



END CORPORATE RULE. LEGALIZE DEMOCRACY.

MOVE TO AMEND

Side-by-Side Comparison:

Move to Amend's *We The People Amendment*, (HJR 54) and Senator Adam Schiff's *Citizens over Corporations Amendment* (HJR 122)

The comparisons contain all the language of each proposed amendment. Underlining does not appear in the originals but has been added for emphasis. *Italics* indicate differences between the two proposed amendments.

MTA's We The People Amendment Lead: Rep. Jayapal (Dem., WA) Introduced on 2/12/2025

Section 1

"The rights and privileges protected and extended by the Constitution of the United States are the rights of natural persons only."

An artificial entity...established by the laws of any State, the United States, or any foreign state shall have no rights under the Constitution and are subject to regulation by the People, through Federal, State, or local law."

"The privileges of artificial entities shall be determined by the People, through Federal, State, or local law, and shall not be construed to be inherent or inalienable."

Section 2

"Federal, State, and local governments shall regulate, limit, or prohibit contributions and expenditures, including a candidate's own contributions and expenditures..."

Citizens over Corporations Amendment Lead: Rep. Neguse (Dem., CO) Introduced on 9/17/2025

Section 3 - *This language is not mandatory.*

"Congress and the States shall have power to implement and enforce this article by appropriate legislation, and may distinguish between natural persons and corporations or other artificial entities created by law, including by prohibiting such entities from spending money to influence elections."

- *"Shall" is mandatory. "May" is discretionary.*

No equivalent provision. *Corporations and other artificial entities would retain all their existing constitutional rights and others if granted by the Supreme Court.*

No equivalent provision. *Corporate "rights" would continue to preempt local, state and federal laws and regulations passed by legislators or enacted by citizen initiatives.*

No equivalent provision. *This amendment would not require the government at any level -- federal, state or local -- to regulate campaign spending.*

(Statement of Intent:) "... to ensure that all citizens, regardless of their economic status, have access to the political process, and that no person gains, as a result of their money, substantially more access or ability to influence in any way the election of any candidate for public office or any ballot measure."

"Federal, State, and local governments shall require that any permissible contributions and expenditures be publicly disclosed."

"The judiciary shall not construe the spending of money to influence elections to be speech under the First Amendment."

Section 3

"This amendment shall not be construed to abridge the right secured by the Constitution of the United States of the freedom of the press."

This amendment has no statement of the intent to limit its interpretation by the Judicial branch.

No equivalent provision. *This amendment would not require the disclosure of campaign contributions or expenditures.*

No equivalent provision. *"Money equals speech" would remain the law, allowing the Supreme Court to re-define "speech" to further serve the interests of corporate entities and/or frustrate other sections of this amendment.*

Section 1 - This language is not mandatory.

"Congress and the States may regulate and impose reasonable viewpoint-neutral limitations on the raising and spending of money by candidates and others to influence elections."

- *It would allow the courts to decide what is "reasonable," giving courts more power to strike down campaign finance regulations.*

Section 2 - This language is not mandatory.

Congress and the States may regulate and enact systems of public campaign financing, including those designed to restrict the influence of private wealth by offsetting the raising and spending of money by candidates and others to influence elections with increased public funding.

Section 4 - Equivalent provision

"Nothing in this article shall be construed to grant Congress or the States the power to abridge the freedom of the press."