


"It was by the press that the morals of this country have been ruined, and it is by the press that they shall be restored."

THE NEW FEDERALIST



"Whoever would overthrow the liberty of a nation must begin by subduing the freeness of speech."

Volume 2, Issue 3

Newspaper of LaRouche Candidate for U.S. Senate Diane Sare

September 4, 2023

Towards a New World Economic Order

Sare: As BRICS Expands, Time for U.S. to Join

Special to New Federalist
NEW YORK, Aug. 29—Unbeknownst to most Americans, the recently concluded summit of the BRICS (Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa) in Johannesburg, South Africa has inaugurated a new order of relations among sovereign nations, not based on the arrogant and arbitrary “rules based order,” but on principles of mutual respect and a commitment to the common aims of mankind. The speeches of the current BRICS leaders, including Russian President Putin (who spoke via live video connection thanks to the frivolous ICC arrest warrant against him) were infused with the spirit of the Treaty of Westphalia, which ended a century of religious warfare by forgiving past debts and transgressions, and committing to act for the benefit of

the other—an outlook most western leaders can’t even imagine, which is why they have failed to thwart the expansion of this process, which now officially includes Ethiopia, Egypt, Argentina, Saudi Arabia, Iran and the UAE, who will be admitted as full members in January. Twenty-two more nations are waiting to join.
The BRICS Plus, as the expanded group is called, will use its New Development Bank (NDB) to issue large amounts of credit for development projects and expanded trade; bypassing, in many cases, the US dollar, which has been weaponized by Global NATO. Already the UAE has mooted making a substantial investment in the bank to augment its capabilities. The leadership of the NDB is discussing plans to create their own

reserve trading currency and related clearance mechanisms. But for now, according to Dilma Rousseff (former Brazilian President and current head of the NDB), they will encourage trade in local currencies.
It’s Unstoppable
The events in South Africa sent shockwaves throughout Washington, London, and other western financial centers, as it becomes undeniable that their former power and delusions of world hegemony are now being vigorously challenged by nations representing the vast majority of the world’s population. For the most part, the BRICS proceedings were blacked out in the mainstream news media in western capitals. If the BRICS Summit was mentioned at all, it was dismissed as some kind of Russian-Chinese plot to threaten the West.
But such lies and self-serving narratives are no match for the power of a new Global Majority, which is confidently acting to end centuries of colonial style economic looting, enforced backwardness, as well as murderous wars that have depopulated nations and regions. As Schiller Institute chairwoman Helga Zepp-LaRouche stated in a message to the people of the Global North, this is not



Independent U.S. Senate candidate Diane Sare (inset) has called for the United States to join the BRICS Plus, whose leadership is shown here at the conclusion of the BRICS Summit in Johannesburg, South Africa. From left to right, Brazilian President Lula de la Silva, Chinese President Xi Jinping, South African President Cyril Ramaphosa, Indian Prime Minister Narendra Modi and Russian Foreign Minister Sergey Lavrov.



Credit: BRICS

some kind of attack on them, but an opportunity to shift outlook and with it, policy, to take advantage of what can be a new era of peace and prosperity, without geopolitically driven conflict and wars. Only the participation of the Global North is missing to create a new Renaissance for all of mankind. (see article, this page)

“This is the future...” Mrs. Zepp-LaRouche wrote, anticipating the events of the summit, “We must convince the countries of the Global North to join with joyful transformation of the history of mankind. Because, if the North, at this point, [catches] up

with the cultural optimism which is expressed by the BRICS leaders, it can only be to the benefit of everybody... So I think this is an incredibly important moment, and I have written this Appeal to the Citizens of the Global North, to absolutely demand that the

Please turn to page 2

EDITORIAL

Build a Just World Economic Order

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche
The summit of the BRICS countries, which will take place from August 22-24 in Johannesburg, South Africa, despite all Western attempts to disrupt it, will demonstrate to the whole world that a new world economic order has emerged, opening a new chapter in human history. The nations of the Global South, which already represent the vast majority of the world’s population, are expressing their effective resolve to end forever the past period of some 600 years of colonialism, and to establish an economic system that encourages the sovereign, equitable development of all states on the Earth, the elimination of poverty, and the creation of a decent standard of living for all. We, the citizens of the Global North, must wholeheartedly congratulate this development and support it through practical cooperation!
A correct analysis of how this tectonic change in the strategic situation came about is essential. This formation of a new economic model is not the result of the work of “Russian trolls” or “Chinese aggression,” as the mainstream media would have us believe. Rather, it is the result of a huge strategic miscalculation by forces primarily in the USA and Great Britain, which, after the dissolution of the Soviet Union, mistakenly saw themselves as the victors of the Cold War, and derived from this the license to impose their neoliberal



economic model on a unipolar world, and to bring the various methods of “regime change” to bear upon all governments which do not want to conform to this “rules-based order.”

Opportunity Lost

The historic opportunity of 1989, to establish what was then a perfectly possible peace order for the 21st century, was squandered and replaced by the U.S. neocon Wolfowitz Doctrine and the policies of Zbigniew Brzezinski. Those policies were designed to cement into place a U.S.-British-dominated unipolar world order, with the decree that no nation or group of nations should ever surpass the United States economically, militarily, or politically.
This supposed “end of history” proclaimed by historian Francis Fukuyama meant the complete deregulation of markets, and the extensive privatization of segments of the economy that had previously been under state control. There was now little standing in the way of profit maximization in a globalized casino economy, leading to an ever-widening gap between rich and poor, and ultimately to the point

Please turn to page 3

Sare: Time to End the Slaughter

As Ukraine Nears Defeat, Danger of Nuclear War Grows

Special to New Federalist
NEW YORK, Aug. 31—It is now beginning to sink in, even among some of the fervent warhawks, that their proxy war against Russia in Ukraine cannot be won. Russia was never going to be defeated, but now the much-hyped Ukrainian counter-offensive has failed to gain any serious ground, instead resulting in the slaughter of tens of thousands of Ukrainian troops and loss of considerable numbers of tanks and armored vehicles. As some analysts have pointed out, these losses are so heavy as to threaten the ability of Ukrainians to keep fighting with any effectiveness.
Yet despite this, the Biden Administration and its allies in the G-7 continue to send billions of dollars of arms and munitions to the NATO puppet regime in Kyiv, while its “bad clown” leader, President Volodymyr Zelensky, makes the rounds of European NATO capitals demanding more offensive weapons that would allow what remains of his military to make desperate strikes into Russian territory. Among these de-

mands is quicker delivery of F-16 jets; but even if agreed to and if the jets could be delivered now, who would fly them? Training takes many months, and training—in Arizona—is not scheduled to begin until October. Furthermore, even if they were put in the air today, they would have little or no effect on the counter-offensive, as they would be met by superior Russian airpower.

Ukraine’s Position Hopeless

Swiss military analyst Jacques Baud has drawn attention to the hopelessness of Ukraine’s (and therefore, NATO’s) military position. In provoking this war, they failed to comprehend that Russia has a virtually unlimited escalatory dominance. With its superior military-industrial base and relatively short supply lines, Russia can match or surpass and dominate whatever NATO and its Ukrainian puppets can deploy. Mr. Baud has maintained that initial Ukrainian success in slowing Russian advances in 2022 occurred because of faulty intelligence about NATO’s preparation of the Ukrainian units, including neo-Nazi militias, such as the Azov Battalion (now the Azov Brigade), had led to the



Credit: Armed Forces of Ukraine

The much-ballyhooed Ukrainian Counter-Offensive is going nowhere and with great losses. Here Ukrainian troops fire a rocket at Russian positions in late August.

