

## **Crosstabs: Demographics**

South Carolina Policy Council Poll of 1,200 Registered Voters Jan.24 to Feb. 01, 2026, MOE +/- 2.77%

February 3, 2026

Targoz Market Research®

## Table of contents

Are you registered to vote at your present address in South Carolina?	5
How often would you say you vote? *	6
The United States: In general, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the way things are going in: *	7
The State of South Carolina: In general, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the way things are going in: *	8
Do you approve or disapprove of the way the South Carolina State Legislature is handling its job? *	9
South Carolina lawmakers are considering a proposal that would eventually eliminate the state income tax. Supporters argue this would make the state more competitive and allow residents to keep more of what they earn, while critics worry the state could have less revenue available in the future. Do you support or oppose eliminating South Carolina's state income tax entirely over the next several years? *	10
If South Carolina reforms its tax system, do you think tax reform should be accompanied by some form of spending reform to ensure fiscal responsibility? *	11
Some lawmakers propose limiting annual growth in state general fund spending to no more than population growth plus inflation from the previous year, unless overridden by a supermajority vote of the legislature. Do you support or oppose a state law adopting this type of spending limit? *	12
Over the past 10 years, South Carolina's general fund appropriations have nearly doubled. In your opinion, have the services you receive from the state government—such as roads, education, and public services—doubled in quality? *	13
Overall, how satisfied are you with the quality of K-12 public education in South Carolina? *	14
A proposed Senate bill (S.537) would prohibit public schools from adopting mandatory grading floors, which require teachers to assign minimum grades (such as 50 or 60 out of 100) for incomplete or low-quality work, and would require zeros for assignments not submitted. Supporters argue this improves academic rigor and accountability, while critics say it could discourage struggling students. Do you support or oppose a state law prohibiting mandatory grading floors in public schools? *	15
How important is it to you that South Carolina parents have the right to review school curricula, access their child's educational records, and choose between public, private, or homeschool options without state interference? *	16
Which of the following is your biggest concern about South Carolina public schools? [ROTATE] *	17
Do you agree or disagree with issuing laptops or digital devices to students in South Carolina public schools for educational purposes? *	18
Last year, Governor Henry McMaster issued an executive order requiring state agencies to disclose lawmakers' funding requests and post detailed spending information online. Supporters say this improves transparency, while critics argue existing laws are sufficient. Do you support or oppose making these transparency requirements permanent through state law? *	19

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

South Carolina law requires state agencies to use zero-based budgeting, meaning agencies are supposed to regularly justify all of their spending from scratch, rather than simply building on last year's budget. Do you think the governor should enforce the existing law and require full implementation of zero-based budgeting? \* 20

In South Carolina, judges are screened by the Judicial Merit Selection Commission (JMSC) before being elected by the General Assembly. Some argue this system creates conflicts of interest, while others say it preserves legislative oversight. Do you support or oppose changing South Carolina's current system for selecting judges? \* 21

Which of the following methods for selecting judges do you prefer? [ROTATE] \* 22

Under current law, in a case with multiple defendants, an individual defendant in a lawsuit can sometimes be held responsible for all damages, even if they were only partly at fault. A 2025 law reduced this practice for the hospitality industry. Supporters say continuing reform would make the system fairer, while critics argue it could limit compensation for victims. Do you support or oppose continuing to reform South Carolina's liability laws, so defendants are responsible only for damages equal to their percentage of fault? \* 23

Should a bar or restaurant be legally responsible for damages caused by someone who was not visibly intoxicated when they were served alcohol? \* 24

Which approach do you think South Carolina should take when it comes to energy use by large data centers? [ROTATE] \* 25

Large data centers require massive and long-term electricity supplies, often driving the need for new power plants or major grid upgrades. If data centers continue to be served by state-regulated utilities, some propose requiring them to enter into long-term power purchase agreements so they help pay for the power generation needed to serve them. Do you support or oppose requiring data centers to enter into these power purchase agreements? \* 26

The failed V.C. Summer nuclear project led to higher electricity bills for many South Carolina residents. If utilities are reviving V.C. Summer primarily to serve data centers, do you think the utility providers should be required to help offset electricity costs for residential customers? \* 27

Between 2013 and 2023, the South Carolina Department of Transportation's budget nearly doubled. According to the Federal Highway Administration, road conditions have declined, while others say increased funding is still necessary. Should the state continue increasing DOT funding without first implementing structural reforms to better understand how transportation dollars are being spent? \* 28

In South Carolina, the State Department of Transportation currently owns and maintains the majority of roads and is therefore responsible for their repair. Should the state instead allow counties and municipalities to own and manage smaller roads, enabling local communities to more quickly address repairs and other infrastructure needs? \* 29

Some lawmakers have proposed allowing physician assistants and nurse practitioners to provide care without direct physician supervision in order to address healthcare workforce shortages. Supporters say this would expand access to care, especially in underserved areas, while critics argue that physician oversight is important for patient safety. Do you support or oppose allowing physician assistants and nurse practitioners to practice independently? \* 30

When it comes to medical malpractice laws, which do you believe is more important? [ROTATE] \* 31

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a...? [ROTATE] *	32
Would you call yourself a... [BASE: Republican] *	33
Would you call yourself a... [BASE: Democrat] *	34
Do you think of yourself as... [BASE: Independent or something else] [ROTATE] *	35
Would you describe yourself as feeling "politically homeless" today—that is, you don't feel represented by either major party? *	36
2024 Presidential Ballot *	37
In the November 2024 election for Congress, did you vote for the Democratic candidate, a Republican candidate, or someone else in your district? [ROTATE]	
*	38
How likely are you to vote in South Carolina's gubernatorial primary election this year? *	39
Which party's primary election are you most likely to vote in? Would you choose either the Republican or Democratic primary? [BASE: Very or somewhat likely to vote in South Carolina's gubernatorial primary] [ROTATE] *	40
GOP Gov. Ballot: Thinking ahead to the 2026 Republican primary election for Governor, if that election were held today among the following candidates, for whom would you vote? [BASE: Likely Republican primary voter] [ROTATE] *	41
Dem Gov. Ballot: Thinking ahead to the 2026 Democratic primary election for Governor, if that election were held today among the following candidates, for whom would you vote? [BASE: Likely Democratic primary voter] [ROTATE] *	42
GOP AG Primary: Thinking ahead to the 2026 Republican primary election for Attorney General, if that election were held today among the following candidates, for whom would you vote? [BASE: Likely Republican primary voter] [ROTATE] *	43
Age Grouped *	44
Thinking about your general approach to issues, do you consider yourself to be... *	45
Which of the following are you? *	46
How many infants and children under 18 years of age live in your household today? *	47
Which of the following best describes your race or ethnicity? *	48
Which of the following best describes your household's total income in 2025, BEFORE taxes? *	49
Which of the following best describes your current education level? *	50
What is your current marital status? *	51
Do you own or rent your home? *	52
Thinking about your religion, are you... *	53
Would you describe yourself as a 'born-again' or evangelical Christian? [BASE: Protestant]	54

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

Are you now employed full-time, part-time, self-employed, or not employed? \*

55

Are you registered to vote at your present address in South Carolina?

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped								Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total D	Less than \$50,000 E	\$50,000 to \$99,999 F	\$100,000 or More G	Refused H	Total I	18 to 24 J	25 to 34 K	35 to 44 L	45 to 54 M	55 to 64 N	65 or older O	Total P	White, non-Hispanic Q	Non-White/No Answer R	Total S	College Degree T	Without College Degree U	With College Degree V	
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816		
<b>Yes</b>																								
Column %	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
<b>No</b>																								
Column %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

How often would you say you vote? \*

	Gender				Income Group					Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>Always</b> Column %	54%	<b>65% B</b>	<b>45% A</b>	0%	54%	<b>47%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>71% DEG</b>	<b>31%</b>	54%	<b>38%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>53% H</b>	<b>56% HIL</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>HIJK L</b>	54%	56%	51%	54%	<b>68% Q</b>	<b>48% P</b>
<b>Nearly always</b> Column %	30%	<b>25% A</b>	<b>35% D</b>	2%	30%	<b>27% G</b>	<b>39% DFG</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>9%</b>	30%	<b>22%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>28% I</b>	<b>32% I</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>HIJK M</b>	30%	32%	27%	30%	29%	31%
<b>Part of the time</b> Column %	10%	<b>6% A</b>	<b>14% E</b>	0%	10%	<b>15% EF</b>	<b>8% F</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>23% EF</b>	10%	<b>19% KLM</b>	<b>20% JKLM</b>	<b>12% M</b>	<b>8% M</b>	<b>10% M</b>	<b>3% M</b>	10%	<b>8% N</b>	<b>14% N</b>	10%	<b>2% P</b>	<b>14% P</b>
<b>Seldom</b> Column %	3%	2%	3%	0%	3%	<b>5% F</b>	<b>2% F</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>15% DEF</b>	3%	<b>5% M</b>	<b>9% KLM</b>	<b>4% M</b>	<b>3% M</b>	<b>1% M</b>	<b>0% M</b>	3%	3%	3%	3%	<b>1% P</b>	<b>4% P</b>
<b>Never vote</b> Column %	3%	<b>2% AB</b>	<b>3% AB</b>	3%	<b>6% EF</b>	<b>1% DEF</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>22% DEF</b>	3%	<b>16% JKLM</b>	<b>8% JKLM</b>	<b>2% M</b>	<b>1% M</b>	<b>1% M</b>	<b>0% M</b>	3%	<b>2% N</b>	<b>5% N</b>	3%	<b>0% P</b>	<b>4% P</b>	

