U.S. Constitution [1789]

The writers of the Constitution were very interested in protecting their property. Without using the words "slave" or "slavery" they made slavery legal and institutionalized it.

"No person held in Service or Labour in one State, under the laws thereof, escaping into another, shall, in Consequence of any regulation therein, be discharged from such Service or Labour, but shall be delivered up on Claim of the Party to whom such Service or Labour may be due." [Art.3, Sec.2]

Three words not found in the Constitution: Slavery, Democracy, Corporation.

Revolutionary War Begins [1776]

Marbury v. Madison [1803]

This case established the concept of judicial review. The Supreme Court ruled that they were supreme, and Congress did not contest it. This gave them the power to make law.

"The Constitution, on this hypothesis, is a mere thing of wax in the hands of the judiciary, which they may twist and shape into any form they please."

-Then-president Thomas Jefferson commenting on *Marbury v. Madison*.

Judge made law is not democracy.

Dartmouth College v. Woodward [1819]

This decision turned a corporate charter from a government-granted privilege into a contract that can not be altered by government. The word "corporation" does not appear in the Constitution, and this ruling gave the corporation standing in the Constitution. It also made it difficult for the government to control corporations, so states began to write controls into the charters they granted. The Supreme Court had "found" the corporation in the Constitution.

Civil War Begins [1861]

1772 1776 1789 1791 1803 1819 1840 1857 1861

Somersett's Case

[England 1772]

An English judge rules slavery does not exist in England.
A slave becomes free by stepping on English soil. The colonists wonder if slavery will soon be abolished in all English colonies. Runaway slaves attempt to flee to England to gain their freedom.

Shays' Rebellion [1786]

Bill of Rights [1791]

The first 10 Amendments to the Constitution were adopted to protect We the People from excesses of government. At this time, We the People meant only white males who owned property and were over 21 years old. The states decided how much property must be owned to qualify to vote or run for office. (New Jersey white women who met property and residency requirements could vote when the Constitution was ratified, but the state revoked that right in 1807.)

States begin to loosen Property requirements

for white males to obtain voting and citizenship rights. [1820-1850]

Dred Scott v. Sanford [1857]

Supreme Court decides that slaves are property and Congress cannot deprive citizens of their property. Slaves are "not citizens of any state" and "have no rights a court must respect." This decision is the functional opposite of *Somersett's Case*.

The Railroad Tax Cases [1882]

In one of these cases, *San Mateo County v. Southern Pacific Railroad*, it was argued that corporations were persons and that the committee drafting the 14th Amendment had intended the word person to mean corporations as well as natural persons.

Senator Roscoe Conklin waved an unknown document in the air and then read from it in an attempt to prove that the intent of the Joint Committee was for corporate personhood.

The court did not rule on corporate personhood, but this was the case in which they heard the argument.

Paul v. Virginia [1868]

Corporate lawyers argued that under the privileges and immunities clause, corporations are **not citizens** under Article 4, Section 2. "The citizens of each State shall be entitled to all privileges and immunities of citizens in the several States."

Slaughterhouse Cases [1873]

The Supreme Court said: "...the main purpose of the last three Amendments (13, 14, 15) was the freedom of the African race, the security and perpetuation of that freedom and their protection from the oppression of the white men who had formerly held them in slavery." Corporations were not included in these protections.

Munn v. Illinois [1877]

Supreme Court ruled that the 14th Amendment **cannot** be used to protect corporations from state law. They did not actually rule on personhood.

1865 1868

1870

1873

1874

1877

1882

13th Amendment [1865]

Slavery is abolished in the U.S. and any place subject to its jurisdiction. This Amendment changed Article 4, Section 2 of the Constitution.

15th Amendment [1870]

Black males get the right to vote. "The right of citizens...to vote shall not be denied or abridged...on account of race, color, or previous condition of servitude."

Insurrection of 1877

Minor v. Happersett [1874]

Women argued that under the 14th Amendment equal protection clause, the U.S. Constitution established that their right to vote could not be denied by the state. The Supreme Court rejected this stating that the 14th Amendment was only intended to apply to black males.

14th Amendment [1868]

Black males are now Citizens of the U.S.A. "...nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property without due process of law; nor deny to any **person** within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws." It was this second use of the word "person" that corporate lawyers argued should be applied to corporations.

Susan B. Anthony went to the polls and cast a vote in 1872, justifying her right to vote on the 14th Amendment. The case never went to the Supreme Court, but she was found guilty in a lower court.

Nobel v. Union River Logging [1893]

For the first time corporations have claim to the Bill of Rights. The 5th Amendment says: "...nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law."

