


"It was by the press that the morals of this country have been ruined, and it is by the press that they shall be restored."

# THE NEW FEDERALIST



"Whoever would overthrow the liberty of a nation must begin by subduing the freeness of speech."

Issue 14

Newspaper of LaRouche Candidate for U.S. Senate Diane Sare

September 15, 2022

## Why Does Wall Street's Bully Fear Her?

# Sare Demands Coward Schumer Debate Her on Economy, Ukraine

**Special to New Federalist**  
NEW YORK Sept. 12—Diane Sare, LaRouche independent candidate for U.S. Senate from New York, has issued a public challenge to incumbent Democrat Chuck Schumer to debate her on two issues: the economy—which she says is headed to a disastrous total breakdown under the stewardship of Schumer's bosses in Wall Street, and the US/UK/NATO proxy war against Russia in Ukraine—which she says must be stopped immediately, and which Schumer supports by voting for tens of billions of dollars in weapons and aid for the repressive Nazi-like regime in Kiev to prolong the slaughter. Schumer, says Sare, has refused to respond to numerous requests to cut off funding to Ukraine's Center for Countering Disinformation, which has placed her and others on a hit list, which could potentially lead to their "elimination" for what the Ukrainians are calling "information terrorism." (See article, this page)

"I urge all my supporters across the state and in the nation to call the press and ask them to demand that Schumer debate me," said Sare. "They should call Schumer's office and make the same demand and get Schumer out of the closet or wherever he is hiding. Voters have the right to know where he stands!"

This seems to be the only public appearance the notoriously publicity mongering Senator wants to avoid. While shamelessly appearing for at least 30 seconds at every imaginable photo op and high school graduation, the bully for Wall Street, Schumer, has disappeared when it comes to defending his policies in a debate with Diane Sare. What is he afraid of?

### On the Ballot

On May 31, Sare shocked the state by successfully filing over 66,000 signatures to place her name on an independent line, the "LaRouche" line, on the November 8 midterm election ballot. Diane Sare was the only candidate or party to meet or exceed the oner-

ous new requirement of 45,000 signatures, which was designed to suppress independent voices in the upcoming election. Since then, she has crisscrossed the state, meeting New Yorkers from all walks of life, all of whom are being adversely affected by Schumer's policies of bailing out Wall Street with green energy and drug legalization schemes, while channeling billions of dollars to the Nazi-infested Ukrainian government to wage a proxy war against Russia, a war supported by virtually none of his constituents.

Schumer has still not responded to letters from Senate candidate Diane Sare or former UN weapons inspector, and fellow New Yorker, Scott Ritter, also one of his constituents, on whether the funds which he voted to send to Ukraine are being used to finance the Ukrainian Center for Countering Disinformation, which produced a blacklist which named Sare and Ritter as "information terrorists" and "war criminals" to be prosecuted! This in clear violation of their First Amendment rights as expressed in the U.S. Constitution.

"My opponent, Chuck Schumer, has no problem showing up to get his picture taken at a fire in the Bronx, or a flood in Queens," said



Credit: Lamar, Mobilus in Mobili

Diane Sare, whose campaign is taking out highly visible billboards in New York City and elsewhere, has challenged incumbent Chuck Schumer to debate her.

Sare is issuing her challenge today, "but when the time comes for action, he's nowhere to be found. He will come around during election season and hand out funding for some things, like the long-overdue improvements at Co-Op City in the Bronx or housing in Rochester, but what people have to realize—and more and more of them do—is that it is Schumer's policies which are

killing them in the first place."

### Economy and War: the Issues

"As far as I'm concerned," said Sare, "the major issue in this race is the economy, which is in a breakdown collapse. And related to that is the danger of nuclear war, which contrary to the stupid PSA released by the NYC Department of Emergency Management, no one will survive, and therefore

must be prevented.

"The late economist and statesman Lyndon LaRouche, whose centenary we celebrate this month (see editorial, page one) warned more than 60 years ago about the perils of going into a post-industrial, 'rock-drug-sex' society, and Schumer, who's been in Washington, D.C. for 42 years, is the poster

Please turn to page 2

## EDITORIAL

### On the Centenary of LaRouche

As a 33-year associate of Lyndon LaRouche, whose centennial year we celebrate this September 8, I wanted to share the very powerful statement composed by his widow Helga Zepp-LaRouche which was published in the German weekly newspaper *Neue Solidarität*. She has made the point repeatedly that the vilification and incarceration of her husband, while difficult as it was for the two of them, was far more devastating to the people of the United States and the world as a whole. Unfortunately, because so much was done to suppress his ideas, starting already in the late 1960s, many Americans today have no idea how much worse off they are because Lyndon LaRouche was never allowed to become President of the United States. I would urge people to read Helga's words carefully, as well as the excerpt of a speech by LaRouche on the unique power of the American Presidency that we publish on page 3, and to get to know the greatest statesman that the United States has produced thus far. We must now produce a new generation of leaders who will be able to stand on LaRouche's shoulders and create a world where what creates war, and famine, and pandemics has been overcome.—Diane Sare

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

One hundred years ago, on September 8, 1922, Lyndon

LaRouche was born, a person whom many people throughout the world, including myself, consider the greatest thinker of our era. For the Trans-Atlantic Establishment, on the other hand, he is the most hated, feared and slandered person and that, in the time of Donald Trump, Vladimir Putin and Xi Jinping, speaks volumes. As I was fortunate enough to be married to him for 41 years, and to have worked with him for half a century in building up an international movement, I can add my personal judgment that he was the most beautiful soul, in the sense of Friedrich Schiller, that I have ever met. That means that, for him, freedom and necessity, passion and duty were one, and he was precisely the type of individual that corresponds to the characterization Schiller gave of a genius. And what is truly excellent, is that his ideas are alive today and influential in many countries of the world.

Lyndon LaRouche had unparalleled knowledge and an un-failing sense of the coherence of philosophical, epistemological, cultural, and scientific concepts, which allowed him to be at home in the history of such ideas, and to immediately recognize their essential nature. Based on this ability, he rejected in the early 1950s the information theory and systems analysis of people such as Norbert Wiener and John

Please turn to page 3

### Special to New Federalist

NEW YORK Sept. 12—Independent candidate for US Senate Diane Sare joined journalists, reporters, academics, activists and artists from 14 nations in demanding the shutdown of a global drive for media suppression under the pretext of the Ukraine-Russia conflict. This coalesced around two events: a conference held on September 6th in Moscow by the "Foundation to Battle Injustice," and an online press conference on the following day sponsored by Executive Intelligence Review magazine.

The events were a direct answer to two "Kill lists" ostensibly emanating from Ukraine. One was issued by the Ukrainian Center for Countering Disinformation (CCD), a U.S. State Department-funded official institution of the Ukrainian government, and the other was compiled by the *Myrotvorets* ("Peacemaker") website in Ukraine. Participants in these events demanded that they be shut down and that all U.S. funding be immediately stopped.

The CCD blacklist was announced on July 14 by representatives of the Ukrainian government. The list originally included 78 names (later 72 names), the first 30 of those names being participants in previous conferences held by the Schiller Institute, which con-

ferences had titles such as "There Can Be No Peace Without the Bankruptcy Reorganization of the Dying Trans-Atlantic Financial System." At the September 7th event, journalists participated from Germany, Russia, Serbia, Argentina, Mexico, U.S., and Romania. Other journalists monitored the event, and Consortium News live-streamed the full event, as did Peruvian media. Tens of thousands had viewed the event in the first 24 hours.