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

The United States: In general, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the way things are going in: \*

	Gender				Income Group					Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>Total Satisfied</b> Column %	48%	<b>58% B</b>	<b>38% A</b>	0%	48%	<b>40% D</b>	<b>52% DG</b>	<b>52% DG</b>	<b>28% G</b>	48%	<b>37% H</b>	<b>48% I</b>	<b>48% J</b>	<b>35% K</b>	<b>50% L</b>	<b>53% M</b>	48%	<b>53% O</b>	<b>33% N</b>	48%	50%	47%
<b>Very Satisfied</b> Column %	19%	<b>25% B</b>	<b>13% A</b>	0%	19%	<b>18% G</b>	<b>20% G</b>	<b>19% G</b>	<b>2% H</b>	19%	19%	<b>20% K</b>	19%	<b>13% L</b>	17%	<b>22% M</b>	19%	20%	15%	19%	21%	18%
<b>Somewhat Satisfied</b> Column %	29%	<b>34% B</b>	<b>25% A</b>	0%	29%	<b>22% D</b>	<b>32% D</b>	<b>33% D</b>	25%	29%	<b>18% H</b>	28%	29%	<b>22% I</b>	<b>33% K</b>	<b>32% M</b>	29%	<b>33% O</b>	<b>18% N</b>	29%	29%	29%
<b>Somewhat Dissatisfied</b> Column %	20%	20%	21%	0%	20%	<b>25% EF</b>	<b>18% E</b>	<b>17% F</b>	<b>36% EF</b>	20%	18%	19%	<b>23% M</b>	<b>26% M</b>	<b>24% M</b>	<b>16% L</b>	20%	20%	22%	20%	21%	20%
<b>Very Dissatisfied</b> Column %	31%	<b>21% A</b>	<b>39% A</b>	2%	31%	<b>33% G</b>	29%	30%	<b>15% E</b>	31%	<b>40% JL</b>	29%	<b>26% I</b>	<b>38% JL</b>	26%	31%	31%	<b>26% N</b>	<b>42% N</b>	31%	29%	31%
<b>Total Dissatisfied</b> Column %	51%	<b>41% A</b>	<b>60% A</b>	2%	51%	<b>58% EF</b>	<b>47% E</b>	<b>47% F</b>	50%	51%	58%	<b>48% LM</b>	<b>49% LM</b>	<b>64% IJLM</b>	<b>50% LM</b>	<b>46% LM</b>	51%	<b>46% N</b>	<b>65% N</b>	51%	50%	52%
<b>Not Sure</b> Column %	2%	<b>1% E</b>	<b>2% B</b>	<b>98% AB</b>	2%	<b>2% E</b>	<b>1% B</b>	<b>1% F</b>	<b>22% DEF</b>	2%	<b>5% LM</b>	<b>4% LM</b>	<b>2% M</b>	1%	<b>1% O</b>	<b>0% N</b>	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOP

The State of South Carolina: In general, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the way things are going in: \*

	Gender				Income Group					Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B		Male A	Female B
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>Total Satisfied</b> Column %	60%	<b>71% B</b>	<b>50% A</b>	0%	60%	<b>52% DG</b>	<b>65% DG</b>	<b>63% DG</b>	<b>36% DG</b>	60%	<b>41% H</b>	<b>58% HK</b>	<b>60% HK</b>	<b>48% HK</b>	<b>58% HK</b>	<b>70% HIJKL</b>	60%	<b>66% O</b>	<b>44% N</b>	60%	64%	58%
<b>Very Satisfied</b> Column %	19%	<b>26% B</b>	<b>12% A</b>	0%	19%	<b>16% DG</b>	<b>22% DG</b>	18%	<b>0% DG</b>	19%	14%	<b>21% L</b>	<b>21% L</b>	<b>14% L</b>	<b>12% L</b>	<b>23% KL</b>	19%	19%	17%	19%	20%	18%
<b>Somewhat Satisfied</b> Column %	41%	<b>45% B</b>	<b>38% A</b>	0%	41%	<b>36% D</b>	<b>43% D</b>	<b>46% D</b>	36%	41%	<b>27% IM</b>	<b>37% IM</b>	38%	<b>34% M</b>	<b>46% HK</b>	<b>47% HIK</b>	41%	<b>46% O</b>	<b>27% N</b>	41%	43%	40%
<b>Somewhat Dissatisfied</b> Column %	23%	<b>19% A</b>	<b>26% A</b>	0%	23%	<b>26% E</b>	<b>20% EF</b>	22%	32%	23%	<b>34% IM</b>	<b>21% M</b>	<b>24% M</b>	<b>27% M</b>	<b>27% M</b>	<b>17% M</b>	23%	<b>18% N</b>	<b>35% N</b>	23%	<b>19% P</b>	<b>24% P</b>
<b>Very Dissatisfied</b> Column %	16%	<b>10% A</b>	<b>22% A</b>	2%	16%	<b>20% EF</b>	<b>15% EF</b>	<b>13% EF</b>	18%	16%	21%	19%	<b>15% M</b>	<b>24% JLM</b>	<b>14% JLM</b>	<b>13% JLM</b>	16%	15%	19%	16%	16%	17%
<b>Total Dissatisfied</b> Column %	39%	<b>29% A</b>	<b>49% A</b>	2%	39%	<b>46% EF</b>	<b>34% EF</b>	<b>35% EF</b>	50%	39%	<b>55% IJLM</b>	<b>40% M</b>	<b>39% M</b>	<b>51% IJM</b>	<b>42% M</b>	<b>29% M</b>	39%	<b>33% N</b>	<b>54% N</b>	39%	<b>35% P</b>	<b>41% P</b>
<b>Not Sure</b> Column %	1%	<b>1% AB</b>	<b>2% AB</b>	<b>98% AB</b>	1%	<b>2% E</b>	<b>0% E</b>	<b>1% E</b>	<b>14% EF</b>	1%	4%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOP

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the South Carolina State Legislature is handling its job? \*

	Gender				Income Group					Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>Total approve</b> Column %	53%	<b>62% B</b>	<b>45% A</b>	0%	53%	<b>48% F</b>	54%	<b>59% DG</b>	<b>34% E</b>	53%	<b>42% H</b>	<b>50% I</b>	<b>51% J</b>	<b>43% K</b>	<b>53% L</b>	<b>61% M</b>	53%	<b>58% O</b>	<b>39% N</b>	53%	<b>60% Q</b>	<b>50% P</b>
<b>Strongly approve</b> Column %	12%	<b>17% B</b>	<b>8% A</b>	0%	12%	10%	13%	14%	0%	12%	15%	16%	13%	10%	10%	12%	12%	13%	11%	12%	12%	12%
<b>Somewhat approve</b> Column %	41%	<b>45% B</b>	<b>36% A</b>	0%	41%	<b>38% F</b>	40%	<b>45% D</b>	34%	41%	<b>27% H</b>	<b>35% I</b>	<b>38% J</b>	<b>32% K</b>	<b>43% L</b>	<b>49% M</b>	41%	<b>45% O</b>	<b>28% N</b>	41%	<b>48% Q</b>	<b>37% P</b>
<b>Somewhat disapprove</b> Column %	23%	<b>21% B</b>	<b>26% A</b>	<b>98% A</b>	23%	26%	21%	22%	40%	23%	<b>29% M</b>	<b>20% I</b>	<b>28% K</b>	<b>32% L</b>	<b>25% M</b>	<b>17% N</b>	23%	<b>20% O</b>	<b>32% N</b>	23%	<b>19% Q</b>	<b>25% P</b>
<b>Strongly disapprove</b> Column %	16%	<b>11% B</b>	<b>21% A</b>	2%	16%	16%	17%	17%	6%	16%	20%	17%	16%	20%	13%	16%	16%	<b>14% O</b>	<b>21% N</b>	16%	17%	16%
<b>Total disapprove</b> Column %	40%	<b>32% B</b>	<b>47% A</b>	100%	40%	42%	38%	39%	45%	40%	<b>49% M</b>	<b>37% I</b>	<b>44% K</b>	<b>52% L</b>	<b>38% M</b>	<b>33% N</b>	40%	<b>35% O</b>	<b>53% N</b>	40%	36%	41%
<b>No opinion</b> Column %	7%	6%	8%	0%	7%	<b>10% F</b>	<b>8% F</b>	<b>2% E</b>	<b>21% DEF</b>	7%	9%	<b>13% JKM</b>	<b>4% H</b>	<b>5% I</b>	<b>8% K</b>	<b>6% L</b>	7%	7%	8%	7%	<b>4% P</b>	<b>9% P</b>

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

South Carolina lawmakers are considering a proposal that would eventually eliminate the state income tax. Supporters argue this would make the state more competitive and allow residents to keep more of what they earn, while critics worry the state could have less revenue available in the future. Do you support or oppose eliminating South Carolina's state income tax entirely over the next several years? \*