Santa Clara County v. Southern Pacific Railroad [1886]

"The Court does not wish to hear argument on the question of whether the 14th Amendment to the Constitution, which forbids a State to deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws, applies to corporations. We are all of the opinion that it does." This statement by the Supreme Court before the hearing began gave corporations inclusion in the word "person" in the 14th Amendment to the Constitution and claim to equal protection under law. (The case was decided on other grounds.)

Minneapolis & St. Louis Railroad v. Beckwith [1889]

Supreme Court rules a corporation is a "person" for both due process and equal protection.

Lochner v. New York [1905]

"Lochner" became shorthand for using the Constitution to invalidate government regulation of the corporation. It embodies the doctrine of "substantive due process." From 1905 until the mid 1930s the Court invalidated approximately 200 economic regulations, usually under the due process clause of the 14th Amendment.

1886 1889 1893 1896 1905

Pullman and Great Northern Strike [1894]

Union Pacific Strike [1885]

American Federation of Labor founded [1886]

Haymarket Massacre [1886]

Social Change in 1886

Great Southwest Strike [1886]

- *Campaign for the 8 hour work day.
- *Knights of Labor membership 1,000,000 with 60,000 Black and even more women members.
- *Farmers Alliance has 100,000 members
- *Populists draw up founding document The Cleburne Demands
- *Eugene Debs builds the Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen
- *Das Kapital by Karl Marx published in English
- *Looking Backwards by Edward Bellamy [1887] 1,000,000 + copies sold, 100 + groups formed

Plessy v. Ferguson [1896]

The Supreme Court ruled that state laws enforcing segregation by race are constitutional if separate accommodations are equal.

Black males effectively lost 14th Amendment rights and much access to the "white world." *Plessy* legalized "Jim Crow" laws.

Of the 14th Amendment cases brought before the Supreme Court between 1890 and 1910, 19 dealt with African Americans, 288 dealt with corporations.

Hale v. Henkel [1906]

Corporations get 4th Amendment "search and seizure" protection.

Justice Harlan disagreed on this point:
"...the power of the government, by its representatives, to look into the books, records and papers of a corporation of its own creation, to ascertain whether that corporation has obeyed or is defying the law, will be greatly curtailed, if not destroyed."

Dodge v. Ford Motor Co. [1919]

The Michigan Supreme Court says, "A business corporation is organized and carried on primarily for the profit of the stockholders. The powers of the directors are to be employed for that end." "Stockholder Primacy" is established. This is still the leading case on corporate purpose.

Louis K. Liggett Co. v. Lee [1933]

The people of Florida passed a law that levied higher taxes on chain stores. The Supreme Court overturned the law citing the due process and equal protection clause of the 14th Amendment and the Interstate Commerce Clause. Wal-mart and other chains can not be banned by law from towns on this precedent.

Armour Packing v. U.S. [1908]

Corporations get 6th Amendment right to jury trial in a criminal case. A corporate defendant was considered an "accused" for 6th Amendment purposes.

U.S.enters World War I [1917]

Pennsylvania Coal Co. v. Mahon [1922]

Corporations get 5th Amendment "takings clause": "...nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation." A regulation is deemed a takings.

The State of Pennsylvania passed a law saying it was illegal to dig under houses causing them to collapse. The Supreme Court overturned this law. Entire towns were undermined for coal extraction and sank as a result. Corporations have used the takings clause ever since to oppose and thwart environmental laws. Takings compensation is the basis for NAFTA and WTO.

1906 1908 1913 1917 1919 1920 1922 1933 1935

17th Amendment [1913]

The U.S. Senate is now elected by the people, instead of appointed by state governments.

Slavery is the Legal Fiction that a Person is Property.

Corporate Personhood is the Legal Fiction that Property is a Person.

19th Amendment [1920]

Women finally get the vote after 75 years of struggle. "The right of citizens of the United States to vote shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or any State on account of sex."

Louis K. Liggett Co. v. Lee [1933]

Justice Brandeis dissents: "The prevalence of the corporation in America has led men of this generation to act, at times, as if the privilege of doing business in corporate form were inherent in the citizen; and has led them to accept the evils attendant upon the free and unrestricted use of the corporate mechanism as if these evils were the inescapable price of civilized life, and hence to be borne with resignation. Throughout the greater part of our history a different view prevailed."

National Labor Relations Act of 1935

The National Labor Relations Board required employer neutrality when it came to the self-organization of workers. It was a violation of the Act if an employer interfered in any way with a union drive. Four places where corporations have been granted powers in the Constitution: Contracts Clause, Interstate Commerce Clause, Property Rights, and Personhood Rights which includes 14th Amendment, Bill of Rights, and Civil Rights Legislation.

Grosjean v. American Press Co. [1936]

A newspaper corporation has a 1st Amendment liberty right to freedom of speech that would be applied to the states through the 14th Amendment. The Court ruled that the corporation was free to sell advertising in newspapers without being taxed. This is the first 1st Amendment protection for corporations.