The lead presenters were: Col. Richard Black (ret.), former head of the U.S. Army's Criminal Law Division at the Pentagon and former Virginia State Senator; Scott Ritter, a former United States Marine Corps intelligence officer and Chief United Nations Special Commission (UNSCOM) weapons inspector to Iraq, 1991-1998; Ray McGovern, former Analyst, Central Intelligence Agency (CIA-ret.) and founding member of the Veteran Intelligence Professionals for Sanity (VIPS); and Diane Sare.

Col. Black, a highly decorated Vietnam combat veteran, pointed out that the CCD action paralleled the U.S. attack on free speech in the form of the "Disinformation Governance Board," whose first appointed head was the "narcissist Nina Jankowicz" who was "so outrageous" that a popular outcry against her forced her withdrawal



Credit: Michelle Erin

Executive Intelligence Review held a Sept. 7 virtual press conference, which featured targets of the notorious Ukrainian "hitlist," including Independent candidate for U.S. Senate Diane Sare (L) and former UN Weapons inspector Scott Ritter (R)

and then the closing of the Board, (at least officially). There is little doubt, however, that the U.S. government, also a leading funder of the Ukrainian CCD, has continued its efforts in this direction.

Col. Black stated that he believed that the CCD makes referrals to the Myrotvorets Center, which then targets these referrals for assassination. "It's unlawful for a U.S. agency to fund a foreign agency in order to censor American citizens. It's unlawful for the agency to do it here; it's unlawful for them to fund and pay off another country to do it over there. The Ukrainian Center for Countering Disinformation makes available names and personal information to a murderous, Kyiv-based website,

Myrotvorets, which is suspected of encouraging such killings as the Moscow car-bombing of Darya Dugina, who was the daughter of a prominent Russian activist and journalist. They have also listed on their website—which is very extensive, it includes thousands of people—hundreds of children whose crime is that they posted pro-Russian Facebook on their computers. Also, while the facts remain very speculative, and I want to be clear on that, the Rio Times now sees links between Ukraine and the attempted assassination of Argentina's Vice President Cristina Kirchner. Kirchner was criticized early on by Ukrainian sympathizers for refusing to

Please turn to page 2

## Central Bankers Push World Economy Over Cliff

### Special to New Federalist

NEW YORK, Sept. 8—Led by the U.S. Federal Reserve, the world's central bankers, acting on orders from the global financial oligarchy centered in the City of London and its American satrap, Wall Street, are prepared to push the trans-Atlantic financial system over the cliff into total collapse. That was the signal being sent by Fed chairman Jerome Powell on Aug. 26 when he told bankers gathered at Jackson Hole, Wyoming that the Fed intended to follow through with its high interest rate regime until inflation is controlled, even if it means considerable "pain" for the American and world population.

The Fed is expected later this month to reinforce Powell's statements with another severe hike in the Fed funds rate, which will jack

up interest rates even higher. A well-placed financial source said this week that Powell is trying "to cast himself as the new Paul Volcker," the late former Fed chairman who from 1979-82 raised interest rates ultimately as high as 20%, blowing apart the economy in its process. But as this source and other rational financial insiders recognize, the trans-Atlantic economy is far more fragile than it was 40 years ago, since nearly everything of value has been looted, leaving behind a bubble of fictitious assets, and that such measures will produce a full-blown collapse, even worse than the Great Depression of the 1930s.

### Financial Sovereignty

But, as was reported in the previous issue of *The New Federalist*, this is precisely what the central bankers intend. They in-

tend to use the ensuing panic and chaos to create a new version of their bankrupt monetarist system, under their total control. They intend to use emergency conditions to slash social spending and eliminate sovereign control by elected governments over their finances and economy, turning such decisions over to a committee of so-called "experts." The new system will have gutted the productivity of vital commodities, including food and energy, and will therefore cause a catastrophic collapse from current population levels. But the same financial powers whose monetarist policies have wrecked the world economy and brought it to the edge of collapse, would still be calling the shots.

The bankers will try to blame all this on "Putin's unprovoked

Please turn to page 4



### Schiller Institute NYC Chorus Gives 9/11 Memorial Concert

Diane Sare, who founded the Schiller Institute NYC Chorus, acknowledges soprano soloist Michelle Erin at the conclusion of a spiritual performed by the chorus as part of a 9/11 Memorial Vigil held in lower Manhattan on September 9. The theme of this vigil, held in Battery Park, was the heroic efforts of the Coast Guard and the Sandy Hook Pilots to rally and coordinate hundreds of boats in the aftermath of the attack on the towers, evacuating over 400,000 people to safety in one day.

# Join the Campaign at SareforSenate.com

Paid for by Sare for Senate



One Year Later, City Still Faces Flood Danger


Schumer, City Officials Abandon Ida Flood Victims

**Special to New Federalist**  
NEW YORK, Sept. 7—As heavy rains are forecast over New York City, as in recent days, thousands of residents fear for their lives and property, since nothing has been done to ameliorate the threat of deadly flooding that killed 13 people in their apartments during Hurricane Ida one year ago. Further, in an act of callous indifference, the City, backed by the Senator from Wall Street, Chuck Schumer (D-NY) has found some obscure legal reason to deny more than 4,700 claims for financial compensation for damages from Ida. While Schumer huffed and puffed about this being an outrage, his office reports that it has done nothing to secure monies for the residents, many of whom have yet to return to their homes or to find new housing.

Last year’s flooding, which overwhelmed the City’s under-sized and decaying storm water management system, was said to be a once in a hundred year event, with record rainfall in the course of less than an hour. But a study of the event and other flooding by the US Geological Survey, found dozens of areas in the city, besides the Woodside neighborhood

in Queens which had the most fatalities, where it could be expected that any severe rain storm could produce flooding effects. They have even provided the City with a map of these “flood zones.” Sources report that the City lacks any real funding to deal with the problem and report that they have known about this severe danger to human life for well over a decade and can mount no serious effort to deal with it. You are talking about “billions and billions of dollars,” said a source familiar with both the study and the problem. “Where will we get that kind of money?”

**Aid Needed**  
Not from Chuck Schumer’s friends on Wall Street, unless the City is willing to pay usurious interest costs for borrowing—presuming they would even lend the funds. And apparently not from Schumer’s friends, if he indeed has any in the Biden Administration, which has indicated that it will “help” with a few millions for so-called “green” water management projects, but not the tens and even hundreds of billions that might be needed to create a modern sewer and wastewater management system for the nation’s premiere urban



New York City residents remain unprotected from potential deadly floods that will overwhelm its inadequate wastewater management system, as a map produced from USGS data shows.

city, lower Manhattan and Wall Street, from surges which occur during Category 4 or 5 storms, which weather forecasters say will occur more frequently in the years ahead.

Most outrageous of all was the so-called logic used last month by City Comptroller Brad Lander in denying the more than 4,700 claims by victims of damage from Hurricane Ida. While he acknowledged the City’s responsibility for the operation and the state of the

wastewater management and sewer system, he found a loophole to avoid the claims in a 1907(!) court case that ruled municipal governments are not liable for damage from “extra-ordinary and excessive rainfalls”—even if the city’s sewer system was not up to capacity. ‘I wish I did not have to do this,’ the Comptroller said in a letter to the residents denying each claim, “but we are bound by the law.”