	Gender				Income Group					Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>Total support</b> Column %	72%	<b>80% B</b>	<b>65% A</b>	98%	72%	<b>66% D</b>	<b>74% DG</b>	<b>79% DG</b>	<b>45% G</b>	72%	<b>55% H</b>	<b>73% H</b>	<b>75% H</b>	<b>74% H</b>	<b>69% H</b>	<b>74% H</b>	72%	<b>76% O</b>	<b>63% A</b>	72%	71%	72%
<b>Strongly support</b> Column %	42%	<b>49% B</b>	<b>36% A</b>	98%	42%	<b>35% D</b>	<b>46% DG</b>	<b>47% DG</b>	<b>25% G</b>	42%	<b>21% H</b>	<b>38% H</b>	<b>43% H</b>	<b>46% H</b>	<b>47% H</b>	<b>44% H</b>	42%	<b>47% O</b>	<b>30% A</b>	42%	46%	41%
<b>Somewhat support</b> Column %	30%	31%	29%	0%	30%	31%	28%	31%	20%	30%	<b>33% L</b>	<b>35% L</b>	<b>32% L</b>	28%	<b>22% L</b>	<b>31% L</b>	30%	29%	33%	30%	<b>25% P</b>	<b>32% P</b>
<b>Somewhat oppose</b> Column %	14%	<b>11% F</b>	<b>16% A</b>	2%	14%	<b>16% F</b>	14%	<b>11% F</b>	11%	14%	<b>23% IJKL</b>	<b>12% IJKL</b>	<b>12% IJKL</b>	<b>13% IJKL</b>	<b>13% IJKL</b>	<b>14% IJKL</b>	14%	<b>12% N</b>	<b>18% N</b>	14%	14%	13%
<b>Strongly oppose</b> Column %	5%	<b>3% EF</b>	<b>7% A</b>	0%	5%	<b>8% EF</b>	<b>4% EF</b>	<b>2% EF</b>	7%	5%	<b>10% L</b>	5%	7%	5%	<b>4% L</b>	4%	5%	<b>4% N</b>	<b>8% N</b>	5%	5%	5%
<b>Total oppose</b> Column %	19%	<b>15% EF</b>	<b>22% A</b>	2%	19%	<b>24% EF</b>	<b>18% EF</b>	<b>13% EF</b>	18%	19%	<b>33% IJKLM</b>	<b>17% IJKLM</b>	<b>18% IJKLM</b>	<b>18% IJKLM</b>	<b>17% IJKLM</b>	<b>19% IJKLM</b>	19%	<b>16% N</b>	<b>26% N</b>	19%	20%	18%
<b>I'm not sure</b> Column %	9%	<b>5% DEF</b>	<b>13% A</b>	0%	9%	<b>10% DEF</b>	<b>8% DEF</b>	<b>8% DEF</b>	<b>37% DEF</b>	9%	12%	10%	<b>7% DEF</b>	<b>8% DEF</b>	<b>7% DEF</b>	<b>7% DEF</b>	9%	9%	11%	9%	9%	9%

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOP

If South Carolina reforms its tax system, do you think tax reform should be accompanied by some form of spending reform to ensure fiscal responsibility? \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q	
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C	
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816	
<b>Yes</b>	66%	<b>79% B</b>	<b>55% A</b>	100%	66%	<b>55% D</b>	<b>67% D</b>	<b>79% DEG</b>	<b>49% F</b>	66%	<b>53% H</b>	<b>69% H</b>	<b>64% H</b>	<b>62% K</b>	<b>60% L</b>	<b>73% HJKL</b>	66%	<b>70% O</b>	<b>57% N</b>	66%	<b>76% Q</b>	<b>61% P</b>	
<b>No</b>	9%	<b>7% A</b>	<b>11% A</b>	0%	9%	<b>15% EF</b>	<b>8% F</b>	<b>4% F</b>	7%	9%	<b>24% JKLM</b>	<b>15% KLM</b>	<b>11% M</b>	<b>6% I</b>	<b>7% IM</b>	<b>5% IM</b>	9%	<b>8% N</b>	<b>13% N</b>	9%	<b>5% P</b>	<b>11% P</b>	
<b>I'm not sure</b>	25%	<b>15% A</b>	<b>34% A</b>	0%	25%	<b>30% F</b>	<b>25% F</b>	<b>17% F</b>	<b>44% F</b>	25%	22%	<b>16% I</b>	<b>25% I</b>	<b>32% IM</b>	<b>33% IM</b>	<b>22% IM</b>	25%	<b>23% N</b>	<b>31% N</b>	25%	<b>18% P</b>	<b>28% P</b>	

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

Some lawmakers propose limiting annual growth in state general fund spending to no more than population growth plus inflation from the previous year, unless overridden by a supermajority vote of the legislature. Do you support or oppose a state law adopting this type of spending limit? \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped						Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>Total support</b> Column %	65%	<b>76% B</b>	<b>55% A</b>	98%	65%	<b>54% D</b>	<b>68% E</b>	<b>76% F</b>	<b>40% G</b>	65%	<b>47% H</b>	<b>65% I</b>	<b>57% J</b>	<b>62% K</b>	<b>63% L</b>	<b>74% M</b>	65%	<b>70% O</b>	<b>53% N</b>	65%	<b>73% Q</b>	<b>61% P</b>
<b>Strongly support</b> Column %	21%	<b>30% B</b>	<b>13% A</b>	0%	21%	<b>18% G</b>	<b>22% H</b>	<b>24% I</b>	<b>4% J</b>	21%	<b>11% K</b>	<b>25% L</b>	17%	19%	<b>23% M</b>	<b>22% N</b>	21%	<b>23% O</b>	<b>16% P</b>	21%	<b>26% Q</b>	<b>19% R</b>
<b>Somewhat support</b> Column %	44%	46%	42%	98%	44%	<b>37% D</b>	<b>45% E</b>	<b>52% F</b>	36%	44%	<b>36% H</b>	<b>41% I</b>	<b>40% J</b>	43%	<b>40% K</b>	<b>52% L</b>	44%	<b>47% O</b>	<b>37% N</b>	44%	47%	43%
<b>Somewhat oppose</b> Column %	12%	<b>9% A</b>	<b>14% B</b>	0%	12%	<b>14% F</b>	<b>14% G</b>	<b>8% H</b>	18%	12%	<b>27% I</b>	<b>15% J</b>	<b>22% K</b>	<b>12% L</b>	<b>9% M</b>	<b>5% N</b>	12%	<b>9% O</b>	<b>20% P</b>	12%	<b>7% Q</b>	<b>14% R</b>
<b>Strongly oppose</b> Column %	5%	4%	5%	0%	5%	<b>7% EF</b>	<b>3% FG</b>	<b>2% EH</b>	<b>16% FG</b>	5%	4%	6%	6%	5%	5%	4%	5%	<b>4% N</b>	<b>8% M</b>	5%	4%	5%
<b>Total oppose</b> Column %	17%	<b>14% A</b>	<b>20% B</b>	0%	17%	<b>21% F</b>	<b>17% E</b>	<b>10% F</b>	<b>34% EF</b>	17%	<b>31% K</b>	<b>21% L</b>	<b>28% M</b>	<b>17% N</b>	<b>14% O</b>	<b>9% P</b>	17%	<b>12% N</b>	<b>28% M</b>	17%	<b>12% Q</b>	<b>19% R</b>
<b>I'm not sure</b> Column %	18%	<b>11% A</b>	<b>25% B</b>	2%	18%	<b>25% EF</b>	<b>15% FG</b>	<b>14% EH</b>	25%	18%	21%	<b>14% I</b>	16%	21%	<b>23% I</b>	17%	18%	18%	19%	18%	15%	20%

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

Over the past 10 years, South Carolina's general fund appropriations have nearly doubled. In your opinion, have the services you receive from the state government—such as roads, education, and public services—doubled in quality? \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped						Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
		Total	Male A	Female B		Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G		18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M		White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O		Total	College Degree P
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>Total improved</b>	31%	<b>37% B</b>	<b>25% B</b>	0%	31%	32%	29%	32%	24%	31%	<b>40% KLM</b>	<b>43% KLM</b>	<b>38% KLM</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>27%</b>	31%	<b>29% N</b>	<b>36% N</b>	31%	31%	31%
<b>Yes, services have improved significantly</b>	7%	9%	6%	0%	7%	<b>10% E</b>	<b>5% E</b>	6%	2%	7%	<b>14% LM</b>	<b>13% LM</b>	<b>15% KLM</b>	<b>8% LM</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>2%</b>	7%	<b>5% N</b>	<b>13% N</b>	7%	<b>4% P</b>	<b>8% P</b>
<b>Yes, services have improved somewhat</b>	24%	<b>29% B</b>	<b>19% B</b>	0%	24%	22%	23%	26%	22%	24%	25%	<b>29% K</b>	23%	<b>17% K</b>	21%	<b>26% K</b>	24%	24%	23%	24%	26%	22%
<b>No, services have not improved much</b>	40%	41%	39%	98%	40%	<b>35% D</b>	<b>45% D</b>	41%	25%	40%	<b>31% HIJ</b>	<b>33% HIJ</b>	<b>31% HIJ</b>	<b>47% HIJ</b>	<b>41% J</b>	<b>45% HIJ</b>	40%	41%	37%	40%	40%	40%
<b>No, services have not improved at all</b>	21%	<b>15% A</b>	<b>27% A</b>	2%	21%	22%	19%	23%	20%	21%	20%	<b>16% I</b>	<b>25% I</b>	24%	<b>27% IM</b>	<b>19% IM</b>	21%	23%	18%	21%	23%	21%
<b>Total not improved</b>	62%	<b>56% A</b>	<b>66% A</b>	100%	62%	<b>57% D</b>	<b>65% D</b>	64%	45%	62%	<b>51% HIJ</b>	<b>49% HIJ</b>	<b>56% HIJ</b>	<b>71% HIJ</b>	<b>67% HIJ</b>	<b>64% HI</b>	62%	<b>64% O</b>	<b>56% O</b>	62%	63%	61%
<b>I'm not sure</b>	8%	6%	9%	0%	8%	<b>11% EF</b>	<b>6% EF</b>	4%	<b>32% DEF</b>	8%	9%	9%	6%	<b>4% K</b>	<b>9% K</b>	<b>9% K</b>	8%	7%	9%	8%	6%	9%

