1	ROB BONTA						
2	Attorney General of California MARK R. BECKINGTON Supervising Deputy Attorney General						
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General SEBASTIAN BRADY Deputy Attorney General						
4	Deputy Attorney General ROBERT L. MEYERHOFF Deputy Attorney General						
5	State Bar No. 298196 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702						
6	Los Angeles, CA 90013-1230 Telephone: (213) 269-6177						
7	Fax: (916) 731-2144 E-mail: Robert.Meyerhoff@doj.ca.gov						
8	Attorneys for Defendant Rob Bonta in his official capacity as Attorney General of the	ne					
9	State of California and Defendant Allison Mendoza in her official capacity as Director of the Bureau of Firearms						
10							
11	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
12	FOR THE SOUTHERN DI	STRICT OF CAL	IFORNIA				
13							
14		-					
15	CLAIRE RICHARDS, ET AL.,	Case No. 3:23-C	V-00793				
16	Plaintiffs,	DEFENDANTS	'ANSWER TO				
17 18	v.	DECLARATOI INJUNCTIVE	RY AND RELIEF				
19	ROB BONTA, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CALIFORNIA, ET AL.,	Judge:	Hon. Larry Alan				
20		Trial Date: Action Filed:	Burns n/a May 1, 2023				
21	Defendants.		1,14,1,100				
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							

1 | 2 | St | 3 | th | 4 | C | 5 | D | 6 | C | C |

Defendants Rob Bonta, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the State of California, and Allison Mendoza, in her official capacity as the Director of the Bureau of Firearms (Defendants), submit their answer in response to Plaintiffs' Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief (the Complaint) (Dkt. No. 1). Defendants hereby answer the Complaint, in paragraphs that correspond to the Complaint's paragraphs, as follows:<sup>1</sup>

#### INTRODUCTION<sup>2</sup>

- 1. <u>Paragraph No. 1.</u> This paragraph consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions and legal authorities that speak for themselves, requiring no response by Defendants. To the extent that a response is required, Defendants deny each and every allegation.
- 2. Paragraph No. 2. Defendants admit that California law, subject to certain exceptions, imposes a 10-day waiting period before a firearm can be released to a purchaser or transferee and that a purchaser or transferee not subject to any exception must return to a firearms dealer to take possession of the firearm. The remaining allegations in this paragraph consist of legal arguments and contentions that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 3. <u>Paragraph No. 3.</u> The allegations in this paragraph consist of legal argument and contentions and authorities that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 4. <u>Paragraph No. 4.</u> The allegations in this paragraph consist of legal argument and contentions and authorities that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.

The following responses to each paragraph include responses to any footnotes that may be contained in the relevant paragraph.

For the convenience of the Court and the parties, Defendants utilize certain

headings as set forth in the Complaint. In doing so, Defendants neither admit nor deny any allegations that may be suggested by the Complaint's headings.

- 5. <u>Paragraph No. 5.</u> Defendants lack sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations as to Plaintiffs' wishes, and on that basis deny that allegation. The remaining allegations in this paragraph consist of legal arguments and contentions that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 6. <u>Paragraph No. 6.</u> The allegations in this paragraph consist of legal argument and contentions and authorities that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 7. <u>Paragraph No. 7.</u> The allegations in this paragraph consist of legal argument and contentions that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 8. <u>Paragraph No. 8.</u> The allegations in this paragraph consist of legal argument and contentions that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 9. <u>Paragraph No. 9.</u> The allegations in this paragraph consist of legal argument and contentions that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 10. <u>Paragraph No. 10.</u> The allegations in this paragraph consist of legal argument and contentions and authorities that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 11. <u>Paragraph No. 11.</u> The allegations in this paragraph consist of legal argument and contentions that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.

