



April 07, 2023

Facebook.com/LaRouchePAC | @LaRouchePAC

## Russia and America:—Shall it be War or Peace?

On March 31, 2023 the Russian government officially released a new strategic document—42 pages in length and covering 76 points—outlining in some detail what it calls Russia’s “New Foreign Policy Concept,” a strategic declaration which will now govern all Russian foreign policy in the immediate period ahead. The full text of that document can be found here<sup>1</sup>. Outside of a handful of “specialized” websites, this document, despite its extraordinarily critical importance, has received almost zero coverage in the U.S. establishment news media.

In light of the actions of the Biden administration which are pushing the human species toward global war, as well as the political battle now being waged by Donald Trump inside the United States, it is urgent that Americans comprehend what it is that the Russian leadership is now saying. They are being very explicit in both their assessment and their intention. For an American patriot, there will be areas of disagreement with some of their assessments, but there are also many areas of principled agreement and potential cooperation. Most importantly, the full text of the Russian document also points to, albeit unintentionally, the indispensable role that the United States can and must now play, under a new Trump Presidency, to eliminate the causes of war.

Not surprisingly, the American neo-con enemies of Donald Trump have reacted to the issuance of the “New Foreign Policy Concept” with howls and convulsions typical of someone afflicted with St. Vitus Dance. This has included a massive freak-out from the likes of William Kristol, Joe Lieberman, Gen. David Petraeus and organizations like the Institute for the Study of War, all of whom

scream that the “New Foreign Policy Concept” proves that the United States must now prepare for military conflict with Russia and China.

In one sense, the new document does represent a hardening of Russia’s position on foreign policy, but at the same time, the reasons given in the text for this new “hard line” are almost entirely legitimate and should serve as a wake-up call to policy makers in Europe and the United States. Russia has simply reached the limit where, if she wishes to survive as a sovereign nation, she must no longer tolerate the “permanent war” policy of NATO and the financial looting of the London/Wall Street financial racket. Thus, Russia’s leaders are acting.

At the same time, however, there are false assumptions—as well as key omissions—in the document, assumptions which can only worsen U.S./Russian relations and drive the two nations further apart. This should serve as a warning to both the Russian and American people that, as in a Shakespearean tragedy, there are unperceived larger forces at work which are manipulating the actions of both players. More on this below.

### **The essence of the “New Foreign Policy Concept,” in brief**

Before proceeding, I present here two short excerpts from two different speeches delivered by President Trump to the United Nations in New York City:

“To overcome the perils of the present and to achieve the promise of the future, we must begin with the wisdom of the past. Our success depends on a coalition of strong and independent nations that embrace their sovereignty to promote security, prosperity, and peace for themselves and for the world.

“We do not expect diverse countries to share the same cultures, traditions, or even systems of

<sup>1</sup><https://sputnikglobe.com/20230331/full-text-russia-new-foreign-policy-concept-1108994715.html>

government. But we do expect all nations to uphold these two core sovereign duties: to respect the interests of their own people and the rights of every other sovereign nation. This is the beautiful vision of this institution, and this is the foundation for cooperation and success.

“Strong, sovereign nations let diverse countries with different values, different cultures, and different dreams not just coexist, but work side by side on the basis of mutual respect.

“Strong, sovereign nations let their people take ownership of the future and control their own destiny. And strong, sovereign nations allow individuals to flourish in the fullness of the life intended by God.”

—President Donald Trump, UN speech, September 19, 2017

and

“The future does not belong to globalists. The future belongs to patriots. The future belongs to sovereign and independent nations who protect their citizens, respect their neighbors, and honor the differences that make each country special and unique.”

—President Donald Trump, UN speech, September 24, 2019

These words from Donald Trump are presented here because as you read through the full content of the new Russian strategic doctrine the coherence between what Trump uttered in 2017 and 2019 and much of what the Russian leadership is saying today is obvious. What characterizes the entirety of the entire 42 page “Concept” is deep Russian patriotism, pride in their national identity and unflinching determination to defend the Russian state. The over-riding sentiment throughout the report is that Russia will not be dictated to by any outside force but will act to develop partnerships and friendships with other nations with the goal of securing peace and economic prosperity. These are sentiments which any un-biased American patriot should find admirable. As Trump stated, “The future does not belong to globalists. The future belongs to patriots.”