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFHIJKLMNOP

Overall, how satisfied are you with the quality of K-12 public education in South Carolina? \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped						Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>Total satisfied</b> Column %	46%	51% B	42%	0%	46%	46%	42%	51% E	48%	46%	48% M	59% KLM	53% M	47% M	48% M	36%	46%	44%	53% N	46%	50%	45%
<b>Very satisfied</b> Column %	10%	12%	9%	0%	10%	12% E	7%	13% E	4%	10%	9%	16% LM	19% HLM	13% M	8%	5%	10%	10%	11%	10%	9%	11%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b> Column %	36%	39% B	33%	0%	36%	35%	35%	38%	44%	36%	40%	43% M	34%	34%	40% M	31%	36%	33%	42% N	36%	41% Q	34%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b> Column %	23%	23%	24%	2%	23%	22%	26%	22%	16%	23%	32%	25%	21%	23%	21%	23%	23%	24%	23%	23%	24%	23%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b> Column %	14%	11% A	17%	0%	14%	14%	14%	16%	7%	14%	13%	10%	18%	23% ILM	12%	12%	14%	15%	14%	14%	15%	14%
<b>Total dissatisfied</b> Column %	38%	34%	41% A	2%	38%	36%	39%	37%	23%	38%	45%	36%	39%	46% ILM	33%	35%	38%	38%	36%	38%	39%	37%
<b>I'm not sure</b> Column %	16%	15%	17%	98% AB	16%	17% F	18% F	11% F	29% F	16%	6%	5%	8%	6%	19% HIJK	29% HIJKL	16%	18% O	10%	16%	11%	18% P

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABFHIJKLMNOPQ

A proposed Senate bill (S.537) would prohibit public schools from adopting mandatory grading floors, which require teachers to assign minimum grades (such as 50 or 60 out of 100) for incomplete or low-quality work, and would require zeros for assignments not submitted. Supporters argue this improves academic rigor and accountability, while critics say it could discourage struggling students. Do you support or oppose a state law prohibiting mandatory grading floors in public schools?\*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped						Ethnicity Grouped		Education (Grouped)			
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>Total support</b> Column %	54%	<b>60% B</b>	<b>48% A</b>	98%	54%	<b>50% F</b>	55%	<b>59% D</b>	43%	54%	<b>65% KL</b>	<b>61% K</b>	<b>59% K</b>	<b>41% K</b>	<b>51% K</b>	<b>54% K</b>	54%	<b>56% O</b>	<b>48% A</b>	54%	<b>59% Q</b>	<b>52% B</b>
<b>Strongly support</b> Column %	26%	<b>33% B</b>	<b>20% A</b>	<b>98% B</b>	26%	<b>21% F</b>	<b>25% E</b>	<b>35% DEG</b>	<b>15% G</b>	26%	<b>22% H</b>	<b>35% HKL</b>	28%	<b>21% I</b>	<b>21% I</b>	28%	26%	<b>28% O</b>	<b>22% A</b>	26%	<b>36% Q</b>	<b>22% B</b>
<b>Somewhat support</b> Column %	28%	27%	28%	0%	28%	29%	29%	24%	28%	28%	<b>43% IKM</b>	<b>26% I</b>	<b>31% K</b>	<b>20% K</b>	<b>31% K</b>	<b>27% K</b>	28%	28%	26%	28%	<b>23% P</b>	<b>30% P</b>
<b>Somewhat oppose</b> Column %	17%	16%	18%	0%	17%	16%	<b>19% F</b>	<b>13% E</b>	27%	17%	18%	19%	<b>17% H</b>	<b>26% JLM</b>	<b>14% JLM</b>	<b>13% JLM</b>	17%	16%	20%	17%	<b>13% P</b>	<b>18% P</b>
<b>Strongly oppose</b> Column %	13%	<b>11% A</b>	<b>15% A</b>	0%	13%	15%	12%	12%	7%	13%	<b>2% H</b>	<b>10% H</b>	<b>10% H</b>	<b>19% HIJM</b>	<b>18% HIJM</b>	<b>12% H</b>	13%	13%	14%	13%	15%	12%
<b>Total oppose</b> Column %	30%	<b>26% A</b>	<b>33% A</b>	0%	30%	31%	31%	26%	34%	30%	<b>20% H</b>	<b>29% HIJLM</b>	<b>27% HIJLM</b>	<b>45% HIJLM</b>	<b>32% HM</b>	<b>25% HM</b>	30%	28%	33%	30%	28%	30%
<b>I'm not sure</b> Column %	16%	<b>14% A</b>	<b>19% A</b>	2%	16%	19%	14%	15%	24%	16%	15%	<b>10% IJK</b>	<b>14% IJK</b>	<b>14% IJK</b>	<b>17% IJK</b>	<b>21% IJK</b>	16%	15%	19%	16%	<b>12% P</b>	<b>18% P</b>

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

How important is it to you that South Carolina parents have the right to review school curricula, access their child's educational records, and choose between public, private, or homeschool options without state interference? \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped						Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>Total important</b> Column %	82%	82%	83%	98%	82%	82%	80%	86%	87%	82%	56%	89% HM	82% H	84% H	85% H	82% H	82%	84% O	77%	82%	81%	83%
<b>Very important</b> Column %	59%	57%	61%	0%	59%	63% EG	54% G	61% G	26%	59%	35%	59% H	66% HM	66% HM	62% H	55% H	59%	64% O	46%	59%	55%	60%
<b>Somewhat important</b> Column %	24%	25%	22%	98% B	24%	19%	26% D	25% D	61% DEF	24%	22%	30% JK	17%	18%	23%	26% JK	24%	20%	31% N	24%	26%	22%
<b>Neither important nor unimportant</b> Column %	7%	8% B	5% A	0%	7%	5%	8%	9% D	0%	7%	13% IL	3%	9% I	7%	4%	8%	7%	6%	10% N	7%	9% Q	6%
<b>Somewhat unimportant</b> Column %	5%	6%	4%	2%	5%	6%	6%	3%	0%	5%	22% IJKLM	2%	6% L	5%	1%	5% L	5%	5%	6%	5%	5%	5%
<b>Not important at all</b> Column %	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	2%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%
<b>Total not important</b> Column %	7%	8%	6%	2%	7%	8% F	8% F	4%	0%	7%	23% IJKLM	4%	7% L	6%	2%	8% L	7%	6%	9%	7%	8%	7%
<b>I'm not sure</b> Column %	4%	2%	6% A	0%	4%	6% F	4%	2%	13%	4%	7% J	3%	2%	3%	8% IJKM	3%	4%	4%	4%	2%	5% P	

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

Which of the following is your biggest concern about South Carolina public schools? [ROTATE] \*

	Gender			Income Group						Age Grouped						Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)			
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>Academic quality and standards</b> Column %	25%	29% B	21%	2%	25%	18%	26% DG	32% DG	7%	25%	20%	20%	22%	29%	23%	27%	25%	25%	23%	25%	35% Q	20%
<b>School safety</b> Column %	23%	21%	25%	0%	23%	28% EF	22%	17%	15%	23%	41% IJKLM	28% LM	27% LM	26% LM	17%	17%	23%	20%	31% N	23%	14%	27% P
<b>Curriculum content that emphasizes social or political views over core academic subjects</b> Column %	20%	23% B	17%	0%	20%	13%	23% D	24% D	22%	20%	7%	16% H	11%	18% H	25% HIJ	25% HIJ	20%	24% O	9%	20%	23% Q	18%
<b>Teacher quality</b> Column %	11%	12%	9%	0%	11%	13%	10%	9%	12%	11%	14% M	14% M	14% M	8%	12%	7%	11%	10%	11%	11%	9%	11%
<b>Parental transparency and involvement</b> Column %	6%	5%	8% A	0%	6%	8% F	7% F	3%	3%	6%	4%	7%	10%	6%	6%	5%	6%	6%	7%	6%	6%	7%
<b>Use of district-issued devices in the classroom</b> Column %	3%	2%	4% A	98% AB	3%	5% EF	2%	2%	17% DEF	3%	4%	7% LM	6% LM	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%
<b>Something else:</b> Column %	2%	0%	3% A	0%	2%	1%	2%	3% D	4%	2%	0%	1%	3%	4%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3% Q	1%
<b>I do not have any major concerns about public schools</b> Column %	8%	5%	10% A	0%	8%	8%	6%	9%	2%	8%	5%	4%	5%	5%	10% I	11% IJK	8%	7%	8%	8%	6%	8%
<b>I'm not sure</b> Column %	4%	3%	4%	0%	4%	6% EF	2%	1%	17% DEF	4%	6%	3%	2%	3%	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	2%	4%

