My name is Christopher Gleason and I am over 18 years of age. I hereby declare under the penalties of perjury that the factual information contained herein is true and correct and that all opinion testimony is accurate to the best of my knowledge. The purpose of the following discussions is to illustrate the path

I have obtained and analyzed information pertaining to election financing for Maryland candidates and others from each state across the United States. The donations made by individual donors are reported to the Federal Elections Commission (FEC) from the collecting agencies and this information is provided to the public via a web-facing database located at https://www.fec.gov. As these donations are subject to control and regulation by Federal Campaign Finance Laws under (*statute here*) the aggregated data is maintained by the FEC within a separate database of these individual donor campaign finance contributions.

The data that will be discussed below is publicly available for verification and was obtained on January 13, 2023 from: https://www.fec.gov/data/receipts/individual-contributions/ and https://www.fec.gov/data/browse-data/?tab=bulk-data.

The data that was collected and analyzed is comprised of individual and committee campaign contribution data. Queries are available to filter the large number of donations for activity that was made in a particular state or in a particular time frame. Each line that is produced on the queried reports reflects a single donation. The detailed data that is associated with each individual donation consists of the Contributor name, Recipient, State, Employer, Receipt Date, and Donation Amount. Additional data fields, such as the Contributor's address, are available if the query report is downloaded. The download function on the website produces the queried results in a .csv file format for further review and analysis. The FEC website is capable of displaying the queried data locally if the returned values are less than 500,000 records. For queries that resulted in more responses then 500,000 the resulting data had to be downloaded and reviewed outside of the web environment.

Evaluation results are based on the following provided fields:

RECIPIENT NAME OR ID, CONTRIBUTOR DETAILS, NAME, ZIP CODE, CITY, OCCUPATION, EMPLOYER, REPORT TIME PERIOD, RECEIPT DATE RANGE, STATE OR TERRITORY

Based on my investigations in other states, I filtered the data using the following criteria:

Employer: "NOT EMPLOYED"

Report Time Period "2021-2022" or "2019-2020"

State or Territory: Maryland

Recipient Name or ID: ACTBLUE

(https://www.fec.gov/data/receipts/individual-

contributions/?committee id=C00401224&contributor employer=NOT+EMPLOYED&t

wo_year_transaction_period=2022&two_year_transaction_period=2020&contributor_state=MD)

Initial findings for Maryland are shown below in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Results for "Not-Employed" Maryland donors who donated to "ActBlue"

During the 2020 and 2022 Election cycles there were 2,741,000 reported individual donations to ACTBLUE by "NOT EMPLOYED" individuals in the State of Maryland. Adding other employer filters that would also represent "NOT EMPLOYED", such as "N/A"

I then added an additional filter for Employer: "N/A". The results increased to about 2,821,000 individual donations, as shown in Figure 2.

(https://www.fec.gov/data/receipts/individual-

contributions/?committee_id=C00401224&contributor_employer=N%2FA&contributor_employer=NOT+EMPLOYED&two_year_transaction_period=2022&two_year_transaction_period=2022&two_year_transaction_period=2020&contributor_state=MD)




Figure 2: Increased results from additional "Not Employed" definitions

Upon further review into these "NOT EMPLOYED" individual donors, it became apparent that these donations were being made in an automated manner, with small amounts being made to multiple different "earmarked" funds. These donations were also made occasionally multiple times in the same day. The frequency of these small donations appear to be made with the use of programmatic algorithms.