**City Could Pay**  
“That is totally absurd,” said in-

A Rising Tide of Addiction

Schumer’s Pot Push Spikes Use Among Young

**Special to New Federalist**  
NEW YORK, Sept. 8—Legalization of recreational pot, which Senator Chuck Schumer considers to be his most important initiative, and which he is driving the Congress to pass coincident with commercialization of marijuana in the state of New York, has already produced an alarming rise in pot usage, a new study shows. The study conducted by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and reported with alarm by the Chicago Tribune, found that marijuana and other hallucinogen use among young adults in the Midwest

pared with just 21% in 2016 and 17% in 2011. Scariest of all was that 11% of those polled reported using dope *every day*, a sharp increase from previous years.

**Serious Health Concerns**  
In an editorial, the *Tribune* said that while there can be solid arguments made for decriminalization of pot use, the creation of a vast and expansive industry to promote its legal use and then make huge profits from it, is a matter of concern. The editors say that if similar figures were reported for alcohol use, “there would be legitimate public health concerns. Cannabis

This pot, which is grown legally on marijuana farms for “medical” distribution, may be an order of magnitude more addictive and dangerous than weed from even a decade ago.

A recent expose on the Tablet website says that weed is rapidly becoming the new OxyContin in creating serious addictions, with dangerous psychotic and often potentially fatal effects.

**Schumer Must Not Succeed**  
“Despite such reports and evidence, Schumer pushes blindly ahead with his drive to legalize commercial marijuana and other drugs,” said his opponent, independent LaRouche candidate Diane Sare. “He can’t be allowed to succeed in drugging our youth. In this crisis, we are going to need competent thinking people who can quickly master the skills needed to rebuild the nation. Schumer is serving his Wall Street controllers by numbing the minds of our potential workforce, keeping them high and happy, while Wall Street destroys their lives. We must end the reign of Schumer and his fellow dope pushers.”

As of this writing, Schumer’s “Marijuana Freedom and Opportunity Act” is reputed to have a slim chance of passage in this session. In addition, sources report that President Joe Biden has told Schumer that he will not sign his bill into law should it pass. Sare pointed out that on March 28, the Center for Countering Disinformation posted a document defining information terrorism as a crime against humanity. “How can asserting an idea be a crime?” she asked. “Presumably, if I were to assert something which is false, there’s a remedy, which is proof that I am wrong; tell the truth. If I say 3+6=17, someone can demonstrate that that is not true and that is not correct. Therefore, it is an absurdity to equate saying something which is not true with ‘terrorism.’”



Credit: Diane Sare

Legalization drive, led by pot pusher Chuck Schumer, has produced an alarming spike in pot usage among young adults, studies show.

reached an all-time high last year. What was even more alarming was the increasing rate of growth of such usage.

According to the study, the proportion of young adults who used marijuana was 43% in 2021, compared to 34% in 2016, and just 29% in 2011. Almost 30% said they used weed in the last month, com-

should not be exempt from the same conversations.”

Not reported by the Tribune is the growing concern among medical and psychiatric professionals, that the pot already being circulated by legal medical marijuana outlets contains alarmingly high levels of THC, the component of marijuana that make you high.

Sare, Others Demand Shutdown of NATO/Ukraine “Hit List” Against Free Speech

**Continued from page 1**  
harshly condemn Russia’s special operation in Ukraine, and for calling for peace talks to end the war. Whether the Ukrainian Center for Countering Disinformation is involved or not, these violent acts suggest that the CCD is encouraging them. They may not be behind them, but they are certainly encouraging them.

So, it’s our position that the Congressional appropriations must explicitly forbid Ukraine from using funds to silence patriotic American voices.”

Dr. George Koo, a retired businessman and chairman of the Burlingame Foundation, asked the question “Why is my name on a blacklist?” He stated that he has never publicly spoken about the conflict in Ukraine being a proxy war against Russia, or about the Ukrainian Nazi formations. His conclusion was that his presentations at Schiller Institute conferences advocating an end to the war through diplomacy must have been the trigger for his inclusion on the list. Although in one sense he expressed honor to be on the CCD list for his views on supporting an end to the war, he was also out-

raged that U.S. taxpayer money is being used in an attempt to silence his voice.

Scott Ritter powerfully indicted the US Congress for its inaction in the face of the kill list. “Disagree with me all you want; do not label me a Russian propagandist for telling the truth. Do not label me an information terrorist for telling the truth. Do not mark me for death for telling the truth. This isn’t a message to the Ukrainian government. I don’t care about them. We know who they are; we know what they are. This is a message for the United States Congress. You work for me, Chuck Schumer. You work for me, Kirsten Gillibrand. You work for me, Paul Tonko. You are my Congressional delegation, and I have written each one of you a letter specifying the fact that you voted in favor of the afore-mentioned resolution authorizing funds to be allocated to Ukraine. Those funds are being used to target and intimidate American citizens—your constituents—for exercising their Constitutional right of freedom of speech. They’re being targeted for death.”

U.S. Senate candidate Diane Sare thanked Scott Ritter for his

remarks and added, “as a candidate running against Senator Schumer, one has to ask, is this some round-about way of seeking to suppress my candidacy and to silence any debate over the policy of arming and supporting a fascist regime in Ukraine, for the purpose of having a war with Russia?” Sare pointed out that on March 28, the Center for Countering Disinformation posted a document defining information terrorism as a crime against humanity. “How can asserting an idea be a crime?” she asked. “Presumably, if I were to assert something which is false, there’s a remedy, which is proof that I am wrong; tell the truth. If I say 3+6=17, someone can demonstrate that that is not true and that is not correct. Therefore, it is an absurdity to equate saying something which is not true with ‘terrorism.’”

“I do have to say that I do find it rather astounding that 77 years after the Nazis were defeated in Europe, we have such a situation, where somehow people don’t think there’s anything wrong with Nazism any more, where we have assassins, like the fellow who killed 10 people in Buffalo, wearing the Black Sun logo that the Azov

Battalion shares, a Nazi logo; the Christchurch [New Zealand] killer who killed 51 Muslims worshipping at their mosques, and the fellow who wanted to assassinate Cristina Fernández de Kirchner in Argentina. How is it that we have a revival of the glorification of Nazism, and why is it that the United States would not vote to condemn this at the United Nations, saying that somehow it’s a matter of free speech?”

“Every American should demand your representative take a stand on this, and the right stand, that this should be shut down; the United States should not be associated with such activity. And it does raise the question, who is running such activity? And I’ll leave it at that.”

**A Different Idea**  
Helga Zepp-LaRouche, listed as #2 on the CCD list and is on the Myrotvorts list as well, also thanked the participants. But she offered a “counter-intuitive” view of why people appeared on the blacklists. “I would like to suggest that the people on the list are *not* there because they don’t stick to the narrative which is allowed, the official narrative about this

conflict, *but because they represent*—or many of them, at least those who were participating in the Schiller conferences—they *represent the potential of a solution to the present strategic conflict*. And therefore, I would say the whole discussion about the narrative, what makes you a “Russian propagandist,” is also a proxy discussion; like a proxy war has a proxy discussion.