Russians under-sizing their initial force entering the Ukraine. But this has long since been corrected, and Russia has reinforced and hardened its positions, including those defending the Donbass republics that have since been incorporated into Russia.
This assessment of Ukraine’s military failure is also apparently shared by senior people in the CIA, as leaked reports predicted the total failure of the counter-offensive, even as President Biden and his collection of fools were “predicting” a slow, grinding success. The delusional Biden continues to speak in these terms.

With only a few more weeks of operational weather remaining before winter limits any military operations, Ukraine will be unable to make any advances. Meanwhile, the Russians are reported to be advancing in the east.

Baud has said in recent interviews in the European press that Russian strategy is forcing such staggering losses on the Ukrainians as to all but eliminate their reserves, and creating a point at which they will be compelled to seek a negotiated peace—on Russian terms—regardless of what lunatics like the Ukrainian President

Please turn to page 4

Commercial Real Estate Collapse Fuels Funding Crisis

Special to New Federalist
NEW YORK, Aug. 30—The bankrupt trans-Atlantic financial system is overripe for collapse, driven by several factors linked to its almost incomprehensibly large speculative financial bubble. One of those factors, the total collapse of commercial real estate values, has reached proportions that will severely threaten the multi-trillion-dollar mortgage debt overhang, a considerable portion of which must be renegotiated before the end of the year.

This is causing alarm bells to go off in urban and suburban centers across the country, including here in New York State, where county government and school systems rely on real estate tax revenues to cover their budgets. In New York City, local officials say they are already confronted with the col-

lapse, with office buildings and shopping centers standing partially or mostly vacant, as business and office workers never returned from pandemic-forced closings.

Lockdown Forces Shift

The pandemic lockdown forced a shift to home-based work and online shopping, which means that large office centers and shopping areas are no longer needed. While some workers returned to their offices, government figures show that almost half remain working at home—if they are still employed. Many restaurants and other businesses remain shuttered, unable to survive the shutdown. And as a report from Morgan Stanley reports, there is no reason to expect a return to the way things were, especially as companies of all sizes shed employees to cut overhead. Morgan is forecasting a 40% crash in com-

mercial values, with the worst effects hitting by year’s end.

New York City officials say that since leases in the large office towers that make the Manhattan skyline are in effect for many years, the owners will still be getting hefty rent checks. Thus, the City intends to keep assessments high and so keep raking in revenue. But it stands to reason that this charade can’t continue indefinitely, as the companies will shed space and lease money to survive. One real estate broker laughed at the City’s “What, me worry?” attitude, saying “They are fools who refuse to see they are living in a City designed for what no longer exists and it will all come crashing down around their heads.”

This source and others report that in the suburbs, people are sim-

Please turn to page 2



Credit: Bob Wesser

Visitors Flock to Sare Campaign Booth at the State Fair

LaRouche independent candidate for US Senate Diane Sare met hundreds of her future constituents at her booth at the New York State Fair in Syracuse Aug. 23-Sept. 4. The NYS Fair is the oldest and one of the largest fairs in the nation, logging up to 1 million visitors annually (pre-covid), and at least hundreds of thousands in 2023. Several hundred people have already stopped at the booth to sign up for Sare’s campaign emails, often saying, “I saw you here last year and I voted for you!”

Join the Campaign at SareforSenate.com

Paid for by Sare for Senate

Attack on Trump Is Lying Coverup

Sare: U.S. Election System Broken, Rigged

Special to New Federalist
NEW YORK, Aug. 31—LaRouche independent candidate for U.S. Senate Diane Sare participated in a ballot access roundtable hosted by the Libertarian National Party on August 19 in Washington, D.C. In her remarks, Sare pointed out that by making it virtually impossible for American citizens to attain ballot access if they are not part of the “uni-party” (Democratic and Republican) machine, voters have been disenfranchised even before they arrive at their polling places, but most are unaware of it.


Later in the dialogue, which included leaders from the Constitution Party, the People’s Party, and the Libertarian Party, Sare put her foot firmly on the political third rail—the now-illegal topic of actual election fraud. If “election fraud” is so much as mentioned in social media posts, the censors move to shut you down.

Attack on First Amendment
The attacks on former President

Donald Trump, both by our weaponized legal system and by Wall Street’s military industrial pro-war propaganda-media machine, argue that he was obviously lying about vote fraud to avoid leaving office, and even encouraging “insurrectionary” actions like the Jan. 6 events at the U.S. Capitol. But the new charges in Georgia take the narrative to a new level: The prosecution is alleging that merely speaking of fraud in an election is criminal, because “experts” have told us it didn’t occur. This is obviously a blatant assault on the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

“This is a cover-up,” Sare told the event, “since everyone knows that our elections are riddled with fraud, and I have had first hand experience!”

They Stole My Vote
Sare related what had happened to her vote in the 2022 federal election in New York where she was on the ballot as a LaRouche Party



Who says there isn't vote fraud in U.S. elections? Here, we can see the vote totals for U.S. Senate candidate Diane Sare in Rockland County mysteriously dropping from 6,212 on election night to 282 by the following morning.

candidate against longtime incumbent Sen. Chuck Schumer (D-NY), the Senate majority leader. “First of all, “ she reported, “on election night, I was watching the returns

come in on television with a group of friends. My count was slowly inching up, holding steady at 1% of the vote, and reached a top level of 57,000 votes. That’s what I

thought I had when I went to bed. I didn’t take a screenshot because it didn’t occur to me to do so.

“A friend of mine who was watching in France, began taking screenshots when my vote totals actually began to go down as more votes were counted!” Sare recounted. “In fact, by the time I got up, my vote was down to 29,000 votes, and by the end of the week it was down to 25,000 votes.”

She told the gathering about what had occurred in Rockland County where she resides. Election night, Diane Sare had 5.8% of the vote (approximately what the one pre-election poll which

included her name—which most polls did not— had reported) with 6,212 votes. By the next morning, her vote had been reduced to 282, or 0.2% of the total!

In Need of a Fix
In a lively back and forth about “ranked choice” voting, Sare made the obvious point that “ranked choice” voting wouldn’t help minor party candidates unless the systemic fraud were first cleaned up.

Sare also highlighted the fact that there are literally millions of non-existent voters on official voter registration rolls, a claim also made by Trump backers, with many of these phantom voters somehow having voted. She pointed to the solid evidence of such fraud in voter registrations in New York State, documented by NY Citizens Audit, which found state voter rolls larded with dead people, people registered at Western Union or other non-residences, people over the age of 100 and under the age of 12, and others who supposedly registered on State holidays. The dead have indeed voted, Sare said in an interview, “and they may have determined election outcomes. But we are not allowed to say this in public, let alone correct it. Our entire election system is broken and needs to be fixed. We need to clean up the mess and restore people’s confidence in the process. We don’t need more censorship and jailing of anyone who raises it.”

Was Jan. 6, 2021 a ‘Police Riot’?

