IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH DIVISION

AIDAN ANDREWS, et al.,	
Plaintiffs,)
v.) No. 4:21-cv-01245-P
STEVEN MCCRAW, et al.,) District Judge Mark T. Pittman
Defendants.)
)

PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY

Plaintiffs file this notice of supplemental authority to advise the Court of *Jones v. Bonta*, No. 20-56174, 2022 WL 1485187 (9th Cir. May 11, 2022), attached hereto.

Jones held that California's general ban on 18-to-20-year-old adults acquiring centerfire semiautomatic rifles likely violates the Second Amendment. While Jones undermines the Circuit precedent barring Plaintiffs' facial claim, that is an argument for the Fifth Circuit. Jones also, however, supports Plaintiffs' as-applied claim, and that is an issue open for this Court's consideration.

First, *Jones* conducted an in-depth review of the history of firearm rights to conclude that "the historical record shows that the Second Amendment protects young adults' right to keep and bear arms." *Jones* 22–30. *Jones* therefore persuasively demonstrates that Plaintiffs' as-applied claim cannot be rejected at step one of the analysis—a question that remains open in this Circuit. *See* Pls.' Br. in Supp. of Mot. for Summ. J. at 10–11, Doc. 58 (Mar. 18, 2022) ("Br."); Pls.' Reply in Supp. of Summ. J. at 2–4, Doc. 65 (Apr. 29, 2022) ("Reply").

Second, regarding the required "fit" between the challenged laws and the government's proposed justification, California proposed the same justification for its laws—that 18-to-20-year-

olds commit an outsized share of violent crime—that is at issue here. Id. 49–50. Just as Plaintiffs have argued, Br. at 13–14, 21–22; Reply at 9–11, the Ninth Circuit analogized the case to *Craig v*. Boren, 429 U.S. 190, 201 (1976), and found that if the regulation in Craig was unduly tenuous, the "fit [in Jones] is far more tenuous than that." Jones 49. This is particularly true with respect to Plaintiffs' as-applied claim on behalf of 18-to-20-year-old women. As Judge Lee explained in his Jones concurrence, "men almost exclusively commit violent crimes." Id. at 72; see also Br. at 16– 21; Reply at 11–14.

Dated: May 31, 2022

R. Brent Cooper Texas Bar No. 04783250 COOPER & SCULLY, P.C. 900 Jackson Street, Suite 100 Dallas, Texas 75202 Telephone: (214) 712-9500

Telecopy: (214) 712-9540

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ David H. Thompson David H. Thompson* Peter A. Patterson* William V. Bergstrom* COOPER & KIRK, PLLC 1523 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 220-9600 (202) 220-9601 (fax) dthompson@cooperkirk.com ppatterson@cooperkirk.com wbergstrom@cooperkirk.com *Admitted pro hac vice

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 31, 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served via the Court's CM/ECF system to all counsel of record.

/s/ David H. Thompson
David H. Thompson