past 100 days of Carney getting sworn in in March
and post-election.

00:13:24.220 --> 00:13:26.260

<v SPEAKER_2>There remains lots of goodwill.

00:13:26.260 --> 00:13:30.260

<v SPEAKER_2>Majority of the country approve of the job the federal

government is doing.

00:13:30.260 --> 00:13:30.540

<v SPEAKER_2>Mr.

00:13:30.540 --> 00:13:35.800

<v SPEAKER_2>Carney personally has great favorability, like he's plus
21.

00:13:36.560 --> 00:13:51.020

<v SPEAKER_2>And so just to give you context, Poliev's minus four, not bad, but not anywhere near the general goodwill, and I think willingness of the public to give this new government space to do what it wants to do.

00:13:51.020 --> 00:13:57.020

<v SPEAKER_2>So it has both, I think, the political capital and also
the public's largely backing on it.

00:13:57.020 --> 00:14:00.940

<v SPEAKER_2>Now, that's not universal and it's not everywhere.

00:14:00.940 --> 00:14:11.040

<v SPEAKER_2>But I think the public is still in that moment of wanting
to see him, giving him space to deliver on what he's promised.

00:14:11.040 --> 00:14:14.480

<v SPEAKER_2>There's a recognition, I think, that this government is
different.

00:14:14.680 --> 00:14:21.000

<v SPEAKER_2>I've been saying and the data backs it up, the more
someone thinks that Mark Carney is different from Justin Trudeau, the
more they like him.

00:14:21.000 --> 00:14:36.300

<v SPEAKER_2>And so continually being seen as different in the way
that not just the policy choices the government's making, but also the
way he is acting and behaving, I think, is a refreshing change for
people, right?

00:14:37.500 --> 00:14:42.520

<v SPEAKER_2>There is a clear sense he's a steady and calming presence
in this moment.

00:14:42.540 --> 00:14:46.600

<v SPEAKER_2>He doesn't just give us talking points endlessly, right?

00:14:46.600 --> 00:14:49.360

<v SPEAKER_2>He's willing to engage in conversations.

00:14:49.360 --> 00:14:52.780

<v SPEAKER_2>Sometimes political strategists say, well, that doesn't
make good political sense.

00:14:52.780 --> 00:14:53.720

<v SPEAKER 2>Just keep on message.

00:14:53.720 --> 00:14:59.520

<v SPEAKER_2>But I think the public, given the complexity of the
world, is looking for complex answers.

00:15:01.180 --> 00:15:09.900

<v SPEAKER_1>And also, in my observation, it's a manifestation of
change, that he actually talks much more like a regular person.

00:15:09.900 --> 00:15:10.320

<v SPEAKER_2>Totally.

00:15:10.320 --> 00:15:24.980

<v SPEAKER_2>Even though people would say he's less like one, because
he is this elite, well, has all this experience, a global perspective,
but he's able to not treat people like children, I think.

00:15:24.980 --> 00:15:35.600

<v SPEAKER_2>And that is a refreshing, you're right, change from
politics, not just, and it's not just a criticism of the Trudeau
government, it's just politics over the last number of years.

00:15:35.600 --> 00:15:44.340

<v SPEAKER_2>And is a sharp contrast with Polyev, which is why I think
he's feeling some of the conservatives are feeling that pressure is
that, you know, what worked in 2024 for Mr.

00:15:44.340 --> 00:15:51.320

<v SPEAKER_2>Polyev in sort of litigating the case against Trudeau
worked because people didn't like Trudeau, people wanted him gone.

00:15:51.320 --> 00:15:52.380

<v SPEAKER_2>That's not the case today.

00:15:52.480 --> 00:16:00.660

<v SPEAKER_2>And so I think there's there's genuine interest in in
hearing what he has in store.

00:16:00.660 --> 00:16:04.720

<v SPEAKER_2>And, you know, when you ask people what do you what do
you most want to see from a prime minister?

00:16:04.720 --> 00:16:07.880

<v SPEAKER_2>It's like I want somebody who kind of understands what
I'm going through.