“In order to make clear what I mean, if you look at the long history of terrorism and how it was used in history, many cases were actually targeting people who represented a solution to a strategic crisis. And of the many examples I could use, I only want to refer to (1922 German foreign minister, the assassinated ) Walther Rathenau, and what was the result for all the people who signed the Rapallo Treaty, 100 years ago: That was the elimination of a system. Then, if you look the assassination of John F. Kennedy and (Italy’s) Enrico Mattei, the same thing applies.”

“...the first 31 people (on the CCD list) have all committed no other crime than to participate in conferences of the Schiller Institute since April. And the issue was

dependent candidate for U.S. Senate Diane Sare. “If they wanted to pay the claims, they could have—and should have.” Sare had demanded full federal funding for the needed wastewater system improvements, as well as funding for the floodgates, and urged Schumer to join her in these efforts. “The City, the Federal government, and Chuck Schumer have abandoned New York City to the ravages of potential floods. The blood is on their hands.”

Sare said that the City needs a plan, with specific target dates and funding for projects to fix these problems. “It was outrageous that Mayor Adams and other city officials along with Federal officials recently held a press conference to claim credit for starting to fix these problems,” Sare said. “They have done nothing, or rather nothing that is effective. They have really protected no one. It is a sobering thought to know we are entering another hurricane season, with no new infrastructure.”

FEMA has approved \$223 million in aid for flood victims statewide, two thirds of whom (more than 61,000) are in New York City. But that does not cover their damages. Spokesmen for the residents say that they are likely to file suit against the City, a move which Sare supports.

Sare Demands Schumer Debate Economy, Ukraine

Continued from page 1

child of that horrible transformation. I think that’s why he’s afraid to debate me. He knows that I will insist that he answer for his support of every war, including the 2003 invasion of Iraq, that Nancy Pelosi has admitted they knew was based on lies, and his recent support for sending billions of dollars to a Nazi-infested Ukrainian government which is being cynically used, with horrific consequences for the Ukrainian people, for a US/UK/NATO war against Russia.

“I would also like to ask Senator Schumer about his support for the repeal of Franklin Roosevelt’s Glass-Steagall Act, and his unbridled support for every single Wall Street bailout, which is really why we are experiencing hyperinflation, and has nothing to do with anything that Russian President Putin has done.”

From Aug. 24-Sept. 6, the Sare for Senate campaign had a colorful booth at the New York State fair in Syracuse. This fair is the oldest and largest fair in the nation, dating back to 1841, and the table was seen by tens of thousands of people. Sare, who spent several days there in person, and her volunteers, got out over 20,000 pieces of literature, including copies of this newspaper, and signed up dozens of new campaign volunteers. They also met many elected officials, one of whom, when asked by Diane on Facebook whether he’d stopped by her table, posted “We did, and it looked great. Your messages are



Credit: Marcel Lutecce

The Sare for Senate campaign booth at the New York State Fair in Syracuse was seen by thousands of visitors. Here the candidate is speaking with voters who are interested in her campaign to unseat Schumer.

spot on and hoping that the truth prevails this election. I know that can be a long shot, but the people are tired of being lied to!”

**A Nation in Distress**

People who stopped to talk gave a revealing picture of the desperate condition of the state and the nation. One man said, “I’m a machinist, and I’m 51 years old. Of the 45 guys in my shop, only three are younger than I am.” A nurse reported problems of staffing at hospitals, where the patient to nurse ratio is out of control and unmanageable. Several people reported being on the verge of eviction from their homes or apartments due to pandemic-related job losses, and many local elected officials stopped to talk about the hardships being faced by their municipalities, including the state’s overreach in forcing windmills and solar panels onto farmland in their

jurisdiction in violation of local zoning ordinances, which can now be ignored according to a recent change in State law.

On Sept. 6, a large billboard saying “U.S. Out of NATO, Dump Schumer, Vote Diane Sare Nov. 8” appeared over the busy Gowanus Expressway in Brooklyn. It’s viewed by over half a million people per day, and the campaign has already received many calls from it.

“I want to urge all my supporters, as well as people of good will who seek a fair election, to demand that Schumer debate me,” said Sare. “Call into the talk shows, post things on social media, and call this coward directly. Why is he afraid of me? Maybe he is waiting for his Nazi friends in the Ukraine to silence me. Who knows? I say, ‘Man up, Chuck, and face someone who will hold you accountable for your terrible record.’”

not necessarily Ukraine, or even the war, but the issue in all of these conferences was the need to find a way to avoid an escalation which could lead to World War III, coming, naturally, out of the war in Ukraine. And the discussion was how to create a new international security architecture which would involve the interests of every single country, because clearly, if we go into a full-fledged confrontation between NATO and Russia, and China by association, this could mean the end of civilization.”

At the end of the press conference, Scott Ritter proposed what he thought should be done. “We need a constitutional lawyer to take a hard look at the First Amendment issues that are accrued when Congress funds an appropriation bill that empowers a foreign entity to do that which is prohibited by Congress! Namely, the suppression of free speech! We also need to focus on terrorism laws, and is Congress complicit with political terrorism? These are important issues...” Perhaps New Yorkers will join hands in defense of free speech and against ‘kill lists’, and deliver a shock to Chuck Schumer that is heard round the world.”



— EDITORIAL —

On the Centenary of LaRouche

Continued from page 1

von Neumann as inadequate for describing economic processes, and developed his own scientific method of physical economy, which built upon Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz, Friedrich List, Henry C. Carey and Bernhard Riemann, among others.

From this point of view and with the benefit of his vast knowledge of two thousand five hundred years of the history of European culture and science and of universal history in general, he recognized, much more clearly than anyone else, the potentially catastrophic effects of the drug-sex-rock counter-culture of the 1960s on the cognitive potential and, thus, on the long-term productivity of the population. What is likely his most significant forecast, however, was his assessment of President Nixon’s abolition of the Bretton Woods System through the introduction of flexible exchange rates and the decoupling of the dollar from the gold standard on August 15, 1971. He warned at that time that if the change in course that assumed—toward a purely monetarist financial system aimed at profit maximization—were to be maintained, the world would necessarily head toward a new depression, a new fascism, and the danger of a new world war, unless a totally new and just world economic order were established.

Unfortunately, the Trans-Atlantic Establishment did not listen to LaRouche. That is why, 50 years later, the world is now precisely at

the point he had forecast. Over the course of the ensuing decades, every time the Wall Street and City of London financial oligarchy promoted the process of market deregulation to the detriment of the real economy, he put his finger on the wound, and analyzed the consequences of this policy. The Carter Administration’s policy of “controlled disintegration of the economy,” Volcker’s high interest rates, outsourcing to cheap labor markets, just-in-time production, the policy of mergers and acquisitions, Reaganomics and Thatcherism, the repeal of the Glass-Steagall Act, the shareholder-value society, derivatives speculation, the fatal consequences of the miraculous monetary expansion of QE and the zero-interest policy—he denounced all of these milestones of the neoliberal financial system as fundamental errors that were ultimately just stations on the way to the systemic crash.

Rather than using his analyses to correct their mistakes, the financial oligarchy regarded LaRouche from the beginning as a deadly danger for their system, and launched a decades-long international crusade to suppress his ideas and thereby his influence. A veritable army of agents of influence in the media and all kinds of institutions, including diplomats around the world, was deployed internationally to pressure anyone who showed interest in whatever form in his proposals.