The “New Foreign Policy Concept” contains repeated attacks on globalization, neo-colonialism, the use of economic sanctions and other coercive measures. Section III of the Report states:

“Based on the national interests and strategic national priorities of the Russian Federation, the foreign policy activities of the state are aimed at achieving the following strategic goals:

1. ensuring the security of the Russian Federation, its sovereignty in all spheres and territorial integrity;
2. creation of favorable external conditions for the development of Russia; strengthening the positions of the Russian Federation as one of the responsible, influential, and independent centers of the modern world.”

And Section IV of the Report demands:

- “Sovereign equality of states, respect for their right to choose models of development, social, political and economic structure;
- Rejection of hegemony in international affairs;
- Cooperation based on a balance of interests and mutual benefit;
- Non-interference in internal affairs;
- The diversity of cultures, civilizations, and models of organization of society, the refusal of all states to impose on other countries their development models, ideological and value orientations...
- The leading role of sovereign states in making decisions in the field of maintaining international peace and security.

The report also calls for an intensive focus on combating the “illicit trafficking and consumption of narcotic drugs and psychotropic substances, which pose a serious threat to international and national security, the health of citizens and the spiritual and moral foundations of Russian society,” as well as an expanded effort “to combat transnational organized crime and corruption, which pose a growing threat to the security and

sustainable development of Russia, its allies and partners.”

How could any honest American disagree with any of this? Would we not insist—even demand—the same rights for our own nation?

### **The Anti-American Trap**

As stated at the onset of this article, there are omissions and assumptions in the “New Foreign Policy Concept,” which, if not forcefully corrected, could not only undo all of Russia’s legitimate aspirations but have the effect of making irreversible a collision course of war between Russia (and likely China) and the United States.

Much of the blame for these strategic errors must be placed at the feet of anti-American tendencies within parts of the Russian leadership. This “leftist” anti-Americanism also feeds off a specific interpretation of what is proposed as a “Multi-polar World” by anti-American scribblers such as Pepe Escobar and Michael Hudson, among many others. In both the strategic/military as well as in the financial/economic realms, this outlook defines the United States as the enemy, the hegemon, the global empire that must be defeated. As the Biden administration becomes more and more unhinged, this tendency is only exacerbated.

Granted, the actions of the Biden administration during the last 2 ½ years have driven many in the world into desperate resistance to American foreign and economic policy. But to use these policy atrocities to promote a break with the United States, to promote a new global economic order without U.S. participation, is suicidal for all involved. The appropriate question to ask is Cui Bono,—who benefits from a Russian-U.S. conflict?

### **Omissions or Commissions?**

In reading the entirety of the “Concept,” there is no mention made of the global Central Banking System. Nor is the United Kingdom ever mentioned by name, only obliquely as an anonymous “Anglo-Saxon” satrap of the “American Empire.”

This is a criminal omission.

There is a long section of the Report, titled “International economic cooperation and

international development assistance,” wherein a number of proposed actions are put forward, “to ensure economic security, economic sovereignty, sustainable economic growth, structural and technological renewal” for Russia. Most of these proposals are defensive in nature, i.e. to defend Russia from foreign sanctions, financial and economic warfare and the like. But the list of actions goes on to propose:

- adaptation of the world trade and monetary and financial systems to the realities of the multipolar world
- encourage the processes of regional and interregional economic integration that meet the interests of Russia, primarily within the framework of the Union State, the EAEU, the CIS, the SCO, BRICS, as well as in order to form the Greater Eurasian Partnership

Understandable proposals, yes. The issue of regional economic integration is vital to Russia’s economic security. But nowhere to be found in these proposals is any intention to dismantle the usurious system of Central Banking finance. What at least some of the Russian leadership seems blind to is that America is not the “hegemon,” but rather a brother victim with Russia of the imperial Central Banking financial dictatorship—a dictatorship which has increasingly impoverished the American people and which has been imposed on America, as much as it has been imposed upon Russia.