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

Do you agree or disagree with issuing laptops or digital devices to students in South Carolina public schools for educational purposes? \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped						Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B		Male A	Female B
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>Total agree</b> Column %	76%	82% BC	72%	0%	76%	72% G	79% DG	82% DG	36%	76%	66%	71%	77%	89% HIJLM	74%	76%	76%	75%	82% N	76%	82% Q	74%
<b>Strongly agree</b> Column %	41%	43%	38%	0%	41%	40% G	38%	45% EG	15%	41%	41%	34%	53% ILM	50% ILM	34%	39%	41%	37%	50% N	41%	41%	40%
<b>Somewhat agree</b> Column %	36%	39%	34%	0%	36%	31% D	41% D	36%	21%	36%	25%	37% J	25%	39% HJ	41% HJ	37% HJ	36%	37%	32%	36%	41% Q	33%
<b>Somewhat disagree</b> Column %	11%	7%	14% A	0%	11%	12%	10%	8%	29% DEF	11%	19% KM	11% K	12% K	4%	14% K	9% K	11%	12%	8%	11%	9%	11%
<b>Strongly disagree</b> Column %	8%	6%	10% A	98% AB	8%	8%	8%	8%	21% DEF	8%	8%	15% JKLM	6%	5%	5%	9% KL	8%	9% O	5%	8%	5%	10% P
<b>Total disagree</b> Column %	19%	13%	23% A	98% A	19%	20%	18%	16%	50% DEF	19%	27% K	26% KM	19% K	9%	19% K	19% K	19%	21% O	13%	19%	14%	21% P
<b>I'm not sure</b> Column %	5%	5%	5%	2%	5%	8% EF	3%	3%	13%	5%	7% K	3%	4%	2%	7% K	5% K	5%	5%	5%	5%	3%	5%

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

Last year, Governor Henry McMaster issued an executive order requiring state agencies to disclose lawmakers' funding requests and post detailed spending information online. Supporters say this improves transparency, while critics argue existing laws are sufficient. Do you support or oppose making these transparency requirements permanent through state law? \*

	Gender				Income Group					Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B		Male A	Female B
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>Total support</b> Column %	77%	84% B	71%	100%	77%	63%	83% DG	88% DG	57%	77%	57%	70% H	78% H	76% H	78% H	84% HIK	77%	82% O	64%	77%	87% Q	73%
<b>Strongly support</b> Column %	45%	52% B	39%	2%	45%	33% G	51% DG	56% DG	10%	45%	23%	37% H	46% H	44% H	47% HI	53% HI	45%	49% O	35%	45%	53% Q	42%
<b>Somewhat support</b> Column %	32%	32%	31%	98%	32%	30%	33%	32%	47%	32%	34%	34%	32%	32%	31%	31%	32%	33%	29%	32%	34%	31%
<b>Somewhat oppose</b> Column %	9%	8%	10%	0%	9%	11% F	8%	6%	30% DEF	9%	19% LM	20% JKLM	10% M	13% LM	6% M	2%	9%	6%	16% N	9%	5%	11% P
<b>Strongly oppose</b> Column %	2%	1%	3% A	0%	2%	3% EF	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	4% M	1%	2%	1%	4% N	2%	1%	2%
<b>Total oppose</b> Column %	11%	9%	13% A	0%	11%	15% EF	9%	7%	30% EF	11%	19% LM	23% JKLM	11% M	14% M	10% M	3%	11%	7%	21% N	11%	6%	13% P
<b>I'm not sure</b> Column %	12%	7%	17% A	0%	12%	22% EF	7%	5%	13%	12%	24% IJKLM	7%	11%	9%	13% I	14% I	12%	11%	15% N	12%	8%	14% P

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

South Carolina law requires state agencies to use zero-based budgeting, meaning agencies are supposed to regularly justify all of their spending from scratch, rather than simply building on last year's budget. Do you think the governor should enforce the existing law and require full implementation of zero-based budgeting? \*

	Gender				Income Group					Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
Yes Column %	63%	72% B	55% A	0% C	63%	56% G	61% G	77% DEG	22%	63%	52%	57%	62%	60%	55%	73% HIJKL	63%	66% O	54% N	63%	71% Q	59% P
No Column %	13%	11% A	15% A	98% AB	13%	18% EF	13% F	8%	12%	13%	35% JKLM	24% LM	17% LM	17% LM	10% M	4%	13%	10% P	23% N	13%	11%	14%
I'm not sure Column %	24%	17%	30% A	2%	24%	26% F	27% F	15%	66% DEF	24%	13%	19%	21%	23%	35% HIJKM	23% H	24%	25%	22%	24%	17%	27% P

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

In South Carolina, judges are screened by the Judicial Merit Selection Commission (JMSC) before being elected by the General Assembly. Some argue this system creates conflicts of interest, while others say it preserves legislative oversight. Do you support or oppose changing South Carolina's current system for selecting judges? \*

	Gender				Income Group					Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>Total support</b> Column %	58%	<b>68% B</b>	<b>50% A</b>	0%	58%	<b>52% D</b>	<b>59% E</b>	<b>67% DEG</b>	<b>41% G</b>	58%	55%	<b>61% L</b>	55%	<b>63% L</b>	<b>51% L</b>	<b>61% L</b>	58%	59%	56%	58%	61%	57%
<b>Strongly support</b> Column %	22%	24%	21%	0%	22%	21%	24%	24%	9%	22%	16%	23%	20%	<b>25% L</b>	<b>16% L</b>	<b>27% L</b>	22%	22%	23%	22%	22%	23%
<b>Somewhat support</b> Column %	36%	<b>43% B</b>	<b>29% A</b>	0%	36%	<b>31% D</b>	<b>35% E</b>	<b>44% DE</b>	32%	36%	39%	37%	34%	39%	35%	35%	36%	37%	34%	36%	39%	34%
<b>Somewhat oppose</b> Column %	14%	<b>13% D</b>	<b>15% E</b>	<b>98% AB</b>	14%	<b>17% F</b>	<b>13% F</b>	<b>11% F</b>	<b>30% EF</b>	14%	<b>26% JKLM</b>	16%	<b>15% K</b>	<b>12% L</b>	<b>14% M</b>	<b>11% M</b>	14%	13%	15%	14%	12%	15%
<b>Strongly oppose</b> Column %	4%	4%	5%	0%	4%	5%	4%	4%	2%	4%	<b>7% L</b>	<b>3% L</b>	<b>9% ILM</b>	4%	<b>2% M</b>	<b>4% M</b>	4%	4%	6%	4%	5%	4%
<b>Total oppose</b> Column %	18%	<b>16% D</b>	<b>20% E</b>	<b>98% AB</b>	18%	<b>22% F</b>	17%	<b>15% F</b>	<b>33% F</b>	18%	<b>33% IKLM</b>	<b>20% K</b>	<b>24% M</b>	<b>17% M</b>	<b>16% M</b>	<b>15% M</b>	18%	17%	21%	18%	17%	19%
<b>I'm not sure</b> Column %	23%	<b>16% A</b>	<b>30% A</b>	2%	23%	<b>26% F</b>	<b>24% F</b>	<b>18% F</b>	26%	23%	<b>11% H</b>	<b>20% H</b>	<b>22% H</b>	<b>20% H</b>	<b>33% HIJKM</b>	<b>24% H</b>	23%	24%	23%	23%	22%	24%

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLM

Which of the following methods for selecting judges do you prefer? [ROTATE] \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q	
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B		Male A	Female B	
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816	
<b>Direct election of judges by voters</b>																							
Column %	45%	47%	44%	98%	45%	43%	46%	45%	78% DEF	45%	27%	38%	40%	51% HIJ	51% HIJ	48% HI	45%	45%	47%	45%	41%	47%	
<b>South Carolina's current system involving the JMSC and the legislature</b>																							
Column %	21%	23%	20%	0%	21%	20%	20%	25%	14%	21%	33% ILM	19%	23%	22%	21%	19%	21%	22%	20%	21%	29% Q	18%	
<b>Judges nominated by the governor and confirmed by the Senate</b>																							
Column %	19%	21%	18%	0%	19%	17%	22% G	19% G	5%	19%	22% L	22% KL	22% KL	13%	12%	22% KL	19%	20%	17%	19%	20%	19%	
<b>I'm not sure</b>																							
Column %	14%	10%	19% A	2%	14%	20% EFG	13%	11%	3%	14%	17%	21% M	14%	13%	16%	11%	14%	13%	17%	14%	10%	16% P	

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ADEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

Under current law, in a case with multiple defendants, an individual defendant in a lawsuit can sometimes be held responsible for all damages, even if they were only partly at fault. A 2025 law reduced this practice for the hospitality industry. Supporters say continuing reform would make the system fairer, while critics argue it could limit compensation for victims. Do you support or oppose continuing to reform South Carolina's liability laws, so defendants are responsible only for damages equal to their percentage of fault? \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped		Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
Yes Column %	64%	68% B	60%	2%	64%	57% G	64% DG	74% DEG	32%	64%	67%	57%	63%	61%	63%	68% I	64%	67% O	54%	64%	73% Q	59%
No Column %	16%	15%	15%	98% AB	16%	16%	17% F	12%	32% F	16%	17%	30% HJKLM	17% LM	19% LM	9%	10%	16%	12%	26% N	16%	10%	18% P
I'm not sure Column %	21%	17%	24% A	0%	21%	28% EF	19%	14%	36% F	21%	16%	13%	19%	20%	28% HI	22% I	21%	21%	20%	21%	17%	22% P

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

Should a bar or restaurant be legally responsible for damages caused by someone who was not visibly intoxicated when they were served alcohol? \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q	
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816	
<b>Yes</b> Column %	24%	24%	25%	0%	24%	31% EF	23% F	17% D	16%	24%	31% L	29% L	30% LM	23%	18%	22%	24%	21%	33% N	24%	17%	27% P	
<b>No</b> Column %	61%	62%	59%	100%	61%	53% D	64% D	65% D	70%	61%	54%	64%	54% I	61%	65% J	60%	61%	65% O	50%	61%	64%	59%	
<b>I'm not sure</b> Column %	15%	14%	16%	0%	15%	15%	13%	18%	14%	15%	15%	7% I	16% I	15% I	17% I	18% I	15%	15%	16%	15%	19% Q	14%	