Thousands of Analyses

The hundreds, if not thousands, of razor-sharp analyses and as-

sessments that LaRouche provided over the years would have absolutely sufficed to prevent the current strategic catastrophe. But at the same time, he also used his warnings to present concepts for a solution. When several heads of state began in the early 1980s to take up his ideas and implement them, the financial oligarchy basically decided that LaRouche had to be eliminated. Mexico’s then President, José López Portillo, had asked LaRouche to write for him a program in defense of the peso and the economy, which he began to implement on September 1, 1982. India’s Prime Minister Indira Gandhi began at the same time to implement LaRouche’s proposed 40-year program for the economic development of India. And on March 23, 1983, U.S. President Ronald Reagan announced the Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI) that LaRouche had proposed, which was the most extensive proposal for a new global security architecture that anyone had designed up to that time, which would have overcome the NATO and Warsaw Pact blocs and initiated a large-scale development perspective for the developing sector. President Reagan was ready to change the strategic constellation at the time, while the Arbatov-Ogarkov-Gorbachov faction in the Soviet Union rejected this proposal, and thereby chose a path that significantly contributed to the early demise of the Soviet Union.

LaRouche ran for President of the United States eight times, seven of them in Democratic Party primaries. Just reporting on the

sabotage operations run against him by the party leadership apparatus associated with Al Gore, Barack Obama, Hillary Clinton, and the current leadership would fill an entire book. When LaRouche candidates began to win elections in 1986—taking the second and third highest positions for state office in primaries in Illinois—the decision was made to attack him for good.

The 1986 Raid

On October 6, 1986, the FBI staged a raid on LaRouche’s home and offices, deploying 400 heavily armed law enforcement officials, armored vehicles, and helicopters, an operation that makes the recent raid on Trump’s Mar-a-Lago estate by 40 FBI agents look like a child’s birthday party. The objective of the raid on LaRouche and myself was nothing less than to eliminate us physically, which was only prevented by an intervention from the White House.

What followed were trumped-up charges, the illegal use of the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), rigged trials, and finally the incarceration of LaRouche and a number of his associates.

At an international tribunal initiated by civil rights activists and African-American state legislators after LaRouche was released from prison, the former U.S. Attorney General of the Johnson administration, Ramsey Clark, who took the initiative of intervening on his own, described the actions of the Administration as the most “complex and pervasive utilization of law enforcement, prosecution, media, and non-governmental organizations focussed on destroy-

ing an enemy” that he had ever seen, concluding that the malfeasance in “this case must be number one.”

I participated in this tribunal. I insisted emphatically that the greatest crime against LaRouche was not to have unjustly condemned such a great and wonderful mind to prison, but rather that the massive slander campaign of his name and his ideas prevented to a large extent the American population, and beyond that, the international public, from grappling with his ideas and above all with the solutions he proposed.

Today, 27 years after that tribunal, on the 100th anniversary of the birth of Lyndon LaRouche, we can study the result of the financial oligarchy’s attempted campaign to destroy him. The trans-Atlantic financial system is about to end in hyperinflation, the “rules-based order of values” and NATO are a colossus with feet of clay, and there is a transparent attempt to control the “narratives” by muzzling the entire population and immediately slandering anyone who voices an opinion of their own on the causes of the war or inflation as an “agent of Putin.” If the West continues like this, we will fail.

On the other hand, LaRouche’s ideas have had enormous success. His proposals for the development of infrastructure in developing countries, which he has presented since the early 1970s, and his program for the New Silk Road, which has become the World Land-Bridge and was his response to the collapse of the Soviet Union, are now being realized by China and its Belt and Road/Silk Road

Initiative. The new economic and financial system being realized today by many countries and institutions in the Global South is based on his concept of physical economy, while economists in many countries, especially in Asia, are studying LaRouche’s writings and implementing them for the benefit of their countries.

LaRouche was a patriot of the America that fought the first successful war of independence against the British Empire, but he was also a world citizen, who always put the interest of mankind as a whole first. People could sense that, and when LaRouche traveled in developing countries or Europe, they often expressed their utmost trust in him, in a way that only true friendship would allow.

In rejecting LaRouche’s ideas, the West did itself no favor. That the United States treated its greatest son so ignobly will remain a stain on its history forever. The countries that apply his ideas are already economically successful, and will be even more so in the future. Although official success was denied to him by Western countries during his long and incomparably productive life, he led a rich, extraordinarily fulfilling and happy life, because he was inwardly the most free and most creative person on Earth. Was Socrates successful, even though he was murdered? He certainly is, while his murderers are forgotten dust.

Lyndon LaRouche is the Nemesis of his enemies and the joy and pride of a future, better era for mankind. He is immortal.

FEATURE

LaRouche: Only the President Can Lead the World to a New Monetary System

Special to New Federalist

*This year, on September 8, we celebrate the centenary of the late American statesman and the world’s leading physical economist, Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. To honor him, we present below a lightly edited version of a presentation he made at a conference in 1999. This is typical of the “tour de force” presentations of concise and vivid histories, in this case of the recent history of this country, depicting in this case the tragedy of missed opportunities and wrong policy choices that make the survival of the U.S. and world precarious to this day. But also typical of Mr. LaRouche: after offering this insight, he also points to the direction of a solution, which no matter how difficult, is nonetheless possible. His remarks have been slightly edited for space, and subheads have been added. For the full address, go to: <https://larouchelibrary.org/1999-09-17-new-strategy-united-states>*

The world is presently in the final phase of disintegration of a global financial system which was set into motion in the middle of August 1971. From that point on, from the decision made by President Nixon in 1971, to the present time, the U.S. economy has undergone a series of degenerative phase-shifts in policy making and in practice.

This degeneration of the U.S. economy also reflects a broader degeneration, led by the British Commonwealth—the British monarchy—and other nations who have joined with the so-called Anglo-Americans in imposing upon the world the so-called floating exchange-rate monetary system of the period to the present time, since 1971.

That system is presently hopelessly bankrupt. It will not last. It will disintegrate, or it will be shut down. My alternative, rather than letting it disintegrate, is *to shut it down*. That means that someone with authority must step in, put the old system into bankruptcy reorganization, in the same way you would put a bank in the local community into bankruptcy reorganization—more or less the same—and set in a new system to continue the essential operations, the economic operations performed by the old system, but under new rules, and under new management.

It is my view, which I’ve expressed on many occasions, that the only agency which can accomplish this, is the Presidency

of the United States. Not that the President of the United States can dictate such a system to the world at large, but rather, without a leading role by the President of the United States, it is most unlikely, perhaps impossible in practice, that other nations would be able to get together with the United States to shut the old system down, put it into bankruptcy, and keep the world economy going, preferably without missing a step, under new management, new rules, and new policies.

I’ve proposed that for some time, as a New Bretton Woods system...

A New Bretton Woods System

We had a workable system from the time that [Franklin] Roosevelt was leading the United States during World War II, until approximately 1964; until after the assassination of President John Kennedy. There were many mistakes in the system, but it worked. The system underwent a degenerative transformation from 1964 to 1971, when the old Bretton Woods system was canceled, and the new Bretton Woods system, the present one, the so-called floating exchange-rate system, was put into effect on the initiative of President Nixon.