Special to New Federalist
WASHINGTON, D.C., Aug. 27— It is beginning to appear more and more likely that the events of Jan. 6, 2021 were a police riot orchestrated by forces within the military-industrial complex who wanted to not only politically destroy President Donald Trump, but also to tighten the grip of a political establishment hell bent on driving the U.S. toward a suicidal nuclear confrontation with Russia and China. This has been brought



Credit: University of Chicago
The events of Jan. 6, 2021 were steered by a very large number of law enforcement and military undercover agents who seeded the crowd.

into sharp focus by a book published by former Capitol Police Chief Steven Sund and the leak of an interview that he had given former Fox TV new host Tucker Carlson shortly before he was fired last April, which had been blocked at the time. Sund and Carlson both point to a “mysterious” conspiracy involving the U.S. military, the FBI, other Justice Department operatives, and others, including at least former House Speaker Nancy Pelosi (D-CA), to create a riot, and then blame it on former President Donald Trump.

Sources in law enforcement had previously indicated that the crowd of demonstrators at the Capitol was heavily laced with Federal agents and assets, some of whom had been working for months infiltrating organizations such as the Proud Boys. Some of these agents had military and military intelligence backgrounds. These operatives were able to steer and shape the reactions of the angry demonstrators, most of whom were Trump supporters, and they are thought to have played a key role in directing them to enter the Capitol. A law enforcement source revealed that despite wild press reporting, almost none of the demonstrators were armed. In fact, as far as he knew, the only armed people were members of a special unit of the Metropolitan Police Department, whose presence in the crowd the MPD refused to confirm.

In addition, intelligence sources point to the existence of a classified handbook for dealing with “riots” threatening an “insurrection” against the government in Washington, D.C. which had been prepared for the super-secretive Joint Special Operations Command (JSOC) that runs covert and other

military operations in this country and around the world. They say that aspects of that handbook were put in play on Jan. 6.

A Web of Lies
We have heard much from Congressional investigators—who somehow never investigated any of the above-described reports—of the alleged mishandling of the events by the Capitol Police and its then-Chief Sund. He struck back at his accusers in the interview conducted by Carlson (but never aired by Fox), obtained last month by *The National Pulse* from a whistleblower. Sund calls what took place prior to and since Jan. 6 and what has been said about it “a cover-up” of what actually happened and who was behind the events. He questions the behavior and actions of then-House Speaker and Ukrainian Nazi lover Nancy Pelosi (D-Calif), whom his department reports to, but also Gen. Mark Milley, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, both of whom had intelligence in advance to suggest a potential riot on Jan. 6, yet both failed to communicate this to Sund and his police force or make recommendations on force requirements to meet the potential crisis.

The report released last June by the U.S. Senate on its phony investigation of the so-called “insurrection,” alleged that there was a failure on the part of the Capitol Police and its leadership to communicate the dangers to appropriate people in authority. Sund responded angrily to this lie: “If I was allowed to do my job as the chief, we wouldn’t be here; this didn’t have to happen,” he told Carlson. “Everything appears to be a cover-up.”

“Like I said, I am not a conspiracy theorist,” said Sund, a decorated officer with decades of experience and with skills in handling demonstrations of all kinds, “... but if you look at the information and the intelligence they had, the military had, it’s all watered down. I’m not getting the intelligence; I’m denied support from the National Guard in advance. I’m denied National Guard while we are under attack for 71 minutes [this is even after former President Trump requested and ordered the National Guard to assist the Capitol Police-ed.]”

Tucker Carlson, who was fired by Fox shortly after doing this interview, told Sund, “It sounds like they were *hiding* the intelligence.” To which Sund replied, “Could [it] possibly be actually ... they wanted something to happen? It is not a far stretch to begin to think that. It is sad when you start putting everything together and thinking about the way this played out... What was their goal?”

Tell the Truth
Carlson had raised awareness about the Sund interview during an appearance on Russell Brand’s podcast in July. “...Then I interviewed the Chief of the Capitol Police, Steven Sund, in an interview that was never aired on Fox by the way. I was fired before it could air... but Steven Sund [who] was totally non-political... I mean this was not some rightwing activist,

he was the Chief of Capitol Police on Jan. 6, and he said, ‘Oh yeah, that crowd was filled with federal agents,’” Carlson told Brand.

“In the wake of the new fake indictments former President Trump for his alleged role in Jan. 6, such statements underscore the urgent need for an honest investigation of what was indeed a coup against

Sare: As BRICS Expands, Time for U.S. to Join

Continued from page 1
governments of the Global North join this development and create a new world economic order for the benefit of all of mankind together. That is the demand of the hour, and we must absolutely not let this moment pass, because it is one of these absolute star moments of human history, when you can really change the whole directionality of where things go.”

Sare: Join the BRICS
Independent candidate for U.S. Senate in New York, Diane Sare, taking up the challenge put forward by Mrs. Zepp-LaRouche, has called for the United States “to act in its true self interest, to renew its commitment to the principles of the American Revolution, the first successful anti-colonial revolution in history, and to join the BRICS. This can be done by Congressional initiative, and I will fight to see that it is done. To accomplish this change, we must free ourselves from the neo-colonial warhawks in the Biden Administration and the Congress who think like British Imperialists. I believe the American people are better than that.”

On Aug. 26, candidate Sare addressed a group of voters in New York City at her campaign meeting. Americans are like the poor prisoners in “Plato’s cave” just staring at black shadows on the wall, believing that the shadows are reality. “They have no clue about the breakthrough of the BRICS Summit, or knowledge of the construction of the four-unit nuclear power plant that Russia is building in Egypt! We must pull Americans into the daylight!”

As an example of a group of

people shedding a smaller identity to fulfill their true potential, Sare relayed the story of the Montgomery, Alabama Bus Boycott—when the African-American residents refused to submit to the tyranny of the segregationists and after a long boycott, took their place as equal citizens, cheering when a visiting pastor read from Paul I Corinthians: ‘When I was a child, I spake as a child, I understood as a child. But when I became a man, I put away childish things.’ Sare relayed that such a shift has now occurred throughout the Global South—“and most of your fellow Americans have no idea that this has occurred! We have to go out and tell people what is happening in the rest of the world! We need Americans to put away their childish victimization and act as adults so that the United States can join this movement and create a renaissance worldwide, otherwise, we risk nuclear war.”

Leaders Urge Cooperation
The leaders of the BRICS nations placed a similar emphasis on the need for this transformation at the summit.

“The world is changing,” South African President Cyril Ramaphosa, the current chair of the BRICS, emphasized in his remarks opening the summit. Brazilian President Lula da Silva stressed that the world is facing an extraordinarily dangerous crisis, both economically and politically, and we are well aware of where this path could lead. The world needs to understand that the risks involved are unacceptable for humanity... It is unacceptable that global military spending in a single year exceeds \$2 trillion, while

the FAO [UN Food and Agricultural Organization—ed.] tells us that 735 million people go hungry every day in the world.”

President Lula urged that a peaceful, negotiated solution to the Ukraine crisis be found, and praised the peace proposals made by three of the BRICS members: China, South Africa, and Brazil.

Chinese President Xi Jinping also stressed that “the world has entered a new period of turbulence and transformation,” and that peace and development must go hand in hand. As he had emphasized in his remarks to the BRICS Business Forum on Aug. 22: “Should we work together to maintain peace and stability, or just sleepwalk into the abyss of a new Cold War? [This summit] is an endeavor to expand the architecture of peace and development.”