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: DEFIJLMNOPQ

Which approach do you think South Carolina should take when it comes to energy use by large data centers? [ROTATE] \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q	
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B		Male A	Female B	
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816	
<b>Data centers should be required to generate their own power and not rely on energy facilities funded by utility ratepayers</b>	40%	<b>37%</b>	<b>43% A</b>	2%	40%	<b>36%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>48% DE</b>	36%	40%	39%	<b>48% KL</b>	39%	<b>31%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>44% K</b>	40%	<b>43% O</b>	<b>34%</b>	40%	38%	41%	
<b>Data centers should be required to pay the costs of upgrading facilities to increase energy generation capacity</b>	39%	<b>48% B</b>	<b>30%</b>	98%	39%	37%	40%	41%	21%	39%	<b>30%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>48% HIJM</b>	<b>45% HIJ</b>	<b>38%</b>	39%	38%	40%	39%	<b>49% Q</b>	<b>34%</b>	
<b>Data centers should be allowed to use ratepayer-funded energy generation, like other large customers</b>	8%	8%	9%	0%	8%	9%	<b>11% F</b>	<b>5%</b>	2%	8%	<b>18% KLM</b>	<b>13% LM</b>	<b>13% LM</b>	<b>9% M</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>4%</b>	8%	<b>7%</b>	<b>13% N</b>	8%	7%	9%	
<b>I'm not sure</b>	12%	<b>6%</b>	<b>18% A</b>	0%	12%	<b>18% EF</b>	<b>11% F</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>41% DEF</b>	12%	13%	<b>7%</b>	<b>14% I</b>	12%	13%	<b>14% I</b>	12%	12%	13%	12%	<b>6%</b>	<b>15% P</b>	

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFHIJKLMNOPQ

Large data centers require massive and long-term electricity supplies, often driving the need for new power plants or major grid upgrades. If data centers continue to be served by state-regulated utilities, some propose requiring them to enter into long-term power purchase agreements so they help pay for the power generation needed to serve them. Do you support or oppose requiring data centers to enter into these power purchase agreements?\*

	Gender				Income Group					Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>Total support</b> Column %	76%	<b>83% B</b>	<b>70% A</b>	100%	76%	<b>66% G</b>	<b>79% DG</b>	<b>88% DEG</b>	<b>35% F</b>	76%	<b>67% H</b>	<b>71% I</b>	<b>69% J</b>	77%	<b>79% HJ</b>	<b>82% HIJ</b>	76%	78%	73%	76%	<b>88% Q</b>	<b>71% P</b>
<b>Strongly support</b> Column %	41%	<b>49% B</b>	<b>33% A</b>	2%	41%	<b>32% G</b>	<b>48% DG</b>	<b>43% DG</b>	<b>4% F</b>	41%	<b>23% H</b>	<b>36% I</b>	<b>39% H</b>	35%	<b>37% H</b>	<b>51% HIJKL</b>	41%	<b>44% O</b>	<b>32% N</b>	41%	44%	39%
<b>Somewhat support</b> Column %	36%	34%	37%	98%	36%	<b>34% F</b>	<b>31% E</b>	<b>45% DE</b>	30%	36%	<b>44% JM</b>	35%	<b>30% JM</b>	<b>42% JM</b>	<b>42% JM</b>	<b>31% JM</b>	36%	<b>34% N</b>	<b>41% Q</b>	36%	<b>44% Q</b>	<b>32% P</b>
<b>Somewhat oppose</b> Column %	8%	<b>6% F</b>	<b>10% A</b>	0%	8%	<b>10% F</b>	<b>10% F</b>	3%	<b>24% DF</b>	8%	<b>23% IKLM</b>	<b>12% LM</b>	<b>14% LM</b>	<b>10% M</b>	<b>6% L</b>	<b>3% L</b>	8%	8%	10%	8%	<b>4% P</b>	<b>10% P</b>
<b>Strongly oppose</b> Column %	3%	2%	4%	0%	3%	4%	2%	3%	0%	3%	1%	<b>5% L</b>	2%	<b>5% L</b>	<b>1% L</b>	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%
<b>Total oppose</b> Column %	11%	<b>9% F</b>	<b>14% A</b>	0%	11%	<b>14% F</b>	<b>13% F</b>	<b>6% F</b>	<b>24% F</b>	11%	<b>24% LM</b>	<b>17% LM</b>	<b>16% LM</b>	<b>15% LM</b>	<b>6% K</b>	<b>6% K</b>	11%	11%	14%	11%	<b>6% P</b>	<b>14% P</b>
<b>I'm not sure</b> Column %	12%	<b>8% EF</b>	<b>16% A</b>	0%	12%	<b>20% EF</b>	<b>8% EF</b>	<b>6% DEF</b>	<b>41% DEF</b>	12%	10%	12%	<b>15% K</b>	<b>8% K</b>	<b>15% K</b>	12%	12%	12%	13%	12%	<b>6% P</b>	<b>15% P</b>

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

The failed V.C. Summer nuclear project led to higher electricity bills for many South Carolina residents. If utilities are reviving V.C. Summer primarily to serve data centers, do you think the utility providers should be required to help offset electricity costs for residential customers? \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped						Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
Yes Column %	74%	79% BC	69%	2%	74%	64% G	76% DG	85% DEG	40%	74%	51%	66% H	69% H	77% HI	74% H	82% HIJL	74%	78% O	63%	74%	83% Q	69%
No Column %	15%	13%	17% A	98% AB	15%	21% F	16% F	7%	35% EF	15%	38% JKLM	26% KLM	19% M	13% M	15% M	6%	15%	11%	26% N	15%	10%	18% P
I'm not sure Column %	11%	8%	14% A	0%	11%	15% EF	8%	8%	24% EF	11%	11%	8%	12%	10%	11%	12%	11%	11%	11%	11%	7%	13% P

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

Between 2013 and 2023, the South Carolina Department of Transportation's budget nearly doubled. According to the Federal Highway Administration, road conditions have declined, while others say increased funding is still necessary. Should the state continue increasing DOT funding without first implementing structural reforms to better understand how transportation dollars are being spent? \*

	Gender				Income Group					Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B		Male A	Female B
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
Yes Column %	32%	36% B	28% A	98%	32%	38% EF	27% EF	30% EF	45%	32%	56% IJKLM	36% L	34% L	31% L	23% L	30% L	32%	30% N	37% N	32%	22% P	37% P
No Column %	58%	57%	59%	2%	58%	49% DG	62% DG	64% DG	36% DG	58%	32% H	58% H	54% H	59% H	66% HJ	58% H	58%	60% O	52% O	58%	69% Q	52% Q
I'm not sure Column %	10%	7% F	13% A	0%	10%	13% F	10% F	6% F	19% F	10%	13% I	5% I	12% I	10% I	11% I	12% I	10%	10% I	11% I	10%	9%	11%

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

In South Carolina, the State Department of Transportation currently owns and maintains the majority of roads and is therefore responsible for their repair. Should the state instead allow counties and municipalities to own and manage smaller roads, enabling local communities to more quickly address repairs and other infrastructure needs? \*

	Gender				Income Group					Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)			
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q	
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C	
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816	
Yes Column %	65%	69% B	61%	0%	65%	65%	62%	68%	68%	65%	61%	63%	69%	69%	63%	63%	65%	66%	62%	65%	64%	65%	
No Column %	18%	16%	19%	98% AB	18%	17%	17%	19%	18%	18%	27% KM	23% KM	16%	15%	17%	16%	18%	15%	23% N	18%	19%	17%	17%
I'm not sure Column %	18%	15%	20% A	2%	18%	18% F	22% F	13%	14%	18%	13%	14%	15%	16%	20%	21% I	18%	19%	15%	18%	17%	18%	

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABFIKMN

Some lawmakers have proposed allowing physician assistants and nurse practitioners to provide care without direct physician supervision in order to address healthcare workforce shortages. Supporters say this would expand access to care, especially in underserved areas, while critics argue that physician oversight is important for patient safety. Do you support or oppose allowing physician assistants and nurse practitioners to practice independently? \*

	Gender				Income Group					Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>Total support</b> Column %	62%	<b>66% B</b>	<b>59% A</b>	100%	62%	<b>60% G</b>	<b>62% G</b>	<b>67% G</b>	<b>37% A</b>	62%	<b>69% M</b>	<b>64% M</b>	<b>69% LM</b>	<b>71% LM</b>	<b>59% A</b>	<b>54% A</b>	62%	63%	59%	62%	62%	62%
<b>Strongly support</b> Column %	24%	24%	24%	0%	24%	<b>26% G</b>	<b>21% G</b>	<b>28% EG</b>	<b>4% A</b>	24%	28%	<b>23% A</b>	<b>27% M</b>	<b>33% IM</b>	24%	<b>19% A</b>	24%	25%	22%	24%	23%	25%
<b>Somewhat support</b> Column %	38%	<b>42% B</b>	<b>35% A</b>	100%	38%	<b>34% A</b>	<b>41% D</b>	39%	33%	38%	41%	41%	43%	39%	35%	35%	38%	38%	37%	38%	39%	37%
<b>Somewhat oppose</b> Column %	18%	<b>15% A</b>	<b>20% A</b>	0%	18%	17%	16%	21%	30%	18%	22%	15%	20%	16%	20%	18%	18%	17%	20%	18%	<b>22% Q</b>	<b>16% P</b>
<b>Strongly oppose</b> Column %	13%	12%	14%	0%	13%	14%	<b>15% F</b>	<b>9% A</b>	2%	13%	<b>5% A</b>	<b>11% J</b>	<b>4% A</b>	<b>7% A</b>	<b>10% J</b>	<b>23% HIJKL</b>	13%	13%	12%	13%	<b>9% A</b>	<b>14% P</b>
<b>Total oppose</b> Column %	31%	<b>27% A</b>	<b>34% A</b>	0%	31%	31%	31%	30%	32%	31%	<b>28% A</b>	<b>25% A</b>	<b>24% A</b>	<b>23% A</b>	<b>30% A</b>	<b>40% HIJKL</b>	31%	30%	32%	31%	32%	30%
<b>I'm not sure</b> Column %	7%	7%	7%	0%	7%	<b>9% F</b>	<b>7% A</b>	<b>4% A</b>	<b>30% DEF</b>	7%	<b>3% A</b>	<b>11% HM</b>	7%	6%	<b>11% M</b>	<b>5% A</b>	7%	6%	9%	7%	6%	8%