That former system, the Roosevelt-led system, worked in general. It gave us a world economic recovery, at least in Western Europe, and in most of the Americas. It also was beneficial for Japan, and beneficial for other parts of the world. This system continued in the form it had operated after Roosevelt’s death, until about 1958. Then, the rules began to change. The mud began to slide.


There was an attempt to go back to the Roosevelt policies under President Kennedy. Kennedy’s assassination was the end of the effort to revive Roosevelt’s economic policies.

We’re now in a situation where the old system has failed, and we desperately need an immediate change to build a new system. In the reality of politics, to make such changes under emergency conditions, and to make them suddenly, we must rely, at least to a very large degree, on choosing precedents from past history, preferably periods of history as close to our own as possible, and use those precedents as guides to design the policy changes we must put into effect, to replace the present bankrupt international monetary system with a new one which we urgently need to keep the world economy going.

It is my view that throughout the world, only the President of the United States, in his position as

President, under our Constitution, has the influence, or the potential influence, and the legal position to be the rallying point for other governments which wish to cooperate in this enterprise. So that the United States government, under a leadership of its President, in cooperation with other governments which desire to cooperate, can set up a new international monetary system, whether the rest of the world wants it or not.

We will do it that way, with that kind of arrogance, because we are acting so that we can survive, and so that the majority of the human race can survive. In other words, we



The late statesman and physical economist Lyndon LaRouche. Credit: EIRNS/Philip Ulanowsky

will be acting jointly for the general welfare of the world, and those who oppose that must give way.

Given the authority and influence, waning as it may be, of the United States, the President of the United States is the one political figure on this planet who could, as they say, *pull that off*.

Now, the principles involved are much older than Roosevelt. But as I said, we go back to Roosevelt in order to find a precedent for policies which we must recognize were successful, in direct contrast to the policies under which we are presently operating, which have been a disaster for about 30 years, a continuing disaster.

FDR’s Policies

Look at Roosevelt’s policies, and let’s look at them from the standpoint of their historical background, and general principles.

During World War II, the period of a terrible alliance between a Roosevelt-led United States and a Churchill-led Great Britain, Roosevelt set forth to Winston Churchill, and to others, very clearly, three precise policies or policy guidelines for the postwar world, that the United States would introduce to the world, *whether the British monarchy liked it or not*.

The first thing that Roosevelt specified was that the world was no longer going to tolerate what Roosevelt described as “the British 18th-century methods,” that is, the methods of the British East India

Company and Adam Smith, the so-called “free trade” method.

Rather, Roosevelt insisted that because of U.S. power to create this change, the postwar world would be dominated by American methods, the methods which were introduced into the United States officially under the first President, George Washington, as typified by the policy papers of Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton. We were going to make American methods available to the world as a whole. And we were going to scrap the ability of the British to continue to impose their free trade system, their gold standard system,

and similar kinds of systems, on the world any longer. The second thing that Roosevelt was committed to doing, as he stated explicitly to an irate Churchill, was that with the end of the war, the United States’ power and the support it would have from around the world, would act immediately to eliminate all continuation of Portuguese, Dutch, British, and French imperialism and colonialist methods. That this would be the occasion for freeing these nations, which had been subject to these empires or these types of influences, to become sovereign nation-states, enjoying access to the kinds of technology and technological progress which the United States enjoyed and desired to continue to enjoy. And the British would simply have to give up their old system.

Thirdly, Roosevelt pointed to the map of Africa and other parts of the world, and emphasized that with the postwar world, the United States would take the leadership, using American methods, proven American methods of basic economic infrastructure development, and so forth, in order to build up these parts of the world which had been looted, ruined, and kept depressed under British and similar kinds of methods.

Parts of Roosevelt’s policy were adopted after his death. But with his death, the policy as a whole died. Where President Roosevelt had envisaged the United Nations

Organization as a way of bringing together nations as a community of principle around the idea of the sovereign nation-state—the perfectly sovereign nation-state—that such a community of states must be the dominant force and authority on this planet. And the United Nations would be a vehicle to facilitate the bringing into being that kind of community of principle of which, for example, Secretary of State and later President John Quincy Adams had written, in advising President Monroe to institute the so-called Monroe Doctrine of 1823.

After FDR’s Death

But, with the President’s death, President Truman, under the influence of the British, ordered Japanese troops, of a defeated Japan, which were sitting in camps waiting to be shipped back to Japan, in Indochina, to come out of the camps, pick up their weapons, and impose French colonial rule on Indochina.

The arrangement was that the British would return first to take over and replace the Japanese, and then French troops would come in to replace the British. The same thing was done with the Dutch colonies, as present-day Indonesia. The same thing was done with the French colonial empire. The same thing was done with the relics of the Portuguese empire.

So that part of the policy—Roosevelt’s community of principle—was not established.

Truman then adopted from Britain a policy, a nuclear weapons policy, designed by a group of people in Britain around [British philosopher] Bertrand Russell, perhaps the most evil man of the 20th century. Russell’s policy was the policy of the British monarchy. This policy was imposed upon Truman, who, on British directives, through New York people, such as the Harrimans, who were essentially agents-of-influence of the British, decided to drop the only two nuclear bombs in the U.S. arsenal on the civilian population of an already-defeated Japan. Japan had been defeated. [The commander of Allied forces in the Pacific, Gen Douglas] MacArthur, aided by the U.S. naval blockade of Japan, had brought Japan to the point that it had no choice but to surrender. It had no possibility of getting the imports on which its economy depended to survive. At the same time, the Emperor of Japan had already agreed, through the Vatican to the United States, on terms of surrender, which happened to be, exactly, more or less,

the terms of surrender which Japan accepted in signing of the surrender agreement.

The problem was, that a certain section of the Japanese military, who had been opponents of Emperor Hirohito, were determined to continue the war. This was the military section of Japan which had launched the second Sino-Japanese war in China. These were the hard-ball guys. And the MacArthur policy was—sit back, wait until maybe October, until the crunch of the naval blockade brings the Japan military to submit to the will of the Emperor, who has ordered the surrender of Japan.

The British were determined that that should not happen. Therefore, they induced the President of the United States, President Truman, a fool, to drop the only two fission nuclear weapons the United States had, on this helpless, civilian population of Japan.

The function of that, was to establish a reign of postwar nuclear terror, the Bertrand Russell nuclear weapons policy, which has dominated the United States increasingly since that time, to the present time. Most of our military strategy has been a by-product of that lunatic, terrorist policy of nuclear weapons as a way of attempting to bludgeon the world into giving up sovereign nation-states, and instead accepting some form of world government—something we call today “globalization.” Give up your sovereign nation-state, give up the independence of nations, as [the British Prime Minister] Tony Blair demands; let a rampaging enforcement crowd run around the world on one pretext or another, bomb this nation, bomb that nation, just the same way that Truman bombed Hiroshima and Nagasaki. Bombing helpless populations, destroying economies, in order to *terrorize* nations and the world generally, into submitting to this kind of tyrannical will, the will of world government, the will of globalization.