00:16:07.880 --> 00:16:11.300

<v SPEAKER 2>I want somebody who has a plan and a strategy.

00:16:11.300 --> 00:16:14.040

<v SPEAKER_2>I mean, it was something that I'd argued for years.

00:16:14.040 --> 00:16:16.300

<v SPEAKER_2>People didn't think Trudeau had after the pandemic.

00:16:16.300 --> 00:16:18.440

<v SPEAKER_2>It was like, what is this government about?

00:16:18.440 --> 00:16:26.620

<v SPEAKER_2>I don't think anyone can doubt that Mark Carney has a
very clear plan in his mind about where he wants to take the country.

00:16:26.620 --> 00:16:32.740

<v SPEAKER_2>Whether he can do it, whether it's going to be easy, all
difficult things, including on the defense question.

00:16:32.740 --> 00:16:36.440

<v SPEAKER_2>But I think right now people are giving him the benefit
of the doubt.

00:16:37.480 --> 00:16:41.560

<v SPEAKER_2>And you see it even in the negotiation with Trump around
trade.

00:16:42.040 --> 00:16:48.100

<v SPEAKER_2>He backs down on the digital services tax, the elbows up,
elbows down, all this debate.

00:16:48.540 --> 00:16:53.380

<v SPEAKER_2>We're seeing no evidence that that's having a negative
effect on how people view him right now.

00:16:53.380 --> 00:17:07.060

<v SPEAKER_2>They remain, you know, among the people who think that
Trump and his administration is one of the top issues facing the
country, the liberals have like a 25 point lead over who they think
would be best handled at.

00:17:07.060 --> 00:17:17.180

<v SPEAKER_2>And so even if there's all this other pressures,
affordability, housing, health care, these are not going away and
there are going to remain liabilities to any incoming government.

00:17:17.600 --> 00:17:24.920

<v SPEAKER_2>But in this moment, I think the people who voted liberal
did so because they thought he could best handle the moment.

00:17:24.920 --> 00:17:26.980

<v SPEAKER_2>And that moment hasn't changed.

00:17:26.980 --> 00:17:30.760

<v SPEAKER_2>And in fact, it's getting more dicey, not less over time.

00:17:30.760 --> 00:17:34.080

<v SPEAKER_1>And how do you see that as a last question substantively
to you?

00:17:34.080 --> 00:17:40.040

<v SPEAKER_1>How do you see that manifesting in terms of the national
poll in between the parties if there were an election held right now?

00:17:40.040 --> 00:17:42.800

<v SPEAKER_2>Yeah, I mean, we're still seeing a tight race.

00:17:42.800 --> 00:17:49.480

<v SPEAKER_2>It's not as we're seeing a different story than some of
the other polls that are showing the liberals with big leads.

00:17:49.480 --> 00:17:55.640

<v SPEAKER_2>We've always been more competitive in how we see the
environment.

 $00:17:55.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.420$

<v SPEAKER_2>Basically, we're only a few months since the election.

00:17:58.420 --> 00:18:03.540

<v SPEAKER_2>The coalitions that voted for each of these parties has largely remained intact, right?

 $00:18:03.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.220$

<v SPEAKER_2>You have one in four conservative voters who say, I like
what Mark Carney is doing, but I'm not going to vote liberal.

00:18:09.220 --> 00:18:12.440

<v SPEAKER_2>And I think that makes a lot of sense in the kind of
world that we live in.

00:18:13.900 --> 00:18:20.660

<v SPEAKER_2>So it's not as if there was an election tomorrow, it
would lead to a massive liberal majority.

00:18:20.660 --> 00:18:23.360

<v SPEAKER_2>It could because the underlying factors are there.

00:18:23.360 --> 00:18:28.320

<v SPEAKER_2>But right now, people are still saying, look, I voted the
way I did for a number of different reasons.

00:18:28.320 --> 00:18:39.220

<v SPEAKER_2>And if you voted conservative, you might begrudgingly
think, okay, well, maybe Carney is doing some good things, but I'd
still rather have the party that I support there.

00:18:40.460 --> 00:18:48.460

<v SPEAKER_2>But that being said, it's the personal numbers that I
really focus on.