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

When it comes to medical malpractice laws, which do you believe is more important? [ROTATE] \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped						Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)			
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q	
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B		Male A	Female B	
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816	
<b>Protecting patients' rights to sue for medical harm</b>																							
Column %	21%	21%	22%	0%	21%	19%	19%	28% DE	11%	21%	24%	25%	17%	18%	17%	25% JL	21%	20%	24%	21%	23%	20%	
<b>Reducing healthcare costs and provider shortages</b>																							
Column %	21%	24% B	18%	98% B	21%	17%	24% D	22%	13%	21%	28% K	21%	22%	16%	24% K	20%	21%	22%	19%	21%	26% Q	19%	
<b>Both equally</b>																							
Column %	52%	52%	52%	2%	52%	54%	53%	48%	61%	52%	39%	50%	54% H	63% HIM	54% H	49%	52%	53%	51%	52%	48%	54% P	
<b>I'm not sure</b>																							
Column %	5%	3%	7% A	0%	5%	9% EF	4% F	1%	16% EF	5%	8% K	5%	7% K	3%	5%	6%	5%	5%	6%	5%	3%	7% P	

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFHIJKLMNOPQ

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a...? [ROTATE] \*

	Gender			Income Group						Age Grouped						Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)				
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q	
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816	
<b>Democrat</b> Column %	35%	28%	41% A	100%	35%	40% E	29%	38% E	24%	35%	56% IJLM	40% LM	37%	43% LM	28%	29%	35%	22%	67% N	35%	34%	36%	
<b>Independent</b> Column %	16%	18%	14%	0%	16%	18%	14%	15%	38% DEF	16%	28% IKLM	15%	18%	16%	15%	14%	16%	16%	16%	16%	18%	15%	
<b>Republican</b> Column %	48%	52% B	44%	0%	48%	41%	57% DFG	47%	26%	48%	16%	42% H	44% H	40% H	57% HIJK	57% HIJK	48%	61% O	15%	48%	47%	48%	
<b>Something else:</b> Column %	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	12% DEF	1%	0%	3% LM	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOP

Would you call yourself a... [BASE: Republican] \*

	Gender				Income Group					Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	576	295	281	-	576	171	242	158	5	576	11	76	68	72	123	226	576	524	51	576	182	394
<b>Strong Republican</b> Column %	73%	74%	72%	-	73%	73% G	68% G	84% DEG	26%	73%	65%	79%	66%	66%	74%	76%	73%	74% O	61%	73%	79% Q	71%
<b>Not a very strong Republican</b> Column %	27%	26%	28%	-	27%	27% F	32% F	16%	74% DEF	27%	35%	21%	34%	34%	26%	24%	27%	26%	39% N	27%	21%	29% P

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: DEFGNOPQ

Would you call yourself a... [BASE: Democrat] \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q	
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G		18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M		White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O		College Degree P	Without College Degree Q	
Sample Size	420	160	258	2	420	167	122	126	5	420	40	71	57	77	60	114	420	194	226	420	130	290	
<b>Strong Democrat</b> Column %	67%	71%	65%	2%	67%	75% EG	57% G	68% G	16%	67%	48%	64%	57%	69% H	74% H	76% HJ	67%	63%	70%	67%	73%	64%	
<b>Not a very strong Democrat</b> Column %	33%	29%	35%	98%	33%	25%	43% D	32%	84% DEF	33%	52% KLM	36%	43% M	31%	26%	24%	33%	37%	30%	33%	27%	36%	

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: DEF GH JK LM

## Do you think of yourself as... [BASE: Independent or something else] [ROTATE] \*

	Gender			Income Group					Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped		Education (Grouped)					
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q	
Sample Size	204	109	95	-	204	81	61	52	10	204	21	33	30	31	33	56	204	145	59	204	72	132	
<b>Close to the Republican Party</b>																							
Column %	33%	39% B	26%	-	33%	29%	22%	55% DEG	14%	33%	25%	35%	27%	30%	30%	42%	33%	41% O	13%	33%	35%	32%	
<b>Close to the Democratic Party</b>																							
Column %	26%	23%	28%	-	26%	27%	35% FG	18%	0%	26%	31%	18%	25%	27%	24%	29%	26%	22%	35%	26%	27%	25%	
<b>Strictly Independent</b>																							
Column %	41%	37%	46%	-	41%	43%	43%	27%	86% DEF	41%	44%	47%	48%	43%	46%	29%	41%	37% N	52% N	41%	38%	43%	

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: BDEFGNO

Would you describe yourself as feeling “politically homeless” today—that is, you don’t feel represented by either major party? \*

	Gender			Income Group						Age Grouped						Ethnicity Grouped		Education (Grouped)				
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>Yes</b> Column %	35%	36%	35%	98%	35%	34%	35%	38%	49%	35%	57% IKLM	42% M	46% LM	40% M	33% M	23%	35%	34%	39%	35%	39%	34%
<b>No</b> Column %	52%	53%	51%	2%	52%	51% G	53% G	51%	29%	52%	32%	45%	47% H	46% H	51% H	63% HIJKL	52%	53%	48%	52%	50%	53%
<b>I'm not sure</b> Column %	13%	11%	14%	0%	13%	15%	12%	11%	22%	13%	11%	13%	7%	14% J	17% J	13% J	13%	13%	12%	13%	12%	13%

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: GHIJKLM

## 2024 Presidential Ballot \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q	
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	
Sample Size	1,105	526	576	2	1,105	358	403	332	11	1,105	59	151	141	164	198	392	1,105	805	299	1,105	377	728	
<b>Donald Trump</b> Column %	58%	<b>66% B</b>	<b>52% A</b>	0%	58%	56%	61%	57%	50%	58%	<b>37% H</b>	<b>58% H</b>	<b>58% H</b>	<b>47% K</b>	<b>66% HK</b>	<b>62% HK</b>	58%	<b>72% O</b>	<b>21% N</b>	58%	55%	60%	
<b>Kamala Harris</b> Column %	38%	<b>32% A</b>	<b>44% A</b>	2%	38%	<b>41% E</b>	<b>34% E</b>	40%	18%	38%	<b>54% IJLM</b>	<b>39% IJLM</b>	<b>39% IJLM</b>	<b>47% LM</b>	<b>32% LM</b>	<b>34% LM</b>	38%	<b>26% N</b>	<b>71% N</b>	38%	42%	36%	
<b>Someone else</b> Column %	4%	<b>3% AB</b>	<b>5% AB</b>	<b>98% AB</b>	4%	<b>3% DEF</b>	<b>5% DEF</b>	<b>3% DEF</b>	<b>32% DEF</b>	4%	<b>9% LM</b>	4%	3%	<b>6% L</b>	<b>2% L</b>	<b>3% L</b>	4%	<b>2% N</b>	<b>9% N</b>	4%	3%	4%	

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFHIJKLMNOP

In the November 2024 election for Congress, did you vote for the Democratic candidate, a Republican candidate, or someone else in your district? [ROTATE]

\*

	Gender			Income Group					Age Grouped						Ethnicity Grouped		Education (Grouped)					
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>Republican candidate</b> Column %	52%	<b>61% B</b>	<b>44% A</b>	98%	52%	<b>43% D</b>	<b>59% DG</b>	<b>58% DG</b>	<b>24% G</b>	52%	<b>28% H</b>	<b>46% H</b>	<b>46% H</b>	<b>41% K</b>	<b>60% HIJK</b>	<b>63% HIJK</b>	52%	<b>66% O</b>	<b>18% N</b>	52%	55%	51%
<b>Democrat candidate</b> Column %	35%	<b>30% A</b>	<b>40% A</b>	2%	35%	<b>39% EG</b>	<b>30% EG</b>	<b>39% EG</b>	<b>14% G</b>	35%	<b>43% L</b>	<b>36% L</b>	37%	<b>46% ILM</b>	<b>29% ILM</b>	<b>32% ILM</b>	35%	<b>24% N</b>	<b>64% N</b>	35%	<b>40% Q</b>	<b>33% P</b>
<b>Someone else</b> Column %	4%	<b>2% A</b>	<b>5% A</b>	0%	4%	4%	4%	2%	9%	4%	<b>14% IJKLM</b>	<b>4% L</b>	<b>4% L</b>	<b>2% M</b>	<b>1% M</b>	<b>4% L</b>	4%	<b>2% N</b>	<b>9% N</b>	4%	<b>2% P</b>	<b>4% P</b>
<b>I did not vote</b> Column %	9%	<b>6% A</b>	<b>11% A</b>	0%	9%	<b>14% EF</b>	<b>7% F</b>	<b>1% DEF</b>	<b>53% DEF</b>	9%	<b>15% M</b>	<b>14% M</b>	<b>13% M</b>	<b>11% M</b>	<b>10% M</b>	<b>2% M</b>	9%	9%	9%	9%	<b>3% P</b>	<b>12% P</b>