#### THE PARTIES

12. <u>Paragraph No. 12.</u> Defendants lack sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

- 13. <u>Paragraph No. 13.</u> Defendants lack sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.
- 14. <u>Paragraph No. 14.</u> Defendants lack sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.
- 15. <u>Paragraph No. 15.</u> Defendants lack sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.
- 16. <u>Paragraph No. 16.</u> Defendants admit that Plaintiffs Richards, Curtin, Adelphia, and Schwartz are thereinafter in the Complaint collectively referred to as the "Individual Plaintiffs."
- 17. Paragraph No. 17. Defendants admit that North County Shooting Center, Inc. is a currently active firearms dealer listed on the Centralized List of Firearm Dealers. Defendants deny that they "license[]" firearms dealers. Defendants lack sufficient information or belief to respond to the remaining allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 18. <u>Paragraph No. 18.</u> Defendants admit that Darin Prince has a certificate of eligibility associated with North County Shooting Center, Inc. Defendants lack sufficient information or belief to respond to the remaining allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 19. Paragraph No. 19. Defendants admit that PWGG LP dba Poway Weapons & Gear, PWG Range is a currently active firearms dealer listed on the Centralized List of Firearm Dealers. Defendants deny that they "license[]" firearms dealers. Defendants lack sufficient information or belief to respond to the remaining allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 20. <u>Paragraph No. 20.</u> Defendants admit that John Phillips has a certificate of eligibility associated with PWGG LP dba Poway Weapons & Gear, PWG Range.

Defendants lack sufficient information or belief to respond to the remaining allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every such allegation.

- 21. <u>Paragraph No. 21.</u> Defendants admit that Plaintiffs Prince, Phillips,
  NCSC, and PWG are thereinafter in the Complaint collectively referred to as the
  "Dealer Plaintiffs." The remainder of this paragraph consists of allegations that
  contain argument and legal contentions and legal authorities that speak for
  themselves, requiring no response by Defendants. To the extent that a response is
  required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
  - 22. <u>Paragraph No. 22.</u> Defendants lack sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.
  - 23. <u>Paragraph No. 23.</u> Defendants lack sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.
  - 24. <u>Paragraph No. 24.</u> Defendants lack sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.
  - 25. <u>Paragraph No. 25.</u> Defendants lack sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.
  - 26. Paragraph No. 26. Defendants admit that Plaintiffs SDCGO, CGF, FPC, and SAF are thereinafter in the Complaint referred to as the "Institutional Plaintiffs." Defendants lack sufficient information or belief to respond to the remaining allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.
  - 27. <u>Paragraph No. 27.</u> Defendants admit that Rob Bonta is the Attorney General of the State of California, that he is the chief law officer of California and has various duties under California law, and that he is sued in his official capacity.

- a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 30. Paragraph No. 30. The allegations in this paragraph consist of legal argument and contentions and authorities that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.

23

24

25

26

27

28

## STATEMENT OF FACTS AND ALLEGATIONS

### The Regulatory Scheme

31. Paragraph No. 31. Defendants admit that, generally, firearms purchases and transfers in California must be made through a dealer on the Centralized List of

- 3
- 4

5

- 6 7
- 8 9
- 10 11
- 12
- 13

- 15 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24
- 25 26
- 27
- 28

- Firearms Dealers. The remaining allegations in this paragraph consist of legal arguments and contentions that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 32. Paragraph No. 32. Defendants admit that California law, subject to certain exceptions, imposes a 10-day waiting period before a firearm can be released to a purchaser or transferee. Defendants deny that they "license[]" firearms dealers. The remaining allegations in this paragraph consist of legal arguments and contentions that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 33. Paragraph No. 33. This paragraph contains allegations that cite a statutory provision, which speaks for itself. Defendants deny any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendants deny each and every other allegation.
- 34. Paragraph No. 34. Defendants admit that DROS is a web-based application used by firearms dealers to report the sale, loan, transfer, redemption, and acquisition of handguns and long guns to the Bureau of Firearms, and that it can be accessed at https://des.doj.ca.gov. Defendants deny that they "license[]" firearms dealers. The remaining allegations in this paragraph consist of legal arguments and contentions that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 35. Paragraph No. 35. This paragraph contains allegations that cite a statutory provision, which speaks for itself. Defendants deny any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendants deny each and every other allegation.
- 36. Paragraph No. 36. This paragraph contains allegations that cite a statutory provision, which speaks for itself. Defendants deny any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendants deny each and every other allegation.