Taft-Hartley Act [1947]

Corporations are granted "free speech" in the union certification process, usurping worker's right to "freedom of association" and greatly weakening the Labor Relations Act of 1935

U.S.enters World War II [1941]

1936 1938 1939 1941 1947 1949 1954

Conn. General Life Ins. v. Johnson [1938]

Justice Black dissents: "I do not believe the word "person" in the 14th Amendment includes corporations."

Hague v. C.I.O. [1939]

The Court denies an incorporated labor union 1st Amendment rights. Only the individual plaintiffs, not the labor union or the ACLU, could invoke 1st Amendment protections. "[A corporation] cannot be said to be deprived of freedom of speech and of assembly, for the liberty guaranteed by the due process clause is the liberty of natural, not artificial persons."

Wheeling Steel Corp. v. Glander [1949]

Justice Douglas dissents. Regarding the ruling that corporations are giving rights as persons under the 14th Amendment, he said, "There was no history, logic or reason given to support that view nor was the result so obvious that exposition was unnecessary."

Brown v. Board of Educ. of Topeka [1954]

Public schools cannot be racially segregated. Often said to have overturned *Plessy*. The Supreme Court recognized that separate was not equal.

See v. Seattle [1967]

The Supreme Court grants corporations 4th Amendment protection from random inspection by fire departments. The Court framed the question in terms of "business enterprises," corporate or otherwise. An administrative warrant is necessary to enter and inspect commercial premises.

Since 1886, every time natural people won new rights

— like the Civil Rights Act —
corporations became eligible for them as well.

Ross v. Bernhard [1970]

Corporations get 7th Amendment right to jury trial in a civil case. The Court implied that the corporation has this right because a shareholder in a derivative suit would have that right.

U.S.ground troops in Vietnam War [1963]

1963 1964 1967 1970 1971 1973

24th Amendment [1964]

Poll taxes, which were used to keep Blacks and others from voting in some states, were abolished. "The right... to vote...shall not be denied...by reason of failure to pay any poll tax or other tax.

Civil Rights Act [1964]

This act ended voting discrimination and literacy testing as a qualification for voting, established the Commission on Equal Employment Opportunity, and ended discrimination in public facilities.

Reed v. Reed [1971]

Women get the 14th Amendment. There were earlier cases where it was assumed that women had equal protection. This was the case in which the 14th was ruled to apply to women.

Roe v. Wade [1973]

The Supreme Court rules that state statutes against abortion are vague and infringe on a woman's 9th and 14th Amendment rights (to privacy). Abortion is legalized in the first trimester of pregnancy.

26th Amendment [1971]

Voting age changed from 21 to 18 years of age. Passed to recognize that if 18-year-olds could be drafted into military service, they should be allowed to vote.

Buckley v. Valeo [1976]

The Supreme Court rules that political money is equivalent to speech. This ruling expanded the 1st Amendment's protections to include financial contributions to candidates or parties.

U.S. v. Martin Linen Supply [1976]

A corporation successfully uses the 5th Amendment to protect itself against double jeopardy to avoid retrial in an anti-trust case.

Virginia Board of Pharmacy v. Virginia Consumer Council [1976]

The Supreme Court protects commercial speech. Advertising is now free speech.

Pacific Gas & Electric v. Public Utilities Commission [1986]

The Supreme Court decided that PG&E was not required to allow a consumer advocacy group to use the extra space in their billing envelope, upholding the corporation's right **not** to speak and protecting the corporation's freedom of mind.

First National Bank of Boston v. Bellotti [1978]

The 1st Amendment is used to overturn state restrictions on corporate spending on political referenda. The Court reverses its longstanding policy of denying such rights to non-media corporations. This precedent is used with *Buckley v. Valeo* to thwart attempts to remove corporate money from politics.

Marshall v. Barlow [1978]

This case gave corporations the 4th Amendment right to require OSHA to produce a warrant to check for safety violations.

Austin v. Michigan Chamber of Commerce [1990]

Supreme Court upholds limitations on corporate spending in candidate elections. 1st Amendment rights can be infringed if state has a compelling interest.

1976 1977 1978 1986 1990

First National Bank of Boston v. Bellotti [1978]

Dissent by Justices White, Brennan, Marshall: "...the special status of corporations has placed them in a position to control vast amounts of economic power which may, if not regulated, dominate not only our economy but the very heart of our democracy, the electoral process... The state need not allow its own creation to consume it."

Rehnquist also dissented: "The blessings of perpetual life and limited liability...so beneficial in the economic sphere, pose special dangers in the political sphere."

