

San Bernardino County Supervisors Meeting December 19, 2023
Timestamps for meeting video of [Flamingo 640 Agenda Item #115](#)

36:00	Presentation by LUS Chief Wardlaw and Planning Director Heidi Duron
44:30	Presentation by RoBott Land Company
56:30	Presentation by Flamingo 640 Project Designer with visuals
58:20	Public Comments begin:
58:09	Patti Domay
1:02:30	Pat Flanagan
1:05:25	Erin Briggs
1:08:29	Craig Dicht
1:11:46	Michael Cohen
1:14:57	Eric Hamburg
1:17:45	Beth Sheffield
1:20:32	Christine Carraher
1:23:44	Russell Kohn
1:26:40	Nancy Barton
1:30:04	Cordelia Reynolds
1:33:55	Steve Bardwell
1:37:04	Kevin Yoshikawa
1:40:22	Alex Valdivia
1:43:24	Adrian Field
1:46:55	Justin Merino
1:49:48	Caroline Partamian
1:52:44	Luke Basulto
1:55:56	Nancy Karl
1:59:29	Peo Haggstrom
	(BREAK)
2:21:47	Nicholas Brown
2:24:40	Devin Sinski
2:27:54	Deborah Melford for Marinna Wagner
2:30:40	Ethan Primason
2:33:55	Aidan Koch
2:36:46	Digna Cassens
2:40:00	Derek Girling
2:43:10	Vavine Tahapehi
2:46:18	Veronica Koltuniak
2:49:01	Alice Jones
2:52:12	Lee Scott
2:54:58	Louise Goffin
2:58:08	Dimitrios Gerasimatos
3:00:58	Janice Rivera
3:03:21	Graham Smith
3:06:06	Randall Davis

3:09:04	<u>RoBott representatives given 5 minutes to respond.</u>
3:12:48	County LUS Staff respond to questions about whether modifications mentioned by RoBott today were to be considered in this decision.
3:15:00	Supervisor Hagman comments
3:20:22	Supervisor Cook comments
3:24:15	Supervisor Amendarez comments
3:31:50	Supervisor Rowe comments
3:36:21	<u>Supervisor Hagman comments and moves to grant the appeal and send back to Planning Commission</u>
3:37:16	Chair Rowe clarifies voting options
3:37:55	<u>Supervisor Baca seconds Hagman's motion to grant the appeal</u>
3:38:13	County Counsel Tom Bunton asks for motion clarification. Hagman explains his position with futher comment from Rowe, Baca, and Cook.
3:40:32	<u>Supervisor Cook calls for the vote.</u> Armendarez comments. Rowe comments that applicant could have submitted revisions to the Planning Commission instead of appealing to the Board.
3:41:14	Discussion about voting protocols. County Counsel confirms that the Chair is the Parliamentarian and can submit a substitute motion that can be voted on first, with the prior motion (Hagman's, to accept the appeal) to be voted on in case of failure of the substitute motion.
3:42:14	<u>Chair Rowe makes a substitute motion, with clarification from County Counsel, that the project be denied, as it is inconsistent with the County general plan and community plan. Cook seconds.</u>
3:44:00	<u>Voting for the motion: Rowe, Cook, Baca. Voting against: Hagman, Armendarez. Clarification that the project is dead in its current form. Confirmation by County Counsel.</u>
3:45: 10	<u>Final comments from Supervisor/Chair Rowe including encouragement for future developers to work with the community early in the process.</u>