Figure 3: An example of a donor who made excessive contributions of small amounts

According to data obtained directly from the FEC database the "NOT EMPLOYED" individual donor in the image above donated 19,280 times during the 2020 and 2022

election cycles for a total of \$78,717.39. This pattern of individual donations has been observed in every single state that I have investigated across the entire nation. It also matches the patterns of "phantom voters" contained in the voter rolls. <a href="https://www.fec.gov/data/receipts/individual-contributions/?contributor_name=riggs+garland&two_year_transaction_period=2022&t]

wo year transaction period=2020&contributor state=MD

The term "Phantom Voters" is a term used for individuals in the voter rolls that have been identified across America as using a "Legal Residential Address" and a "Mailing Address" that is not really their "Legal Residential Address" or their "Mailing Address". This has been identified in the nationwide scheme to send vote by mail ballots to mailing addresses that are undeliverable and are being harvested then illegally being cast by bad actors in such volume as to materially affect the outcome of elections on the local, state and federal levels.




Figure 4:

The image above reflects another "NOT EMPLOYED" individual donor who made 17,862 donations during the 2020 and 2022 election cycles totaling \$167,316.88. As in other states that I have found these same patterns of "mathematically impossible" legal individual donations I used open-source data and information available. The "Mathematically impossibility" of these donations can be shown through the identification of a particular "Pattern of Fraud & Illegal Activity" the individuals that we have identified that fit this profile are called "Campaign Finance Mules".

The search results increased significantly to 21,049 when the filter for Employer: "NOT EMPLOYED" was removed. https://www.fec.gov/data/receipts/individual-contributions/?contributor_name=nancy+doonan&two_year_transaction_period=2022&two_year_transaction_period=2020&contributor_state=MD

The total dollar amount of the individual donations made grew to \$209,728.52

Over a four-year period being reviewed in order to make this many donations an individual would have to make 5,262.25 donations per year. It would further show that the individual would have to make 14.417 donations per day for 365 days not taking any holidays or weekends off for the entire four year period.




Figure 5

In every single state that this pattern of illegal activity has been investigated, the FEC database shows individuals making individual contributions in such frequency and volume that these transactions are materially affecting the outcome of elections at every level. The use of these "Campaign Finance Mules" provides the ability for bad actors to exert great influence in our elections through the deployment of Dark Money from foreign and domestic sources.

The only logical explanation any reasonably prudent person can arrive at for the volume and frequency of these contributions by "NOT EMPLOYED" individuals is that someone is making these for them either directly or by the depositing of funds into the accounts that are being used to make these contributions.

This pattern of illegal campaign finance donations and money laundering activity is not limited to only "NOT EMPLOYED" individuals, this activity is also occurring with

individual donors who are employed as well. This has been documented in every single state that we have investigated up to this point.

The pattern of donations identified in Maryland was consistent with what has been observed in other states across the entire United States. Additionally, the beneficiaries of these large numbers of donations fit a very specific pattern as well. The candidates are typically democrat candidates and the PACs are often well known democrat PACs.




Figure 6

During the 2020 and 2022 Election cycles there were about 58,219,000 individual donations by people who according to the FEC database were "NOT EMPLOYED".

ACTBLUE ACTIVE - MONTHLY HYBRID PAC (WITH NON-CONTRIBUTION ACCOUNT) - NONQUALIFIED - UNAUTHORIZED ID: C00401224 **REGISTRATION DATE: MAY 17, 2004** Financial summary FINANCIAL SUMMARY Total raised TWO-YEAR PERIOD Total spent Cash summary 2019-2020 ABOUT THIS COMMITTEE Total raised ■ Browse receipts RAISING SPENDING Coverage dates: 01/01/2019 to 12/31/2020 **FILINGS** TOTAL RECEIPTS \$4,318,377,981.32 TOTAL CONTRIBUTIONS \$4,243,096,496.78 Total individual contributions \$4,243,096,496.78 Itemized individual contributions \$4,243,096,496.78 Unitemized individual contributions \$0.00 Party committee contributions \$0.00 Other committee contributions \$0.00 Presidential public funds \$0.00 TRANSFERS FROM AFFILIATED COMMITTEES \$0.00 ALL LOANS RECEIVED \$0.00 LOAN REPAYMENTS RECEIVED \$10,000,000.00 OFFSETS TO OPERATING EXPENDITURES \$6,853,645.64 CANDIDATE REFUNDS \$58,228,551.61 OTHER RECEIPTS \$199,287.29 TOTAL TRANSFERS \$0.00 Non-federal transfers \$0.00 Levin funds TOTAL FEDERAL RECEIPTS \$4,318,377,981.32

Figure 7

The image above reflects the magnitude of the money being laundered through ACTBLUE and used to finance this extensive conspiracy to overthrow our Constitutional Republic in the fraudulent 2020 Presidential election.