This same problem can also be seen in the recent interview given by Sergey Glazyev, the leading Russian economist and current Commissioner for Integration and Macroeconomics within the Eurasian Economic Commission, to the anti-American journalist Pepe Escobar on March 13 2023 (available [here](https://thecradle.co/article-view/22457/sergey-glazyev-the-road-to-financial-multipolarity-will-be-long-and-rocky)<sup>2</sup>). Glazyev, a one-time student of Lyndon LaRouche, is known for his fierce opposition to the policies of the Russian Central Bank, and he is also a champion for the physical and technological economic development of Russia. His career,

---

<sup>2</sup><https://thecradle.co/article-view/22457/sergey-glazyev-the-road-to-financial-multipolarity-will-be-long-and-rocky>

within Russia, is praiseworthy. Yet, in this interview, Glazyev nowhere poses a global solution to the current financial/economic crisis. This, despite the fact that he admits that the path toward a new non-U.S. financial system, designed essentially by Russia-China and to include nations from among the Eurasian Economic Union (EAEU), the Shanghai Cooperation Organization (SCO) and the BRICS, faces insurmountable odds against its coming into existence. He even goes on to point out that the participation of China in such a scenario is very problematical, since “the Chinese are heavily interlinked with the global financial system.” Instead, Glazyev allows himself to be led down a path of discussion, steered by Escobar, attacking the “U.S.-led Empire.”

### **The Actual Empire of Evil**

In the concluding section of the “New Foreign Policy Concept,” in a sub-section on Europe, it is stated that, “most European states are pursuing an aggressive policy towards Russia aimed at creating threats to the security and sovereignty of the Russian Federation,” but then it goes on to assert, “the main factor complicating the normalization of relations between Russia and European states is the strategic course of the United States.”

What we are looking at here is, as Plato teaches us, the inability to distinguish between shadows and reality. Between his release from prison in 1994 and the year 2001, Lyndon LaRouche traveled to Russia three times. In 1994, at the invitation of the renowned physicist and economist Dr. Pobisk Kuznetsov, he was invited to Moscow, where meetings were arranged with leading members of Russian Academy of Sciences for the purpose of discussing LaRouche’s ideas in economics and science. In 1996, LaRouche returned to Moscow, this time at the invitation of Academician Leonid Abalkin, the Director of the Institute of Economics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, to address a day-long seminar and dialogue with top economic and government figures. LaRouche’s keynote presentation was

titled “Russia, the U.S.A., and the Global Financial Crisis.” Then in 2001, Sergey Glazyev, then the Chairman of its Economics Committee of the State Duma, invited LaRouche back to Russia, to lecture on the continuing causes of the collapsing Western monetary system, and to outline parameters of a new system.

I write this to remind readers of LaRouche’s long-standing status as a friend of Russia and of his continuing influence over Russian economic thinking. But I also write it to remind LaRouche’s friends in Russia, as well as to warn American readers, that LaRouche always insisted—ALWAYS—that there is only one evil global empire in the world, and that is the British Financial Empire. Over the last 250 years, through the power of the City of London and then through the British-created world-wide imperial system of Central Banking, what has been created is a financial tyranny, based entirely on the feudal practices of usury and financial speculation. This system owes its allegiance to no country, and it is not controlled by the United States. It is the enemy of the United States, as much as it is the enemy of Russia, or China.

This financial empire is the enemy of every nation, the oppressor of people everywhere. Actions to defend one’s population through national or regional economic measures, while leaving the Central Banking system intact, will all ultimately fail.

In Russia’s “New Foreign Policy Concept,”—as also in the passages from Donald Trump’s two UN speeches—much emphasis is placed on National Sovereignty. But sovereignty is at best limited, and mostly illusory, in a world where all financial decisions are made by Central Banks. The Russian Republic, together with a Trump-led American Republic, may—and must—yet find common ground in eradicating this pestilence from the world once and for all.

**Sign up at: [lpac.co/followus](http://lpac.co/followus)**

LAROUCHEPAC.COM  
OR CALL: 1-248-482-2081

PAID FOR BY LAROUCHE POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE, LAROUCHEPAC.COM  
AND NOT AUTHORIZED BY ANY CANDIDATE OR CANDIDATE’S COMMITTEE