So, those parts of Roosevelt’s policy were destroyed. There was no elimination of the imperial and colonial system. The United States supported Britain in restoring, in maintaining, and building up the imperial system, dominated and led from the City of London by the British financial interests. The United States accepted a military policy—a nuclear weapons policy—a policy whose sole and stated purpose was to bring about world government and the extinction of all sovereign nation-states, *including*

Please turn to page 4



Speaks at Schiller Forum on Gandhi's Non-Violent Direct Action

Sare Celebrates 75th Anniversary of India

**Special to New Federalist**  
NEW YORK, Sept. 7—Independent candidate for U.S. Senate Diane Sare participated in an August 20th video forum sponsored by the Schiller Institute, celebrating the 75th anniversary of Indian independence. A central feature of the forum was recorded remarks from the late statesman and economist Lyndon LaRouche on the importance of India's defeat of the British Empire and the role it must play in the modern world. Other participants were Schiller Institute founder and chairwoman Helga Zepp-LaRouche, and former Indian cabinet minister and businessman Sam Pitroda. The event was moderated by LaRouche movement leader Dennis Speed, who contributed remarks on what Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr had learned about non-violent direct action from the independence movement of Mohandas K. Gandhi.

Mrs. LaRouche described the great love and admiration she and her husband had for the Indian people, and their meetings with Indian Prime Ministers Indira Gandhi and her son Rajiv, both of whom were assassinated on orders from London. She also discussed the need to reject violence as an answer to any human problem, and seek to build a movement around the principles of non-violent direct action. To win this current battle

for the survival of humanity and to defeat the power of a murderous international financial oligarchy whose intention is to kill more than three-quarters of the world's population, we must fight to beautify the human soul, thus uplifting the human spirit. Those who spend so much time at gyms to beautify and make fit the human body, should spend time beautifying their soul to make them morally fit to survive, she said.

Candidate Sare picked up on this point with these remarks describing how she organized choruses in New York City in response to the outrageous miscarriage of justice in the case of Eric Garner.

**The Power of Classical Culture**  
“On the chorus, let me just say that the decision to hold the sing-along which ended up becoming the Schiller Institute NYC chorus, was not merely in response to the killing of Eric Garner, but that there had been a Staten Island grand jury convened to investigate, and the jury found that there had been no wrongdoing on the part of the police officer involved,” Sare said. “We have a challenge, which was also what Gandhi was feeling in India, which is, what recourse do you have when it seems that justice has been denied? That you cannot count on your court system to hear the evidence as presented, and arrive at a verdict which is



Credit: EIRNS

The panel at the Aug. 20 event: (clockwise) moderator Dennis Speed, Schiller Institute founder Helga Zepp-LaRouche, former Indian cabinet minister Sam Pitroda, and US Senate candidate Diane Sare.

just? And what action is then left to the population to resolve or reach a higher understanding, or address the injustice? I think we have a situation today where in the Anglo-American, NATO-based world there's an idea somehow that justice is revenge, and that justice comes from a rules-based order; except the rules are arbitrary. They're determined by those who deem that they have the power to impose their will on others, and they do not derive from principles of natural law. So, when the world finds itself in such a quandary, where do you turn, and by what principles do you act?"

The crisis we face, she continued, is one of identity: "It's a crisis in the United States of reflecting

on what actually are the universal principles expressed in our Declaration of Independence and Constitution." Lyndon LaRouche, she said, really had an understanding of the true location of human identity: "He always said he was anywhere from 200 to 2500 years old. He had an understanding of his identity as embodied in universal history, in the contributions of millions, perhaps billions of people that had been made over millions of years, allowing him to exist in the place he was born and to become the person that he was. That kind of identity is extremely important for us to recapture. People are instead obsessed with things about their DNA or their bloodline. That's not what makes you the person that you are... The question of what makes you who you are is a certain consciousness of everything that went into allowing you to be a person in universal history."

Finding Our Mission

"We have a really great challenge today where, on the one side, because of the delusional arrogance and violent actions of the Western world, you absolutely have a new potential coming into


existence," Sare stated. "I'm not certain that this can fully achieve what is necessary unless the United States returns to its identity... perhaps we should at least get back to the idea that all men are created equal, and endowed with inalienable rights, and that the purpose of government is the principle of the General Welfare. That would be a good starting point. But I think we have to actually advance beyond that, and I think it would be important for us to reflect on this 75th anniversary of the Independence of India, liberating itself through nonviolent means from the largest empire ever in human history, the British Empire, and the implications of that today."

In remarks during the discussion and question period, Sare explained that great classical art appeals to the better sense of ourselves, and helps us understand who we are and where we need to go: "I think it gives us an idea of something that can be tapped into in the

United States, not Beethoven and Schiller, but the principles of our founding; what Helga described in terms of the very deep and ancient culture of India, before it was India. That region also gives currents that people can hold onto. I think the role of music and singing in the civil rights movement was critical in that regard, to allow people to overcome it."

The idea is to come together around "a shared mission" for mankind, Sare explained. Sometimes this can be caused by a great threat, like a pandemic or a threat from a space object. But, she said, it should be possible to just do this for the common good and General Welfare of us all, and joining together to accomplish this will give us "a better appreciation of humanity" in all its variegated forms, that we are all in the same way uniquely human and a force for good in the universe.

Find the Event at: [https://youtu.be/rc\\_eFPMXnEg](https://youtu.be/rc_eFPMXnEg).



Credit: Michelle Erin


Sare Announces LaRouche Centenary

Independent U.S. Senate candidate Diane Sare led a rally at Zuccotti Park in Lower Manhattan as part of an international day of commemoration of the life and work of Lyndon LaRouche on September 8, which marked the centennial of his birth. Queen Elizabeth II participated by making her exit from this mortal realm, an appropriate tribute.

KY Democrat Young Runs Vs. Ukraine War

**by Charles Notley**  
NEW YORK, Sept. 5—Like independent US Senate candidate Diane Sare, Geoff Young, former Kentucky state engineer and Democratic nominee for Congress in that state's 6th C.D., finds himself on Ukraine's "Center for Countering Disinformation" (CCD) blacklist, which brands them both as "information terrorists who will have to answer to the law as war criminals."

"I am angry," Young said in an interview with this author, "about being put on a list published by Ukrainian trolls whose operation is funded by American tax dollars.



Geoff Young, Democratic candidate in Kentucky's 6th CD.

I see this as potentially a threat to me, as they and their associates have already murdered other civilians. But I will continue to tell the truth, undeterred by this list and its implied threat."

Young has been an anti-war political activist since his student

days at MIT. In our interview, he quoted from Supreme Court Judge Robert Jackson, chief prosecutor for the U.S. in the 1945–46 trials of Nazi war criminals in Nuremberg: "To initiate a war of aggression is not only an international crime; it is the supreme international crime differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole." Young also refers to Article 51 of the UN Charter, which gives nations the right to use force in self-defense and collective self-defense.

"My position," Young told Newsweek in an article published Aug. 24, "is that ever since 2014, the main aggressors and mass murderers in Eastern Europe have been the U.S., NATO, and a group of Ukrainian Nazis supported and well-armed by the U.S."

The Newsweek article placed Young, who has appeared with Sare at several recent Schiller Institute events, into the national spotlight, and accurately reported his views on the war. In that same article, candidate Sare was also accurately quoted, stating, "The idea that I'm an information terrorist, that somehow I am a war criminal for saying we should not have a nuclear war... that's what the CCD is asserting."

Young won the Democratic primary in May. He believes he can defeat the Trump-endorsed Republican, 10-year incumbent Andy Barr, on November 8. "Barr practices extreme belligerence, especially against Russia, China, and Iran. In his position on the House

Financial Service Committee and Foreign Affairs Committee, his actions have been 100% reckless and harmful."