Pacific Gas & Electric v. Public Utilities Commission [1986]

Dissent by Justices Rehnquist, White, Stevens: "To ascribe to such entities an"intellect" or"mind" for freedom of conscience purposes, is to confuse metaphor with reality."

Speechnow.org v FEC [DCC 2010]

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia invalidated federal contribution limits for independent expenditure groups. This decision resulted in the proliferation of super PACs, which are independent expenditure groups that can take in unlimited contributions. The decision was based on the Supreme Court's decision in Citizens United, which held that independent and uncoordinated expenditures do not give rise to the appearance of guid pro quo corruption.

Citizens United v. Federal Elections Comm. [2010]

Supreme Court overturned most provisions of McCain-Feingold legislation that restricted corporate money in federal elections and reversed a hundred-year precedent of Congressional authority to regulate federal elections. Most explicit justification of "corporate personhood" by the Court.

Nike v. Kasky [2003]

The Supreme Court heard arguments on whether purposeful untruths in advertising are protected political speech before sending the case back to a California court where it was settled in Kasky's favor, finding that the state laws requiring truth in A corporation has the right not to advertising had been violated. The question of whether the 1st Amendment gives a corporation the right to speak lies remains unsettled.

Afghan War	Iraq War
[2001]	[2003]

Corporations and the 1st Amendment:

Money is equivalent to Speech. A corporation has the right to speak.

speak. The right not to speak includes fact as well as opinion. Restricting political money is the same as restricting political speech. Corporations argued for the right to lie but so far do not have it.

Americans for Prosperity v. Bonta [2021]
The Supreme Court holds a California law, which mandated that tax-exempt groups must disclose data on their top donors to the state, unconstitutional. This decision resulted in the addition of "narrow tailoring" to the over half-centuryold exacting scrutiny formula, which had been used to uphold decades of both state and federal campaign finance disclosure laws.

McCutcheon v FEC [2014] The Supreme Court invalidated federal aggregate campaign contribution limits, finding that they both restricted First Amendment rights and did little to address the concerns of the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act.

Burwell v. Hobby Lobby [2014]

The Supreme Court determines that closely-held for-profit corporations are persons warranting the protections of the Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993 (RFRA). The Court also determines that modern for-profit corporations are capable of "altruistic" objectives.

1996	1999	2001	2003	2010	2011	2013	2014	2015	2021	2023
------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

Patriot Act [2001]

Passed by Congress following Sept.11, the Act violates the civil liberties and privacy of individuals. Originally scheduled to expire, key provisions were renewed in 2011.

Battle for Seattle WTO protest [1999]

Move to Amend is a coalition of citizens working towards passage of a Constitutional Amendment to end Corporate Personhood. More about this timeline at

www.MoveToAmend.org

International Dairy Foods Ass.

Supreme Court overturns Vermont law

containing bovine growth hormone. The right

statements of fact as well as statements of

opinion. This prevents laws requiring GMO's

requiring the labeling of all products

not to speak inheres in political and commercial speech alike and extends to

v. Amestov [1996]

to be labeled.

Emergence of Social Movements [2010-2020]

- -Black Lives Matter
- -Me Too
- -March for Our Lives
- -Rights of Nature

Wal-Mart v. Dukes [2011]

Supreme Court rules that employees can only bring class action suits if there is proof a company has a policy of paying less to women or minorities. Statistics showing that a company's female workers earn far less and get fewer promotions than men will not suffice.

Occupy Wall Street [2011]

Shelby Co v. Holder [2013]

The Supreme Court held the coverage formula in Section 4(b) of the Voting Rights Act unconstitutional. Though the Court did not find Section 5 unconstitutional, the preclearance regime of Section 5 could not be enforced without Congress enacting a new coverage formula. This case resulted in renewed attempts by previously covered states to enact restrictive voting laws aimed at minority voters.

Obergefell v. Hodges [2015]

The Supreme Court holds that the fundamental right to marry is guaranteed to same-sex couples by both the Due Process Clause and the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

> Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization [2022] Landmark decision of the U.S. Supreme Court in which the court held that the Constitution of the United States does not confer a right to abortion.

West Virginia v. E.P.A. [2022]

Landmark decision of the U.S. Supreme Court relating to the Clean Air Act, and the extent to which the Environmental Protection Agency can regulate carbon dioxide emissions related to climate change.

This Timeline was compiled by Jan Edwards with much help from:

Doug Hammerstrom, Bill Meyers, Molly Morgan, Mary Zepernick, Virginia Rasmussen, Kaitlin Sopoci-Belknap, Thomas Linzey, Jane Anne Morris, and Richard Grossman. Thanks to Carl Mayer for his article "Personalizing the Impersonal." Early supporters of this work include: The Alliance for Democracy, The Program on Corporations Law and Democracy, and The Women's International League for Peace & Freedom.