Newly filed summary data may not appear for up to 48 hours.

https://www.fec.gov/data/committee/C00401224/?cycle=2020




Figure 8

A great deal of this money is laundered through a nebulous web of PACs. The use of these PACs acts as a way to obfuscate donations and sidestep campaign finance limitations. The extent of this operation is mind-numbing. This illegal activity is occurring in PACs tied to both political parties. WINRED contributions can be seen here: https://www.fec.gov/data/committee/C00694323/?cycle=2020 There are PACs and Non-

Profit organizations that have corrupted every single step of the voting process. This is truly an operation where "because everyone is dirty, everyone is clean." The end result is the total and utter takeover of our nation by dark money from foreign and domestic sources.

When a search for individual donations made by George Soros is conducted on the FEC website the following results will display: https://www.fec.gov/data/receipts/individual-contributions/?contributor_name=george+soros there are about 1,104 individual contributions shown. When a sort my amount is done from greatest to least the following will be displayed.




Figure 9

A further search based on the "DEMOCRACY PAC II" will yield the following result: https://www.fec.gov/data/receipts/?data_type=processed&committee_id=C00786624




Figure 10

The image above shows donations to DEMOCRACY PAC II. The image below shows disbursements from DEMOCRACY PAC II to other PACs.

https://www.fec.gov/data/disbursements/?committee_id=C00786624&two_year_transaction_period=2022&cycle=2022&line_number=F3X-23&data_type=processed




Figure 11

One of the top beneficiaries of the DEMOCRACY PAC II is SMP (Senate Majority PAC) is a PAC with a mailing address of 1032 15TH ST NW SUITE 247 WASHINGTON, DC 20005. This is the address of the law firm Perkins Coie.

The image below shows several other donations to SMP. One of the notable donations is The National Association of Letter Carriers of U.S.A. Political Fund (Letter Carrier Political Fund).




Figure 12

A particular note of interest is the FAIR FIGHT PAC listed above as a donor to the SMP PAC. This organization has been engaged in litigation to prevent the cleaning of the bad data on voter rolls. https://fairfight.com/ttv/ One such lawsuit was aimed against the "True the Vote" a voter integrity organization that exposed widespread nationwide vote by mail ballot harvesting.




Figure 13

FAIR FIGHT PAC was also identified as a beneficiary of numerous similar donations by the individuals making high frequency donations. About 27,348 individuals who were "NOT EMPLOYED" made individual contributions.

When an analysis of FAIR FIGHT PAC individual contributions was conducted the top donor to the FAIR FIGHT PAC donated \$145,420.00 in November 2021 three months after they had died.

https://docquery.fec.gov/cgi-bin/fecimg/?202204209500271882

https://www.legacy.com/us/obituaries/washingtonpost/name/marjorie-rachlin-obituary?id=6367374

During the investigations across various states, I and many other election fraud investigators have collected and analyzed evidence and facts that show one of the main areas of vote/elections/mail fraud is being conducted via the U.S.P.S. The image below shows other political campaign donations being made by The National Association of Letter Carriers of U.S.A. Political Fund (Letter Carrier Political Fund).




Figure 14

The recipients of the large donations made by The National Association of Letter Carriers of U.S.A. Political Fund (Letter Carrier Political Fund) to democrat campaigns and organizations in these hotly contested states where there have been massive evidence of vote by mail fraud is highly problematic. These donations being made show a clear preference in election outcomes. Since the USPS has effectively taken over the chain of custody for vote by mail ballots being cast, any implication of bias is problematic and warrants further investigation.