00:18:48.460 --> 00:18:57.240

<v SPEAKER_2>And also, interestingly, although we're not overly
optimistic about the direction of the country, that optimism number
has hit a high we haven't seen in four years.

00:18:57.240 --> 00:19:02.180

<v SPEAKER_2>So about four in 10 Canadians say they feel the country's
head in the right direction.

00:19:02.180 --> 00:19:05.460

<v SPEAKER_2>That's a huge change from what we had seen the last two
years.

00:19:05.460 --> 00:19:06.760 <v SPEAKER_2>It's not 60%.

00:19:07.340 --> 00:19:09.780

<v SPEAKER_2>It's not like everybody's like, I love what's happening.

00:19:09.780 --> 00:19:19.900

<v SPEAKER_2>But I do think that at right now at this moment, people
feel that at least enough of the ingredients of what they feel should
be happening is happening.

00:19:19.900 --> 00:19:33.560

<v SPEAKER_2>And I think if you're the Carney government, you feel
safe knowing that there's no indicators that would give any of the
opposition party's reasons to not let this government continue to
govern into the fall, spring and maybe beyond.

00:19:34.900 --> 00:19:35.560 <v SPEAKER_1>0kay.

00:19:35.560 --> 00:19:40.620

<v SPEAKER_1>Well, David, thanks very much for coming on to talk about
some of your latest research.

```
00:19:40.620 --> 00:19:41.540 
<v SPEAKER_1>Last question to you. 
00:19:41.540 --> 00:19:43.080
```

00:19:43.080 --> 00:19:44.720 <v SPEAKER_1>It's stinking hot in Ottawa.

00:19:44.720 --> 00:19:46.560 <v SPEAKER_1>What's on your summer reading list?

<v SPEAKER 1>We're in the midst of summer now.

00:19:46.560 --> 00:19:49.040 <v SPEAKER_2>So I am reading a bunch of things.

00:19:49.220 --> 00:19:50.860 <v SPEAKER_2>It's July, so it's Tour de France.

00:19:50.860 --> 00:19:54.220 <v SPEAKER_2>So I always have like a cycling book on my go.

00:19:54.220 --> 00:20:00.400 <v SPEAKER_2>But I'm actually in a book called Abundance, Ezra Klein, Derek Thompson.

00:20:00.700 --> 00:20:04.400 <v SPEAKER_2>I've spent the last few years talking about scarcity mindset.

00:20:04.400 --> 00:20:22.220 <v SPEAKER_2>And so it's a really interesting book talking about how do we talk about rebuilding this idea both actually but also perceptually that there's lots in this world and people don't need to be fighting over scarcer and scarcer resources, which I think is what drives our politics to the worst place.

00:20:22.220 --> 00:20:27.080
<v SPEAKER_2>So I'm only about a third into it, but it's been a pretty
interesting read so far.

00:20:28.040 --> 00:20:29.300 <v SPEAKER_1>0kay, super.

00:20:29.300 --> 00:20:30.500 <v SPEAKER_1>Thanks again for joining us.

00:20:30.500 --> 00:20:31.940 <v SPEAKER_1>Enjoy your summer. 00:20:31.940 --> 00:20:32.500

<v SPEAKER_2>You too, Dave.

00:20:32.500 --> 00:20:33.680

<v SPEAKER_2>Thank you.

00:20:35.740 --> 00:20:37.940

<v SPEAKER_1>Thanks for listening to Defence Deconstructed.

00:20:37.940 --> 00:20:43.400

<v SPEAKER_1>For more of our work, go to cgai.ca or follow us on LinkedIn, Twitter, Instagram or Facebook.

00:20:43.400 --> 00:20:52.620

<v SPEAKER_1>If you like what we do and want to keep us going, think
of donating to us at cgai.ca.com Defence Deconstructed is brought to
you by our team in Ottawa.

00:20:52.680 --> 00:20:53.980

<v SPEAKER_1>Use of credits go to Drew Phillips.

00:20:53.980 --> 00:20:56.260

<v SPEAKER_1>And this episode was produced by Jordyn Carroll.