That new architecture must assure the security of all nations, large and small, various leaders stressed. As for overcoming poverty and underdevelopment, President Ramaphosa stated that “we know that poverty, inequality and underdevelopment are the biggest challenges facing humankind.” President Xi said that “development is an inalienable right of all countries, not a privilege reserved for a few,” and that “we need to fully leverage the role of the [BRICS] New Development Bank.” President Putin called on the BRICS to “build up partnership in science and innovations, healthcare, education, and humanitarian ties as a whole,” and emphasized that they should “develop interbank cooperation, [and] expand the use of national currencies” for trade and

investment. President Lula elaborated on the role of the NDB as a source of non-dollar-denominated credit to be channeled to development projects that raise physical-economic productivity, explaining: “The creation of a currency for trade and investment transactions between BRICS members increases our payment options and reduces vulnerabilities.”

India Lands on Moon
Poetically demonstrating the rising power of this emerging Global Majority, on Aug. 23—in the middle of the three-day summit—India successfully landed its Chandrayaan-3 module on the surface near the South Pole of the Moon. One of the tens of millions of observers following the live feed from the Indian Space Research Organization’s Mission Room, was Prime Minister Modi, who watched from South Africa where he was attending the BRICS summit.

Immediately after the stunning success, Modi addressed the ISRO center scientists—and the world: “India’s successful Moon mission is not India’s alone. This success belongs to all humanity... I am confident that all countries in the world, including those from the Global South, are capable of achieving such feats. We can all aspire for the Moon and beyond.”

The world must not exist in blocs that are always in conflict, said candidate Sare. “As the Indian moon mission shows, as our own great achievements in space show, we are one human race, who can and will achieve so much if we reject the efforts of misleaders to divide us. Let’s go BRICS!”

Commercial Real Estate Collapse Fuels Urban Funding Crisis

Continued from page 1
ply jumping leases, and when sued by landlords the companies seek bankruptcy protection.

In places like Nassau and Suffolk County on Long Island, there are already massive write-downs of property values taking place or about to take place. And, according to more sober and experienced analysts, these will not be replaced by rising residential property values, a trend which is reaching its end.

Fire Sales Underway
The panic will start setting in when the fire sales of commercial properties take place, which is already happening around the country, as Zero Hedge pointed out earlier this month. They focus on the collapse of the center of the once great city of San Francisco as an example of a place that was over-

built and now is in steep decline. Here are some examples they give: “An 11-story building that is 30% occupied and is expected to be entirely vacant by summer 2025, has been sold ... for \$40.9 million, about a 66% discount versus the most recent assessed property value of \$121 million...; The offices at 350 California St. reportedly sold for roughly 75% less than their previously estimated value in May, and the 22-story Financial District edifice mostly sits empty. Just a few weeks later, nearby 550 California changed hands for less than half of what owner Wells Fargo paid for the building in 2005.”

A new report by the Tax Policy Center of the Hudson Institute estimates that San Francisco will lose \$150 to \$200 million in revenue annually by 2028, and the District of Columbia will lose \$464 million

over the next three years.

The same report, citing statements from New York City officials that say they can limit losses, also states that another report estimates that the city will eventually lose 44% of the value of its office buildings—\$50 billion dollars!

The people at Zero Hedge are not fools: they see this crisis spreading to the holders of the debt on these properties, which are mostly smaller regional banks, as the big Wall Street boys have off-loaded most of these toxic assets already.

Bankruptcy Reorganization
Independent candidate for U.S. Senate in New York Diane Sare does not want to wait for that shoe or any other shoe to drop on the heads of the people of her state. “The commercial real estate sector is just one part of the largest

financial bubble in history that will bring down the entire system,” she said. “We need to put the whole system through a bankruptcy reorganization and create a new global credit system. We can best do this by joining with the BRICS nations (see article, page 1) to create a new economic order based on peace and development.”

In the meantime, candidate Sare said, “we must make emergency credit available from the Federal government to meet certain revenue deficits created by the real estate collapse. Property taxes fund budgets throughout the state and we must not allow them to be cut, affecting our schools and other vital services. Where are our Senators when we need them? Demanding more money for weapons to keep the slaughter going in the Ukraine!”

— EDITORIALS —

Build a Just World Economic Order

Continued from page 1

that the late Lyndon LaRouche had predicted in 1971 when President Richard Nixon repealed the fixed exchange rates of the 1944 Bretton Woods system. The systemic crisis of the neoliberal financial system, manifest itself in 2008, and has not been resolved since then, but has only been postponed by unlimited money printing by the central banks, the so-called Quantitative Easing.

This policy, which essentially benefited speculation, led to a complex counter-reaction. China was willing to participate in globalization with its reform and opening-up policies, but instead of submitting to the model of Western neoliberal democracy, this 5,000-year-old civilization turned to its own culture, pursuing the model of socialism with Chinese characteristics, and thus set in motion an unprecedented economic miracle. China’s willingness to share the experience of this successful model with other nations of the Global South, in the form of the Silk Road Initiative, led to a renaissance of the Non-Aligned Movement and the revival of the “Bandung spirit.” The countries of the Global South are painfully aware of the fact that colonialism has persisted in its modern form—namely in the unfair trade and credit conditions of the liberal financial system—which Indonesia’s President Sukarno and India’s Prime Minister Jawaharlal Nehru had already warned about in Bandung 68 years ago.

This colonialism did not end after World War II, as President Franklin Roosevelt had intended, but was perpetuated by Britain’s Winston Churchill and U.S. President Harry S. Truman. But above all, after September 11, 2001, under the banner of the “war on terrorism,” the USA concentrated on military and security operations worldwide, the establishment of up to 1,000 military bases, and the training of military forces in almost every continent. Then there were various “humanitarian wars of intervention,” the wars in Afghanistan, Iraq, Libya, Syria, etc. The idea of economic development in these countries fell by the wayside.

It should come as no surprise that under these circumstances,

a large number of the nations of the Global South are today choosing to cooperate with the BRICS countries (Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa), which are offering them real economic growth and treatment as equal partners. In this, and in the very concrete experience of the behavior of the former colonial powers (and the current hegemonic power), lies the reason why the nations of the South have refused to condemn Russia’s supposedly “unprovoked war of aggression,” and to take the side of the “rules-based” West.

The BRICS summit will make this historic realignment so dramatically visible in the world that even the mainstream media and political forces (which until recently, with their usual Eurocentric arrogance, have at best perceived the countries of the Global South as exotic vacation spots), must take note of the new reality. The all-important question will be how the nations of the Global North relate to this emerging economic order.

Do You Want War or Peace?
Attempting to maintain the long-defunct unipolar world will almost certainly lead to World War III, to which we have come dangerously close with the situation in Ukraine, where the failure of the Ukrainian counter-offensive has exhausted the conventional dimension of the war, so that only ending the war through diplomatic negotiations, or escalating to the use of nuclear weapons, remain as options. The notion that the West must “decouple” from China and the Belt and Road Initiative’s sphere of influence or engage in “risk reduction” to use the new, ridiculous formulation, is leading not only to economic self-destruction as in the case of Germany, and also leads to war. The splitting of the world into two completely separate blocs—a U.S.-dominated, global NATO bloc that continues to cling to the model of the casino economy, and an economically fast-growing bloc of the Global South around the BRICS countries—will not remain peaceful, either.

There is only one sure way to resolve the many existential crises that exist around the world: Instead of viewing and opposing

the new economic model of the BRICS countries as an antagonist, it is in the self-interest of the nations of the Global North to cooperate with this emerging new just world economic order and to jointly tackle the daunting task of overcoming poverty and underdevelopment.