When examined at a nationwide level, just using the filtered search criteria of individual donors who are listed in the FEC database with an Employer of: "NOT EMPLOYED", "N/A", "NONE", or "RETIRED" the individual donations are significant. The results shown reflect about 113,941,000 individual donations being made by Americans with no form of employment. <a href="https://www.fec.gov/data/receipts/individual-contributions/?contributor_employer=N%2FA&contributor_employer=NONE&contributor_employer=NOT+EMPLOYED&contributor_employer=RETIRED&two_year_transaction_period=2022&two_year_transaction_period=2020




Figure 15

According to a research report put out by the Pew Research Center: https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2017/05/17/5-facts-about-u-s-political-donations/

Most Americans donate less than \$100. Of all Americans who reported donating to a candidate or group working to elect a candidate, 55% reported donating less than \$100, while 32% reported donating between \$100 and \$250, according to the fall 2016 survey. The remaining 13% said that they donated more than \$250. Those who earn more also tend to donate more, however. Among those who donated, 27% of those with family incomes of \$150,000 or more said they contributed more than \$250, while 16% of contributors with incomes between \$75,000 and \$150,000 gave at least \$250. *Note: See full topline results from the Sept. 27-Oct. 10, 2016, Pew Research Center survey (PDF)*.

The findings of the report by Pew Research Center explains why the individual contributions are structured in low dollar amounts as to evade recognition by regulators. The findings of the Pew Research Center report also validate the findings of this investigation suggesting that Americans making many thousands of individual campaign contributions is not possible and is evidence of a vast criminal conspiracy and enterprise of frauds, including wire fraud, voter fraud, campaign finance fraud, and money laundering.

No reasonably prudent person can honestly say that over 100 MILLION individual contributions made by Americans who are "NOT EMPLOYED" in a single election cycle is not evidence of a vast criminal conspiracy and enterprise.

The only way that such high volumes of donations made by so many individuals over such a long period of time is through programmatic automation with direct access to ACTBLUE. No reasonably prudent person can allege that thousands of individuals who are "NOT EMPLOYED" in every single state across America are making 14 donations a day every day over a 4-year period of time.

There is a party or parties who are making these individual donations using the accounts of these individuals to circumvent Federal campaign finance laws, limits, and reporting requirements. This is occurring in small transactions over time as to not trigger money laundering controls.

There is nothing in election law that prohibits campaigns from pitching deceptive matching donor schemes. "The Federal Election Campaign Act doesn't address it," But it does violate other laws, in particular it violates consumer protection fraud statutes.

The true source of these many millions of individual contributions is not the individuals listed as the individual donors. No reasonably prudent person can claim that during the peak of the 2020 Covid pandemic, unemployed Americans were individually making many thousands of individual contributions to political campaigns and PACs in the tens of thousands to hundreds of thousands of dollars.

According to ACTBLUE's website: https://secure.actblue.com/content/fineprint
In the section labeled "Re-designation of Contributions." In the event that a campaign or committee (a) fails for 60 days to cash a check from ActBlue which includes your contribution (after ActBlue makes repeated attempts to work with the campaign to ensure all checks are cashed), or (b) affirmatively refuses a contribution earmarked through ActBlue, your contribution will be re-designated as a contribution to ActBlue. Contributions to social welfare organizations which are similarly not cashed or affirmatively refused will be kept by ActBlue and used generally to support its social welfare activities. Contributions to charitable organizations which are not cashed or affirmatively refused will go to ActBlue Charities.

ACTBLUE is responsible for processing BILLIONS of dollars in payments for these individual campaign contributions. ACTBLUE keeps a running total of the funds

laundered through their system on the main page of their website. https://secure.actblue.com/. At the time of this report the total exceeded \$11.7 BILLION.