- 37. <u>Paragraph No. 37.</u> This paragraph contains allegations that cite statutory provisions, which speak for themselves. Defendants deny any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendants deny each and every other allegation.
  - 38. <u>Paragraph No. 38.</u> Defendants admit the allegations in this paragraph.
- 39. <u>Paragraph No. 39.</u> This paragraph contains allegations that cite legal authorities and statutory provisions, which speak for themselves. Defendants deny any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendants deny each and every other allegation.
- 40. <u>Paragraph No. 40.</u> This paragraph contains allegations that cite statutory provisions, which speak for themselves. Defendants deny any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendants deny each and every other allegation.
- 41. <u>Paragraph No. 41.</u> This paragraph contains allegations that cite a statutory provision, which speaks for itself. Defendants deny any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendants deny each and every other allegation.
- 42. Paragraph No. 42. Defendants admit that the district court in *Silvester v. Harris*, 41 F.Supp.3d 927 (E.D. Cal. 2014), determined that in 2013, DOJ processed 960,179 DROS applications, with 7,371 denials; that from 1991 to 2013 there had consistently been a DROS application approval rate near 99%; and that 20% of applications were "auto-approved." The remaining allegations in this paragraph consist of legal arguments and contentions that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 43. <u>Paragraph No. 43.</u> Defendants admit that California law, subject to certain exceptions, imposes a 10-day waiting period before a firearm can be released to a purchaser or transferee. The remaining allegations in this paragraph

5

3

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

17

18

16

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28 //

//

consist of legal arguments and contentions that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.

44. Paragraph No. 44. Defendants deny that no modification of the DROS system would be required if the 10-day waiting period was not enforced. The remaining allegations in this paragraph consist of legal arguments and contentions that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.

## Impact of Defendants' Enforcement of Waiting Period Laws on Plaintiffs

- 45. Paragraph No. 45. Defendants lack sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations as to Plaintiffs' purchase, ownership, and possession of firearms and as to Plaintiffs' desires, and on that basis deny those allegations. The remaining allegations in this paragraph consist of legal arguments and contentions that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 46. Paragraph No. 46. The allegations in this paragraph consist of legal argument and contentions and authorities that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 47. Paragraph No. 47. Defendants lack sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations regarding the membership of the "Institutional Plaintiffs." The remaining allegations in this paragraph consist of legal arguments and contentions that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 48. Paragraph No. 48. Defendants admit that Plaintiffs PWG and NCSC are firearm dealers listed in the DOJ's Centralized List of Firearms Dealers. Defendants lack sufficient information or belief to respond to the remaining allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

#### The Right to Keep and Bear Arms

- 49. <u>Paragraph No. 49.</u> The allegations in this paragraph consist of legal argument and contentions and legal authorities that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 50. <u>Paragraph No. 50.</u> The allegations in this paragraph consist of legal argument and contentions that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 51. <u>Paragraph No. 51.</u> The allegations in this paragraph consist of legal argument and contentions that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 52. <u>Paragraph No. 52.</u> The allegations in this paragraph consist of legal argument and contentions and authorities that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 53. <u>Paragraph No. 53.</u> The allegations in this paragraph consist of legal argument and contentions and authorities that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 54. <u>Paragraph No. 54.</u> The allegations in this paragraph consist of legal argument and contentions and authorities that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 55. <u>Paragraph No. 55.</u> The allegations in this paragraph consist of legal argument and contentions and authorities that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.
- 56. <u>Paragraph No. 56.</u> The allegations in this paragraph consist of legal argument and contentions that require no response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny each and every such allegation.