There are currently few signs that the representatives of the trans-Atlantic establishment are willing to admit their misjudgments and policy mistakes of the last almost 35 years, with a few exceptions such as former French President Nicolas Sarkozy. Ordinary citizens of Europe and the USA should now very urgently check the axioms of their own thinking, inquiring whether they are not perhaps influenced by a Eurocentric point of view and the associated latent racism.

The simple “Gretchen question” [German idiom for an uncomfortable question—ed.] regarding the relationship of the North to the South is: Have we really accepted that it should stay like this forever, that almost a billion people are permanently on the brink of starvation, two billion have no clean drinking water, 940 million have no access to electricity and the vast majority of humanity, due to poverty, does not have the ability to develop the potential that is inherent in them, and are thus robbed of what is one of the most precious possessions of man?

We must not see the emergence of this new economic order as long overdue just for Africa, Asia and Ibero-America, but we should also understand that we too will only be able to get our own ailing economies going again by cooperating with them. China’s President Xi Jinping has made it clear from the outset that the Belt and Road Initiative is open to cooperation with any country in the world, and it is almost certain that the BRICS countries will respond openly to offers of cooperation from Western nations.

Changing History
However, this requires that we in the West demonstrate unequivocally that we are ready for honest cooperation. Above all, this includes giving up the concept of expanding NATO into a global NATO, and working specifically

on a new international security and development architecture that takes into account the interests of all nations, including Russia, Ukraine, China, and all other states. I have formulated ten principles [<https://shorturl.at/sFX06>] on this topic, the principles that such a new architecture must take into account.

Our entire future, that of the nations of the Global South, and not least of all, world peace, depends on whether we can win enough forces in Europe and the United States to seize this extraordinary opportunity, which now presents itself in the possibility of cooperation with the BRICS-Plus states. We are currently experiencing an epochal change of the kind that happens maybe once in a thousand years, and the great thing is that we can all help shape this new era through our contribution. We can help end the shameful phase of colonialism and begin a human chapter in universal history.

The above appeal is being circulated as a petition and as the lead item in a 55-page pamphlet now available on the Schiller Institute website [<https://shorturl.at/bhivF>]. The pamphlet is titled “Colonialism Is Over! Appeal to the USA and Europe: Support A New, Just World Economic Order, Not War!” includes:

1. *The LaRouche Plan for 1.5 billion new productive jobs, which addresses, among other things, the real origin of the misnamed “world immigration crisis”;*
 2. *“How the International Development Bank Will Work,” by Lyndon H. LaRouche, written May 16, 1975, and;*
 3. *Helga Zepp-LaRouche’s “Ten Principles for a New International Strategic and Development Architecture,” released in 2022 (see above).*
- All people, particularly those from the United States and Europe, who have wondered what are the principles and method of implementation of a “Development Architecture” are encouraged to read and master the concepts for which LaRouche became known, almost 50 years ago, addressed to the people of the developing sector, and for which he and his movement were unjustly persecuted.*

Solve the ‘Migrant Crisis’! Crush Wall Street, Drug Cartels

Whoever is controlling the Biden Administration has adopted a satanic policy of using human beings as a political weapon, with utter disregard for, or worse, taking perverse sadistic pleasure in, their suffering or death in the thousands, as has occurred in the Mediterranean Sea.

In the State of New York, this has taken the form of over 100,000 people from over one hundred nations being bused into cities and towns which are ill-prepared to handle them, emphatically including New York City.

For several days, hundreds of migrants, mostly from west African nations, were made to sleep on the filthy sidewalk outside of the formerly prestigious Roosevelt Hotel in midtown Manhattan. Since there are thousands of square feet of vacant office space available, we can only conclude that this was some sort of sick publicity stunt by City officials designed to extract more money from the federal government.

Now Mayor Eric Adams will be attempting to enforce an absurd 60-day housing limit on thousands of migrants housed in large tents in several areas in the City, forcing them out into the streets of residential neighborhoods with nowhere to go. This is a recipe for a chaotic disaster and will incite violence.

This criminally insane program must be stopped as quickly as possible with a multi-pronged approach based on the principle of defending the principle of the General Welfare, as expressed in the U.S. Constitution. Below is a general outline of necessary measures as a starting point.

1. Since the NSA and all of our intelligence agencies are able to monitor the activity of every single person on the planet who has a cell phone, they can surely locate the leaders of the human and drug trafficking cartels who are luring hapless victims into planes, boats and buses where they will be robbed and abused on their trip here. The human and drug-trafficking cartels must be shut down.

2. Enforce the laws already on the books against money laundering, as part of a general bankruptcy reorganization, including a return to the FDR-era Glass-Steag-

all standard of bank separation.
3. The policies of regime-change wars and sanctions, which displace millions of people, must be stopped. How many refugees were created by the illegal 2003 Iraq invasion, or the cold-blooded murder of Libyan leader Qaddafi? How many people have died in Haiti or fled, because Wall Street crooks want to use that nation as a transshipment point for mind-altering drugs, for which there is an increasing market inside the United States?

4. To deal with the immediate humanitarian emergency, we need a crash mobilization led by the Army Corps of Engineers to construct housing and medical facilities to care for and screen the vast influx of people while they are vetted for entry into the United States. We need thousands of people to staff such facilities who can expedite asylum requests, conduct basic medical screenings and provide treatment, and also identify individuals with a criminal or terrorist affiliation for arrest and/or deportation.

5. The borders on both the north and the south of the continental United States need to be secured, including properly staffed and equipped entrance points to which people can be directed, which are capable of handling a large flow of people, so they can be humanely and efficiently processed.

These are preliminary components of a rational response to the crisis. If we want a real solution, we must put an end to the dysfunctional trans-Atlantic financial order that profits from human carnage. People are seen as objects of profit to be robbed, raped, or worse.

If you find this as deeply disturbing as I do, work with me to finally defeat the British liberal imperialist system of Wall Street and the City of London and the United States back to its anti-imperialist founding principles, including Alexander Hamilton’s national bank and credit policies. If we came to our senses, we’d recognize our own revolutionary identity in the inspiring developments of the recent BRICS-Plus Summit in South Africa. This is the dynamic our nation should be part of.—by Diane Sare

— FEATURE —

Movie Review: Did ‘Oppenheimer’ Scare You? It Should Have!

by Stewart Battle
For the first time in nearly six decades, the subject of nuclear war has re-entered the public stage. Christopher Nolan’s “Oppenheimer” depicts the intense moral and emotional tension involved with the creation of the first atomic bomb, including the inhuman response from the figures who made the decision to unnecessarily murder over 200,000 Japanese civilians. Even more stark was the callousness portrayed by the American people of 1945, who cheered as the bombs melted the faces off those in Hiroshima and Nagasaki.

As was made clear in the chilling final scene, this is not simply some story from the past, but one that still stalks the world today. And if anyone is being honest—it

ed militarily, and Russia has made it clear repeatedly that they will never accept a defeat in the current conflict in Ukraine. But with Russia winning, will the U.S. and NATO resort to the use of nuclear weapons to prevent defeat in their proxy war?

When you see “Oppenheimer,” see the reality that nuclear weapons are just as real today as ever, and nothing has been done over the past 78 years to make the world safer. Will today’s Americans act any differently from those citizens in 1945, or will we cheer as the first round of nuclear missiles are launched against Russia? For several generations, especially the younger ones, the danger of nuclear war has receded from their minds. As Nolan reported in his interviews, even his own daughter questioned him as to why make a movie about nuclear weapons, since they will never be used? Using powerful imagery, including the depiction of the murderous power of the nuclear weapon on the big screen, he delivered a powerful, even personal message to his audiences—including using his own daughter’s face to show how the bomb would melt the flesh of its victims!