Do No Harm

In our interview, Young justified his "do-no-harm" approach:

"Millions of people are doing good things every day in their towns and counties. Most Americans are good and want to make the country better. What's stopping them is U.S. imperialism and a federal government which wastes tax dollars trying to dominate the world militarily. The main task is to end Western imperialism. We should keep the Republic and let the Empire go."

If elected, Young says he would work to pare down federal agencies which support the Empire: "We should bring all the troops home, close all overseas bases, reduce the 'Defense' budget by 70%, reduce the budget of the FBI by 75%, and abolish the CIA altogether. My policy is not one of isolation, but one of interacting with other nations in a respectful way."

Young has "enthusiastically" endorsed Diane Sare for U.S. Senate "against that reckless, dangerous, terrorist-supporting, CIA-supporting, Nazi-supporting incumbent, Chuck Schumer.... Diane would make a much better Senator."

Sare has likewise endorsed Young: "We have an opportunity this year to elect some courageous new leadership not owned by Wall Street and City of London 'Royalists,' who will tell the truth, in spite of party-dictated narratives."

LaRouche: Only the President Can Lead the World to a New Monetary System

**Continued from page 3**  
*ing the extinction of the sovereignty of the United States itself.*

**Compromise on Free Trade**  
Now, at the same time, a compromise was made on the issue of free trade. The United States was the only really functioning economy of that period, at the end of the war. Europe was a mess. Britain was a junk heap economically. And the only way in which the world could be rebuilt to some degree, was through United States cooperation in setting up a highly regulated system of monetary and financial and trade relations, under which Western Europe, parts of the Americas, and other parts of the world, could enjoy an economic recovery.

This economic recovery was not truly generalized, but it involved several features, which were successful, which we called the old Bretton Woods system, the pre-1959 Bretton Woods system. This involved the replacement of the British gold standard system, which Roosevelt had overthrown in the 1930s for the United States,

by a gold *reserve* system, based on a fixed price of gold.

This system was backed up by capital controls and exchange controls, financial controls, regulated trade—protectionist methods of tariffs and trade—in order to foster growth and trade among nations. Not the lowest price, not the Adam Smith lowest price.

The best example of success of this policy was the case of Germany. After the United States had decided it was going to support Britain in a nuclear conflict, or a potential nuclear conflict with the Soviet Union, it was decided that Germany had to be rebuilt as a bastion, a logistical bastion, for any credible opposition to the Soviet Union. Therefore, the intent to crush the German economy entirely, which had been British and U.S. policy at the end of the war, was abandoned.

Under these circumstances, a leading German banker, Hermann Abs, went to the Americans, the remnants of the Roosevelt administration, and secured agreement to a method for financing recon-

struction of Germany. This became known as the *Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau*, as proposed by Abs, under which the most efficient use of Marshall Plan credit was made for the growth of the economy.

That is, the use of Marshall Plan funds in Britain and France was much larger than in Germany, a country whose population was smaller. And yet, in Britain and France, the performance with Marshall Plan funds was relatively ridiculous, compared with the high degree of success achieved in Germany, through the operations centered on Deutsche Bank and the Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau.

This was a successful system. Obviously, there were many features of it which could have been better, but it was a successful system.

While this was happening, and as the economies of the world began to recover during the late 1950s, there was an effort to go back to the free trade system, step by step: to reduce controls, to lessen capital controls, to lessen exchange controls, and to lessen

protectionist methods, and to shift toward free trade—your good old British 18th-century methods of free trade.

The failure of the Eisenhower administration in its second term, the economic failure, created the circumstances under which a President Kennedy, who had modeled himself largely on the image of Franklin Roosevelt to become successfully elected as President. While Kennedy may be judged to have floundered to some degree in getting his feet on the ground in his administration, by the time he was assassinated, he was becoming a fairly serious and capable proposition, as typified by his manned Moon-landing policy, which is typical of traditional American thinking, which Kennedy showed.

What FDR Did Worked

The assassination of Kennedy brought that to an end. Effectively, there were remnants of—many of us still believed, as I did, in the legacy of the experience of the 1930s, the war, and the postwar reconstruction. But the policy was going down.

Central Bankers Push World Economy Over Cliff

inflation. Nothing that they try to do within the domain of this bankrupt system will work."

Wipe Out the Fed, Wall Street

"What I have proposed," said Sare, "is that we take away the power of the Fed and Wall Street to run our economy, put the whole system through a government supervised bankruptcy reorganization, and wipe out all of these fictitious values. That is the only thing that will work. Anything else will not only cause pain as Powell admits, but it will *kill* people, who will lose their jobs, homes, income, and everything else in this blowout. These bankers intend to impose the financial swindle known as the 'Great Reset' to reduce consumption of energy and food, and are using deliberately created shortages to jack up prices to new heights."

"Like I said," stated Sare, "there is an alternative to this lunacy. We need to create a new international Bretton Woods-like system, which respects the sovereignty and security of every nation on the planet. This can only be done by returning to a fixed exchange rate system, allowing low interest credit to be

generated for long term economic development. Such a new system is already coming into existence as Russia moves toward intense collaboration with China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), which is a policy based on the American System of Physical Economy, and was formulated by the late Lyndon LaRouche, the greatest American physical economist, who accurately forecast the doom of the existing monetarist system.

"Senator Schumer is going to continue to do the bidding of his London and Wall Street controllers, even if it dramatically increases the death rate of his New York constituents. The irony is that this will not save the system, which is unsalvageable. I am the only candidate proposing a workable alternative, as I said earlier. We need to mobilize the American people to demand an end to the bankers' dictatorship, and a return to the American System, as developed by Lyndon LaRouche. China and Russia are not our enemies, but potential valued partners in a mission to move mankind into a new paradigm of peace and unlimited economic development."

From about 1966 on, the policy was to march toward the kind of world which Winston Churchill, not American patriots, desired. Henry Kissinger, of course, himself cited this a number of times. In an address he gave in London on May 10, 1982, he made reference to this. He said essentially—he pointed to the fact that the American intellectual tradition and the British intellectual tradition, as typified by Churchill, were at odds. And, he emphasized the conflict between Churchill and Roosevelt on the postwar world, during the World War II alliance.

Kissinger bragged, bragging about his contribution to this effort, saying that fortunately, after the death of Roosevelt, British policies had prevailed, and Roosevelt's policies had been gradually eliminated. That's what happened.

Nineteen seventy-one was the ending of the old Bretton Woods system, was the point at which Hell began to break loose.

So, if we compare the two systems today, we have, especially as Americans, especially as those who

are proud of the American Revolution, proud of the Constitution... Well, we make criticisms, you know, of Roosevelt—you could make a lot of criticisms. He was a compromiser, like most Presidents, like most American politicians. He made compromises during the war, which could be criticized. But he did the job, compromises or not. His system, to the extent that it was continued after the war, for as long as it was continued, worked. You could criticize it. I did criticize it, then. I would criticize it today. But it worked!...

Look at the record. Look at the record of the American System in its best periods but look more immediately at the record of what Roosevelt did, and what he proposed, and what should have been done, that was not done. And look at how the rejection of Roosevelt's direction of policymaking led to this global disaster, which has gripped this world for 30 years, and now brings us to the virtual edge of an apocalypse. Both a financial apocalypse, and every other kind of an apocalypse!...