### California Law Exempts Several Favored Classes from the Waiting Period

57. Paragraph No. 57. This paragraph contains allegations that cite statutory

- 5

- 7 8
- 9 10
- 11
- 12 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23 24
- 25
- 26 27
- 28

- 64. Paragraph No. 64. This paragraph consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions and legal authorities that speak for themselves, requiring no response by Defendants. To the extent that a response is required, Defendants deny each and every allegation.
- 65. Paragraph No. 65. This paragraph consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions that speak for themselves, requiring no response by Defendants. To the extent that a response is required, Defendants deny each and every allegation.
- 66. Paragraph No. 66. This paragraph consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions that speak for themselves, requiring no response by Defendants. To the extent that a response is required, Defendants deny each and every allegation.
- 67. Paragraph No. 67. This paragraph consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions and legal authorities that speak for themselves, requiring no response by Defendants. To the extent that a response is required, Defendants deny each and every allegation.
- 68. Paragraph No. 68. This paragraph consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions that speak for themselves, requiring no response by Defendants. To the extent that a response is required, Defendants deny each and every allegation.
- 69. Paragraph No. 69. Defendants lack sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.
- 70. Paragraph No. 70. Defendants lack sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

1	Defendants. To the extent that a response is required, Defendants deny each and
2	every allegation.
3	78. Paragraph No. 78. This paragraph consists of allegations that contain
4	argument and legal contentions and legal authorities that speak for themselves,
5	requiring no response by Defendants. To the extent that a response is required,
6	Defendants deny each and every allegation.
7	79. Paragraph No. 79. This paragraph consists of allegations that contain
8	argument and legal contentions that speak for themselves, requiring no response by
9	Defendants. To the extent that a response is required, Defendants deny each and
10	every allegation.
11	Defendants deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief set forth in the Prayer
12	for Relief immediately following paragraph 79, or to any relief whatsoever. To the
13	extent that the Prayer for Relief states any allegations, Defendants deny them.
14	In addition, without admitting any allegations contained in the complaint,
15	Defendants assert the following defenses based on information and belief:
16	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
17	The Complaint, and the claims for relief alleged therein, fails to state facts
18	sufficient to constitute a cause of action against Defendants.
19	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
20	Plaintiffs' claims in this action are barred in that Plaintiffs do not have
21	standing to bring them.
22	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
23	The Complaint, and each cause of action therein, is improper as Plaintiffs have
24	an adequate remedy at law.
25	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
26	The Complaint, and every cause of action therein, is barred by the equitable
27	doctrines of estoppel, laches, unclean hands, and/or waiver.
28	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent that Defendants have undertaken any conduct with regard to the subjects and events underlying Plaintiffs' Complaint, such conduct was, at all times material thereto, undertaken in good faith and in reasonable reliance on existing law.

#### SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendants have not knowingly or intentionally waived any applicable affirmative defense. Defendants reserve the right to assert and rely upon other such defenses as may become available or apparent during discovery proceedings or as may be raised or asserted by others in this case, and to amend the Answer and/or affirmative defenses accordingly. Defendants further reserve the right to amend the Answer to delete affirmative defenses that they determine are not applicable after subsequent discovery.

WHEREFORE, Defendants pray that:

- 1. Plaintiffs take nothing by reason of the Complaint;
- 2. Judgment be entered in favor of Defendants;
- 3. Defendants be awarded costs incurred in defending this action; and
- 4. Defendants be awarded such further relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

19 //

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20 //

21

22

23

24

25

26

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Case Name:	Richards, et al., v. Bonta, et al.	No.	3:23-cv-00793			
•	fy that on May 23, 2023, I electronical Court by using the CM/ECF system:	lly filed the	e following documents with the			
DEFENDAN INJUNCTIV	ITS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT F 'E RELIEF	OR DECI	LARATORY AND			
I certify that <b>all</b> participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.						
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on <u>May 23</u> , <u>2023</u> , at Los Angeles, California.						
Rober	rt Leslie Meyerhoff		RobetM			
	Declarant		Signature			