The dropping of the atomic bomb and the world’s entry into the Atomic Age meant one large step for mankind into the future. As the vast majority of the scientists in the Manhattan Project will tell you, there was jubilation in this period, not because we developed a bomb that could kill millions, but because the splitting of the atom meant an entirely new power for mankind that could be harnessed for the good! It meant nearly limitless energy, an end to scarcity, and hopefully an end to future wars, if treated properly. However, it also

meant that the unresolved problems of the world—of empires, of geopolitics, of an immature mankind—if left unresolved, could lead to conflict that meant the annihilation of humanity.

While Nolan doesn’t capture the very real spirit of optimism around this period, he does illustrate the moral reservations those such as Oppenheimer had regarding these questions, as well as the purely “beast-man” like response from those such as the snarling duo of President Harry Truman and his Secretary of State, Jimmy Byrnes. Loosely implied in “Oppenheimer,” though not explicitly portrayed, was the fact that the Japanese had already all but surrendered long before the bombs were dropped, and yet the decision was made to proceed nonetheless. The lie that the bombing of Japan was ultimately what ended the war persists today. It is known today that negotiations directly with Emperor Hirohito, conducted through back channels by FDR’s emissaries, and continuing past the President’s death, had reached a point where surrender could have been arranged if a signal were given by Truman that the Japanese could retain their Emperor. No such signal was given—until after the bombs were dropped!

FDR vs. The Beast-Men
It was clear that the Americans—at the behest of Churchill—were resisting peace in favor of continuing the war, in order to demonstrate the destructive power of the bomb to the Soviet Union. The bomb was really not about Japan or even World War II at all, but was aimed at establishing a global reign of terror to extend Anglo-American rule far into the future.

It is crucial to understand the vastly different approach repre-

sented by Franklin Roosevelt and those around him. Roosevelt never would have used the bomb, as he made clear in discussions with Vice President and later Commerce Secretary Henry Wallace and others. Additionally, six out of seven five-star officers, including Generals Douglas MacArthur and Dwight D. Eisenhower in 1945 opposed the use of the bomb. Roosevelt’s view of America’s role in the world was not one of strong arming one’s adversaries into submission, but rather that relations based on trust and cooperation were needed if the world were to continue to exist.

On April 11, 1945, the night before he died suddenly, Roosevelt wrote a speech which he planned to deliver three days later on a national radio broadcast. In it, he wrote: “We seek peace—enduring peace. More than an end to war, we want an end to the beginnings of all wars—yes, an end to this brutal, inhuman, and thoroughly impractical method of settling the differences between governments... Today we are faced with the preeminent fact that, if civilization is to survive, we must cultivate the science of human relationships—the ability of all peoples, of all kinds, to live together and work together, in the same world, at peace.”

Roosevelt was no imperialist, and as such he terrified Churchill and the British to no end. He saw the war as largely a result of British and European colonial policies, which had created poverty and strife in their wake around the world (not to mention the direct British role in starting World Wars I and II). As such Roosevelt was determined that, following the close of the war, the former colonies were not to be returned to Britain, France, or Belgium, but

would instead be offered American methods of manufacturing and modern industrial development so they could finally become truly free.

FDR’s son Elliot Roosevelt reported the following from a discussion he had with his father in 1944 at the White House: “The point is that we are going to be able to bring pressure on the British to fall in line with our thinking, in relation to the whole colonial question,” FDR said. Had Roosevelt lived, there is no question he would have brought this change about.

An American policy based on technological and industrial progress for the benefit of all peoples—vastly different from the zero-sum game of British imperialism—would have been the bedrock for a new policy of trust and cooperation between sovereign nation-states—big and small.

Roosevelt did not see the Soviet Union as an eternal adversary and worked hard to gain Stalin’s trust for his anti-imperial vision for the world. Stalin even told Averell Harriman after Roosevelt’s death: “President Roosevelt has died, but his cause must live on.” It was this scenario the British Empire was determined to prevent, which is why Churchill pushed the knuckle-dragging Truman to make the final decision to drop the bomb. This of course created the intended effect: a new terror around the world that, far from creating peace, would set future conflicts into motion.

Reflecting on this during a visit to Moscow in 1945, Gen. Eisenhower said: “Before the atom bomb was used, I would have said yes, I was sure we could keep the peace with Russia. Now I don’t know. I had hoped the bomb wouldn’t figure in this war. Until now I would have said that we three [Britain,



Credit: Universal Studios
A Korean poster for the movie *Oppenheimer*.

US, USSR]... could have guaranteed the peace of the world for a long, long time to come. But now, I don’t know. People are frightened all over.”

The Reign of Terror Begins
The deed having been done, the British had the kind of foundation which they were looking for to set a very different policy into motion. Again, the core question was: *could mankind survive with these new weapons powerful enough to blow up the whole world, or were we doomed to self-destruction?* This issue was clearly portrayed in the movie, particularly in the final scene between Albert Einstein and J. Robert Oppenheimer. Naturally, many proposals and discussions ensued as to how to deal with this situation—how much should be controlled by governments, how to ensure international cooperation, peace treaties, etc. These developments are touched upon only briefly in the movie, in reference to the large number of scientists pushing

Please turn to page 4



Credit: Universal Studios
Director Christopher Nolan (l) said he wanted to make people realize that nuclear war is a real thing.

is far worse than even that. Today, NATO has put Russia into what is essentially a reverse Cuban Missile Crisis on steroids and is showing no rational understanding of where this situation is likely to end up. Russia has responded by threatening to change their nuclear doctrine to one of potential first-strike—mirroring the policy employed by the United States. A nuclear power can never be defeat-

Sare to Address UN Rally

Peace Coalition Calls for New Demos Sept. 21

Special to New Federalist
NEW YORK, Sept. 1—Humanity for Peace has announced plans for rallies on World Peace Day, September 21, that include an event at Dag Hammarskjöld Plaza in New York City. The coalition had previously organized rallies worldwide on August 6th, the 78th anniversary of the bombing of Hiroshima. The August 6 NYC rally was addressed not only by LaRouche independent U.S. Senate candidate Diane Sare, but via video by Schiller Institute founder Helga Zepp-LaRouche and Democratic Presidential hopeful Robert F. Kennedy, Jr., as well as by comedian and political commentator Jimmy Dore.

The September 21 Rally will be held from 1-4 pm at Dag Hammarskjöld Plaza in New York. Visit HumanityforPeace.net for details about this and other activities.

Meanwhile, the International Peace Coalition (IPC), from which Humanity for Peace emerged, has been meeting every Friday to discuss plans to promote collaboration, not conflict, among all na-

tions, and bring pressure to end the bloody slaughter in Ukraine—which threatens to spin into a nuclear confrontation between NATO and Russia.

The IPC met Aug. 25, in the wake of the recent BRICS summit in South Africa, and discussed ways to take advantage of the historic openings that meeting created.