Figure 17

After ACTBLUE process the credit card payment and takes a fee for its services, ACTBLUE routes the individual contributions to campaigns, PACs and non-profits. ACTBLUE is the central point and conduit for this money laundering enterprise. In exchange for this money laundering, campaign finance law circumvention service ACTBLUE takes a 3.95% transaction fee for each contribution. https://secure.actblue.com/pricing


Prior to the November elections the campaign finance mule network makes individual contributions that are processed by ACTBLUE and routed to PACs, committees and campaigns. After the November elections remaining funds in the campaign finance mule accounts are then routed back to the PACs connected to Soros and the other bad actors who are injecting illicit funds into the election process.

When a query is run in the FEC database for contributions to ACTBLUE the following result is displayed. https://www.fec.gov/data/receipts/individual-contributions/?committee id=C00401224



The image above shows 307,873,000 individual contributions.

When an individual contribution record is selected the following is displayed.



When attempting to view/verify the receipt using the "Open image" button a user is rerouted to an Error Page. https://docquery.fec.gov/cgi-bin/fecimg/?202212089554136440 the image below shows that result.



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

HOME / CAMPAIGN FINANCE DATA / COMMITTEE DETAILS / ERROR

ERROR

FEC HOME NEW SEARCH NEW ADVANCED SEARCH TO REPORTS INDEX

Error#1896: Image is not processed yet, please try again later

Images from this report have not yet completed processing.

The report may be available, however, from the <u>electronic filing retrieval section</u> of this site.


Federal Election Commission, 1050 1st Street, NE, Washington, DC 20463 (800) 424-9530 In Washington (202) 694-1000 For the hearing impaired, TTY (202) 219-3336 Send comments and suggestions about this site to: webmanager@fec.gov.

I sent the <u>webmanager@fec.gov</u> an email inquiring about this error. I have not yet as of this date received a reply about this error from the <u>webmanger@fec.gov</u>.

A call was place to the number shown above to inquire about this error. The individual whom I spoke to stated that any receipt report over 100K pages would not display on the FEC website.

When an attempt was made to follow the link listed on the error page to obtain the data the following results were displayed.

https://www.fec.gov/data/committee/%20%20checked/?tab=filings



This obfuscates the entire campaign finance process and hides the true data and receipts of the financial integrity of our entire election system. This clearly demonstrates that the FEC is willfully complicit in this conspiracy to subvert our elections.

There is a pattern of individual contributions being routed from the campaign finance mules in every state across America to Soros connected PACs being made after the elections. https://www.fec.gov/data/receipts/individual-

contributions/?committee_id=C00341396&committee_id=C00718510&contributor_name=nancy+doonan&contributor_name=pamela+harris&contributor_employer=NOT+EMP_LOYED&contributor_employer=NONE&contributor_employer=N%2FA&two_year_tra_nsaction_period=2022&min_date=11%2F08%2F2022&max_date=12%2F31%2F2022&contributor_state=MD




Figure 18

The image above highlights that 44 individual contributions were made by just TWO Maryland individuals after the November 7, 2022 election. These contributions were made to TWO known Soros funded PACs.

The large and as of yet unanswered question is what is the REAL source of the individual contributions being made by this vast network of campaign finance mules? No reasonably prudent person can honestly state that these hundred million plus individual donations are being made by "NOT EMPLOYED" Americans.




Figure 19

When a search was expanded to include Soros connected PACs nationwide using the same "NOT EMPLOYED" methodology for the period of the month after the November 7, 2020 election the results in Figure 19 are shown.

https://www.fec.gov/data/receipts/individual-

contributions/?committee_id=C00341396&committee_id=C00609388&committee_id=C 00633404&committee_id=C00670216&committee_id=C00685628&committee_id=C00 718510&committee_id=C00747998&committee_id=C00753277&committee_id=C0075 4051&contributor_employer=N%2FA&contributor_employer=NONE&contributor_employer=NOT+EMPLOYED&two_year_transaction_period=2022&min_date=12%2F01%2 F2022&max_date=12%2F31%2F2022

"NOT EMPLOYED" individuals donated over 13,378 times to these George Soros connected PACs.

It is the opinion of this report that the TRUE source of the individual contributions must be fully examined and sourced to the TRUE contributor(s). This is critical to ensure that our elections are not being materially influenced by bad actors, domestic, foreign, and hostile nation states seeking to overthrow our Constitutional Republic. If given proper time and access to data sources this can be ascertained with 100% certainty. If this is not granted then there is no way that any reasonably prudent person could find that the American campaign finance system has not been completely compromised by America's enemies both foreign and domestic.

- 1. FAIR FIGHT DIRTY VOTER ROLLS https://fairfight.com/ttv/ Funded By Mules, Lawfare Group, Fighting Voter Roll Cleanup
- 2. Center For Voter Information https://www.propublica.org/article/a-nonprofit-with-ties-to-democrats-is-sending-out-millions-of-ballot-applications-election-officials-wish-it-would-stop


NPR Article: https://vpm.org/news/articles/15493/voter-registration-group-sends-500000-incorrect-forms

VIRGINIA DOE PRESS RELEASE:

https://www.elections.virginia.gov/news-releases/archive/2020/virginia-department-of-elections-statement-concerning-third-party-mailings.html

According to the FEC Database the Center For Voter Information (CVI) made donations to various political campaigns during the 2019-2020 Election. https://www.fec.gov/data/committee/C90009317/?tab=spending

CENTER FOR VOTER INFORMATION (CVI)



MAILING FRAUDULENT ABSENTEE BALLOTS

In the months leading up to 2020 election, CVI and its 501(c)(3), the Voter Participation Center (VPC), were widely criticized for mailing out partially pre-filled absentee ballots and voter registration forms in multiple states.

Fairfax County, Virginia, published a warning "about an inaccurate and potentially misleading mailing from the Center for Voter Information" in August 2020, noting that the group had mixed up the City of Fairfax with neighboring Fairfax County: [14]

This group is mass mailing pre-filled, absentee ballot applications to county voters without their request—and the mailer includes return envelopes to send the application to the City of Fairfax, not Fairfax County.

"This mailing is causing great confusion and concern among voters who have been contacting our office," said Fairfax County General Registrar Gary Scott. "While the mailing may appear to be from an official government agency, the Fairfax County Office of Elections did not send it."

The mailing is also confusing voters who have previously submitted absentee ballot applications themselves, Scott added. These voters are worried that their applications were not received, leading them to think they need to apply again [emphasis original]. The Virginia Democratic Party wrote a public letter to the chairman of the Virginia State Board of Elections complaining that CVI's absentee ballot mailers in Virginia "contain several errors," confusing "Fairfax City and Fairfax County, Franklin City and Franklin County, Richmond City and Richmond County, and Roanoke City and Roanoke County." According to the letter, CVI's mailers also "contained incorrect middle initials or names for an unknown number of voters," including that of the chair of the Democratic Party of Virginia's Voter Protection Council. [15]

The Washington Post called CVI a "voter registration group with a history of sending error-ridden mailers" and criticized the group for having "again sown confusion in Virginia, this time tapping into concerns about mail-in-ballots sparked by President Trump's repeated allegations . . . of election fraud." The Post noted that while CVI and VPC are officially "nonpartisan," "both organizations appear to be more aligned with efforts to defeat the president than with his allies." [16] A great deal of these high frequency / volume donors were seen making donations to a PAC called Fair Fight. https://www.fec.gov/data/receipts/individual-contributor_employer=NOT+EMPLOYED&t wo year transaction period=2022

The top donor to Fair Fight was listed as giving \$145,000 on 11/01/2021. The name of this donor was Marjorie Rachlin. Upon further investigation I determined that Marjorie Rachlin was deceased. She had died 3 months prior to the date of her donation.

https://www.legacy.com/us/obituaries/washingtonpost/name/marjorie-rachlin-obituary?id=6367374