8:15 Hiroshima
A good portion of this Zoom meeting was devoted to an airing of the documentary film {8:15 Hiroshima—From Father to Daughter}, followed by brief commentary by the director of the film J.R. Heffelfinger, who was also a speaker at the Aug. 6 UN rally. The 51-minute documentary recounts the experiences of Shinji Mikamo and his father in the aftermath of the Aug. 6, 1945 atomic bombing of Hiroshima. Shinji (who remarkably lived to the age of 94, despite massive burns and radiation poisoning from the explosion) was the father of Dr. Akiko Mikamo, executive produc-



Independent U.S. Senate candidate Diane Sare addresses the Humanity for Peace rally at Dag Hammarskjöld Plaza on Aug. 6.

er and writer of the film and author of the book *8:15: A True Story of Survival and Forgiveness from Hiroshima*. The film moved many of the meeting participants to tears.

At the conclusion of the viewing, Dr. Mikamo gave an overview of the production of the film and

her thoughts about why she wrote the book: “My father passed away in 2020... His wish his whole life—he had survived 75 years after the bombing; he was 94—his wish was to send [his message] to younger generations, because it is important for nobody else ever to

go through this agony of nuclear war. And that’s why I wrote a book and made a movie with the team and director Heffelfinger... So, I really hope all of you who watched this get the message and spread that message for peace, that resiliency and forgiveness prevail...

“So, what I would like to tell all of you is just think about your own relationship and your own self: What is a past emotional wound; what is the conflict you may have; what is the sadness that somebody doesn’t understand you; and what can you do. We can choose to hold grudges; we can choose to live in

bitterness. Or, we can choose to process that feeling... I’m really hoping that this film and the book will become some material that everyone can think about. Not only the nuclear bombs but also that humans, humanity—and we are the human family on this globe. It’s not us versus them. It’s only us. That’s what I would like to say.”

Forgiveness
Later in the discussion, Dr. Mikamo spoke of her idea of forgiveness: “When I talk about forgiveness, some people have problems with it. And when I talk about forgiveness I’m not... condoning or approving or allowing nuclear weapons, or the wars. I am talking more about Dr. Martin Luther King’s philosophy or (the late South African President) Nelson Mandela’s philosophy—that if you hold a grudge, it’s kind of like taking the poison yourself wishing the other person would die. And it really eats us up. And nothing good is going to come out. And as you saw in the movie, my father decided to pay forward what he saw as kindness in the hellish circumstances [in which he found himself]. And imagine if everyone is able to do that how the world would be.”

The Coalition discussed how the film and its powerful message might be used to expand awareness and opposition to nuclear war.

Wind Turbine Project Forced on N.Y. State Town

Special to New Federalist
COLLINS, N.Y., Aug. 29—Attempting to hide under a cloak of secrecy, the foreign-based company EDF Renewables, is trying to transform a rural community 30 miles south of Buffalo, through construction of an extensive wind turbine project that will impact the families that live there for generations to come. The targeted towns include: North Collins, Collins, Eden, and Concord.

A public meeting was held on Aug. 9 for all interested citizens to hear directly from the EDF representatives. The meeting had more than 300 people in attendance, filling every seat, and leaving 100 people standing. Out of this crowd,



Credit: Diane Sare
Gargantuan wind turbines like these are being forced on the residents of Collins, N.Y.

there was not a single resident who rose to speak in favor of this project. Instead, the attendees heard many disturbing facts about wind turbines and the project, shared from people who had worked on their installation or lived in close proximity to them.

The wind turbines being proposed would be massive structures, some 650 feet high (by comparison, a typical house is 20 feet high, a telephone pole is 35 – 45 feet high); the base hole dug to support this massive structure is 30 – 50 feet deep, depending on the soil quality. To fill it, 2,500 tons of concrete is poured. Once

they are decommissioned, this will all be left behind, along with all cable, lines, and conduit buried underground.

Some Nasty Business
Documentation provided by Concerned Citizens of the Collins Wind Project showed that once you sign the land lease agreement with EDF Renewable Wind Farm, you have relinquished much of your control of your own property for the 49-year period of the lease. You cannot get out of the lease; homeowners may need to ask the company for approval to change an existing structure or a new build on your property. Animal grazing plans can also be affected. You have granted EDF entry onto your property 24/7, 365 days of the year; you cannot sue the company for problems.

The company can enter into a sublease agreement, and they have the right to sell your lease as a whole or partially (Right of Encumber). If you fail to pay your taxes, they have the right to pay said taxes or bills (Cure Rights); this leaves them the new legal owner of what had previously been *your* property.

EDF is not responsible for damages to your water or gas wells. In the event you need to drill a new well, you will need their permission!

Hunting may also be restricted in the surrounding area of their turbine.

Once you sign, a confidentiality clause immediately goes into effect: you cannot say anything about this company publicly or in writing.

Many people in the audience had personal experience working on these wind turbines. One person stated that they leak great quantities of oil, have a short life span, emit dangerous carbon fibers into the surrounding air and soil, and blades need to be changed ev-

ery 3-4 years. Each wind turbine takes up to *2 acres of land*.

One of the side effects of wind turbines is acoustic: they emit a low frequency sound which you can’t hear, but your body will feel

As Ukraine Nears Defeat, Danger of Nuclear War Grows

Continued from page 1
Zelenskyy or Joe Biden might say.

Other analysts say that time is rapidly running out for the Kyiv regime itself, as powerful factions in the West start looking for an exit from this disaster. As one source put it, if these factions gain consensus, then they may oust the Kyiv regime.

Much to Washington and NATO’s chagrin, the politically tone deaf Ukrainian President announced this week that he has cancelled future elections, saying that they can’t afford to take funds from the war effort! The pro-Nazi Banderists who run the country’s internal security have already banned any parties or figures who urge peace or oppose the Kyiv regime, accusing them of being pro-Russian war criminals.

Yesterday, the Biden Administration announced yet another tranche of military aid to the Kiev regime, its 45th. This one, said to be worth \$250 million, consists of air defense and artillery munitions, mine-clearing equipment, medical vehicles, and other equipment “to help Ukraine counter Russia’s ongoing war of aggression on the battlefield and protect its people,” according to the Defense Department release.

The Russian Embassy in Washington ridiculed the Pentagon’s assertion of “concern” for the people of Ukraine, stating “In reality, Washington will not give up the concept of fighting Russia to the last Ukrainian.”

Is Nuclear War Next?
As Kyiv—and their controllers

in the Biden Administration and NATO—grow more desperate, the danger rises that they might deploy nuclear weapons to alter the balance of power on the battlefield. They continue to make drone strikes against targets inside Russia and also in Crimea, which since 2014 has been part of Russia. They destroyed a missile base in Crimea this week, killing Russian personnel, and attacked Russian naval positions in Crimea and ships in the Black Sea.

Russian Foreign Minister Lavrov warned again this week that NATO and Ukraine are playing with fire, and that such actions will not be tolerated. He has said that if the F-16s, which are nuclear capable, are placed in the hands of Kyiv, Russia would have no way of knowing whether they might be armed with nuclear tipped air-to-ground missiles which could hit positions inside Russia. He has said that Russia will not accept calls for a cease fire because NATO intends to use such a lull to re-arm its Ukrainian puppets to kill more Russians.

Russian President Vladimir Putin said last week that the threat of nuclear war is present, as long as this war continues. He told a press briefing that it is not Russia that sought this conflict, nor seeks a nuclear war, which would benefit no one. But Russia will not tolerate threats to its existence, and he pointed to western strategists who talk openly about their desire to break up the Russian Federation and make Russia into an insignificant power. Russia remains open to

the possibility of serious peace negotiations should such a possibility present itself and has indicated that it views peace proposals from China, the Vatican, and African nations as both helpful and hopeful.

Zelensky Won’t Negotiate
Zelensky, in his meeting last week with Hungarian President Katalina Novak, reiterated that he will not negotiate with President Putin, and that his unconditional demand is that Russia withdraw its forces and return all land to Kyiv, including Crimea. In an interview this week, Novak, who also met with Pope Francis on Aug. 25, stated that she does “not see a military solution that would lead to sustainable peace [in Ukraine] in the long term. Therefore, it is necessary to sit down at the negotiating table. I expressed this strong opinion to President [Zelensky] both publicly and privately.” Novak added that although Hungary has no specific peace proposal of its own, it would join international efforts to find ways to resolve the Ukrainian conflict.

Sare: End the Slaughter
“This war must end immediately,” said independent candidate for U.S. Senate Diane Sare today. “Zelensky must be forced to the negotiating table by cutting off all NATO and U.S. military aid to his regime, now! The Congress must cease funding the slaughter. The blood of thousands of Ukrainians as well as Russians is on their hands for their complicity in Biden’s insane war, which had been completely avoidable. Cracks are emerging in the Congressional support for this

bloodbath, but the authorizations continue to go through with wide margins. Former President Trump has called for the war to end, but he has not asked his supporters to demand that Congress halt arms shipments and other support for the Nazi regime in Kyiv.

“As the West’s position grows more desperate,” Mrs. Sare continued, “the threat that these lunatics might use nuclear weapons becomes more real. That they would even consider this is pure insanity. But the people pushing the slaughter in Ukraine are insane.

The war, she explained, “is perhaps the major impediment right now to the U.S. moving forward to join with the BRICS nations in creating a new world order of peace and development. So, let’s stop it, so we can get on with the real business of mankind.” She urged citizens to demand that their Senators and Congressmen halt all funding for the Ukraine war, and instead support the various calls for peace coming from the BRICS nations in particular. (see article, page 1).

Upcoming Events
Schiller Institute international conference on Sept. 9: Let Us Join Hands with the Global Majority To Create a New Chapter in World History!
<https://schillerinstitute.nationbuilder.com/conference-20230909>
‘Never Forget: 9/11 Concert’ on Sept. 10 with NYC Schiller Chorus and Bergen County Orchestra.
tix.musac.me/neverforget2023

Movie Review: Did Nolan’s ‘Oppenheimer’ Scare You? It Should Have!

Continued from page 3
for banning the use of the bomb and other preventative measures to stop a further arms race.

However, what “Oppenheimer” goes nowhere near, and what continues to be a sticky issue up to this day, are those axioms and assumptions lying underneath this subject, which some in the British imperial camp would rather you conveniently forget about amidst an impending nuclear terror. Enter Bertrand Russell, the British lord, mathematician, philosopher and pseudo-scientist who first became an outspoken voice for peace—the peace of the graveyard—during these years of the Atomic Age (as well as an outspoken proponent of mass population reduction, particularly among the “dark-skinned races”). In 1946, Russell wrote an article titled “The Atomic Bomb and the Prevention of War,” in which Russell argued that the only way to save the human race from certain nuclear apocalypse was to establish world government, or more properly, world empire. Russell compared it to the way that “Rome secured the peace of

the Mediterranean area for several centuries.” Every nation must be forced to join it, Russell said, and even insisted that if the Soviets don’t accept, it would be better to wage preemptive nuclear war against them rather than wait for them to build their own arsenal of atomic bombs later. With this view of “peace,” the question to be asked is: Is peace so sacred that it negates the need for freedom? The oligarch Russell’s insanely murderous plan becomes, with such logic, a “necessary evil.”

By the mid-1950s, the preemptive nuclear warrior Russell emerged as the leader of the anti-nuclear weapons peace movement, under the banner of SANE (the National Committee for a Sane Nuclear Policy), whose founding document was a 1955 letter drafted by Russell and signed by many scientists, which included the statement: “The abolition of war will demand distasteful limitations of national sovereignty.” Many scientists and others fell for this, including some, who like Leo Szilard (portrayed in “Oppenheimer” as the lead representative of scientists

who opposed building the bomb) later agreed with Russell’s proposal of a preemptive nuclear war on the Soviets.

Learning From Schiller
Americans were informed that the price they would pay for this “peace” would be to sacrifice the most essential quality of humanity—the kernel which separates a human being from a beast of the field. German poet and playwright Friedrich Schiller explored this question in his essay “The Legislation of Lycurgus and Solon.” As Schiller describes it, Lycurgus’s Sparta was a utilitarian paradise, with all forms of society legislated to perfection. Equality was mandated and all wealth distributed, and all citizens were provided with the utmost of care. The state behaved like a finely-tuned watch and generated immense pride and patriotism from its citizens— not to mention its famous military prowess born of its soldiers’ almost unnatural strength in war. But these strengths were gained at the expense of the human spirit of the Spartans themselves, sacrificing the free play of thought and human

emotion for an indestructible security for the state. Those artifacts of human creativity—the arts and sciences—were banished in fear that they would distract citizens from a more simple-minded loyalty to the fatherland or engender dangerous rebelliousness.

As Schiller points out, the Spartans saw its citizens as a means, not as ends, thereby destroying the foundation of natural law and morality through their own legislation. Properly understood, governments are instituted to protect and foster those inherent creative potentialities within their citizens, as it is only through the improvement of those faculties that the future of the state can be secured. Isn’t this true morality, to bring the ordering of oneself and one’s government into accord with the natural laws of the universe and the beautiful potentials of the human species?

The Fight for Peace
Russell and his co-thinkers (both then and now) would force humanity into submission to their depraved and arbitrary “necessary-if-slightly-evil” world empire—as we see today with the so-called

“rules-based order.” Rather, peace should be seen as President Kennedy described it in his famous speech at American University in 1963: “What kind of peace do we seek? Not a Pax Americana enforced on the world by American weapons of war. Not the peace of the grave or the security of the slave. I am talking about genuine peace, the kind of peace that makes life on earth worth living, the kind that enables men and nations to grow and to hope and to build a better life for their children—not merely peace for Americans but peace for all men and women—not merely peace in our time but peace for all time.”

If FDR had lived, he would have seen the situation in the same way.

The release of “Oppenheimer” has helped raise the public consciousness of the nuclear bomb, and as such might just play a vital role in preventing nuclear war today. However, the movie has not elaborated upon the deeper and even more important issues underlying the resolution of this danger—up to and emphatically

including today. The dawn of the Atomic Age fundamentally altered the world by the fact that it necessitated a new paradigm if mankind were to survive an otherwise assured self-destruction. While arms control treaties and other laws restricting nuclear weapons are undoubtedly critical, this change could never be brought about by forcing an iron fist upon humanity in order to secure “peace”—only the morally stunted or willfully evil like Russell would agree to such a horror. Rather, only through the recognition of the principle of the “One Humanity” and the inherent good in every human life—no matter how great our differences—can true peace be arrived at. As such, the call by Schiller Institute chairwoman Helga Zepp-LaRouche for a new security and development architecture, which takes into account the interests of all nations, is *the* main issue currently on the table for the continued survival of the human race.

For more info of the decision to drop the bomb, go to [\[shorturl.at/aoqGV\]](https://shorturl.at/aoqGV) and [\[shorturl.at/rFPRI\]](https://shorturl.at/rFPRI)