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

How likely are you to vote in South Carolina's gubernatorial primary election this year? \*

	Gender				Income Group					Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B		Male A	Female B
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>Very likely</b> Column %	64%	71% B	59% A	2%	64%	52% G	65% DG	82% DEG	20%	64%	35%	48%	61% HI	55% H	66% HIJKL	81% HIJKL	64%	69% O	51%	64%	77% Q	58%
<b>Somewhat likely</b> Column %	21%	19%	22%	0%	21%	22% F	24% F	16%	18%	21%	34% JLM	30% JLM	18%	30% JLM	18%	12%	21%	17%	29% N	21%	17%	22% P
<b>Not very likely</b> Column %	5%	3%	7% A	98% AB	5%	8% EF	4% F	1%	50% DEF	5%	13% JKM	9% KM	5% M	4%	7% M	2%	5%	4%	8% N	5%	3%	7% P
<b>Not at all likely</b> Column %	3%	2%	4% A	0%	3%	6% EF	2%	1%	6%	3%	8% M	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%
<b>I'm not sure</b> Column %	7%	5%	8% A	0%	7%	12% EF	6% F	1%	7%	7%	10% M	9% M	12% M	8% M	6% M	3%	7%	6%	10% N	7%	2%	9% P

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

Which party's primary election are you most likely to vote in? Would you choose either the Republican or Democratic primary? [BASE: Very or somewhat likely to vote in South Carolina's gubernatorial primary] [ROTATE] \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped						Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	1,018	506	512	0	1,018	307	376	328	7	1,018	49	140	124	152	182	371	1,018	749	270	1,018	361	657
<b>Republican primary</b> Column %	54%	59% B	48% A	100%	54%	48% D	58% E	54% F	36%	54%	29% H	49% I	50% H	44% K	63% HJK	59% HIK	54%	66% O	19% A	54%	54%	53%
<b>Democratic primary</b> Column %	34%	28% A	41% A	0%	34%	40% E	28% E	36% E	33%	34%	56% IJLM	39% LM	34% JLM	49% JLM	27% KLM	28% K	34%	23% N	66% N	34%	35%	34%
<b>I'm not sure which primary I would choose</b> Column %	11%	11%	10%	0%	11%	11%	12%	9%	31%	11%	15% K	11%	15% KL	6% K	7% K	12% K	11%	9% N	14% N	11%	9%	11%
<b>I would not vote in either primary</b> Column %	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEHIJKLMNOP

GOP Gov. Ballot: Thinking ahead to the 2026 Republican primary election for Governor, if that election were held today among the following candidates, for whom would you vote? [BASE: Likely Republican primary voter] [ROTATE] \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped						Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	540	299	241	0	540	147	215	175	3	540	14	65	60	67	114	219	540	491	50	540	195	346
<b>Nancy Mace, U.S. Congresswoman</b> Column %	18%	23% B	13% A	0%	18%	15%	18%	22%	0%	18%	19%	30% KLM	16%	13%	16%	19%	18%	17% N	35% N	18%	17%	20%
<b>Alan Wilson, Attorney General</b> Column %	12%	14%	10%	0%	12%	8% B	11%	18% D	0%	12%	16%	10%	12%	12%	10%	14%	12%	12%	16%	12%	14%	11%
<b>Pam Evette, Lieutenant Governor</b> Column %	12%	12%	10%	100%	12%	11%	12%	12%	0%	12%	9%	8%	5% E	13%	10%	15% J	12%	12%	8%	12%	12%	11%
<b>Ralph Norman, U.S. Congressman</b> Column %	11%	15% B	6% A	0%	11%	13%	7% F	14% E	29%	11%	5%	13% L	16% L	14% L	3% O	13% L	11%	11%	16%	11%	15% Q	9%
<b>Josh Kimbrell, State Senator</b> Column %	4%	3%	5%	0%	4%	3%	6%	2%	0%	4%	29% IKLM	1% K	15% IKLM	1% K	1% O	2% O	4%	4%	5%	4%	3%	4%
<b>Undecided</b> Column %	43%	33% B	55% A	0%	43%	51% F	47% F	32% F	71%	43%	22%	39%	36%	47%	60% HIJM	38% O	43%	45% O	20% O	43%	40%	45%

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFHIJKLMNOPQ

Dem Gov. Ballot: Thinking ahead to the 2026 Democratic primary election for Governor, if that election were held today among the following candidates, for whom would you vote? [BASE: Likely Democratic primary voter] [ROTATE] \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped						Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)			
	Total	Male	Female	Other	Total	Less than \$50,000	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 or More	Refused	Total	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 or older	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Non-White/No Answer	Total	College Degree	Without College Degree	
		A	B	C		D	E	F			H	I	J	K	L	M		N	O		P	Q	
Sample Size	348	141	207	-	348	123	107	118	1	348	27	55	42	72	49	103	348	174	174	348	126	222	
<b>Jermaine Johnson, State Representative</b>																							
Column %	25%	30%	22%	-	25%	28%	24%	23%	0%	25%	25%	<b>38% KM</b>	33%	<b>21%</b>	20%	<b>21%</b>	25%	23%	27%	25%	23%	27%	
<b>Mullins McLeod, Charleston Attorney</b>																							
Column %	8%	10%	7%	-	8%	11%	4%	9%	0%	8%	6%	<b>17% KL</b>	<b>15% L</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	9%	
<b>Undecided</b>				-	67%	61%	71%	68%	100%	67%	68%	<b>46%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>74% IJ</b>	<b>79% IJ</b>	<b>71% IJ</b>	67%	69%	64%	67%	70%	65%	
Column %	67%	<b>60%</b>	<b>71% A</b>	-	67%	61%	71%	68%	100%	67%	68%	<b>46%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>74% IJ</b>	<b>79% IJ</b>	<b>71% IJ</b>	67%	69%	64%	67%	70%	65%	

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: AIJKLM

GOP AG Primary: Thinking ahead to the 2026 Republican primary election for Attorney General, if that election were held today among the following candidates, for whom would you vote? [BASE: Likely Republican primary voter] [ROTATE] \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped						Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)			
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q	
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B		Male A	Female B	
Sample Size	543	299	243	0	543	147	218	175	3	543	14	69	60	66	114	220	543	492	51	543	194	349	
<b>Stephen Goldfinch, State Senator</b>																							
Column %	12%	16% B	9%	0%	12%	14%	12%	12%	0%	12%	35% KLM	24% LM	24% LM	12%	6%	8%	12%	11%	23% N	12%	11%	13%	
<b>David Pascoe, First Circuit Solicitor</b>																							
Column %	8%	11% B	4%	0%	8%	7%	10%	7%	0%	8%	27% M	8%	9%	11%	6%	7%	8%	7%	23% N	8%	9%	8%	
<b>David Stumbo, Eighth Circuit Solicitor</b>																							
Column %	8%	9%	7%	0%	8%	9%	6%	9%	29%	8%	14%	11% M	19% LM	13% M	6%	3%	8%	7%	14%	8%	7%	8%	
<b>Undecided</b>																							
Column %	71%	64%	80% A	100%	71%	70%	72%	71%	71%	71%	24%	56% H	48%	63% H	83% HIJK	82% HIJK	71%	75% O	40%	71%	73%	71%	

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABHIJKLMNO

## Age Grouped \*

	Gender			Income Group					Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped		Education (Grouped)				
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>18 to 24</b> Column %	6%	3%	8% A	98% AB	6%	10% EF	4%	3%	12%	6%	100% IJKLM	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	10% N	6%	3%	8% P
<b>25 to 34</b> Column %	15%	17% B	13%	0%	15%	18% F	15%	11%	22%	15%	0%	100% HJKLM	0%	0%	0%	0%	15%	12%	22% N	15%	9%	18% P
<b>35 to 44</b> Column %	13%	14%	12%	2%	13%	13%	11%	16% E	5%	13%	0%	0%	100% HIKLM	0%	0%	0%	13%	12%	16% N	13%	15%	12%
<b>45 to 54</b> Column %	15%	14%	16%	0%	15%	13%	15%	17%	19%	15%	0%	0%	0%	100% HIJLM	0%	0%	15%	13%	21% N	15%	15%	15%
<b>55 to 64</b> Column %	18%	16%	20%	0%	18%	17%	17%	20%	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100% HIJKM	0%	18%	20% O	12%	18%	19%	17%
<b>65 or older</b> Column %	33%	36%	31%	0%	33%	29% G	38% DG	33% G	9%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33%	39% O	18%	33%	39% Q	30%	

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

Thinking about your general approach to issues, do you consider yourself to be... \*

	Gender			Income Group						Age Grouped						Ethnicity Grouped		Education (Grouped)				
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>Very liberal</b> Column %	10%	6%	13% A	2%	10%	13% E	6%	10% E	8%	10%	22% JKLM	19% JKLM	8%	8%	9%	5%	10%	7%	17% N	10%	8%	11%
<b>Somewhat liberal</b> Column %	14%	13%	14%	98% AB	14%	17%	13%	13%	10%	14%	23% LM	17% L	17% L	17% L	9%	12%	14%	11%	22% N	14%	14%	14%
<b>Moderate</b> Column %	29%	27%	31%	0%	29%	33% E	25%	28%	50% EF	29%	39% LM	27%	34% LM	40% ILM	25%	24%	29%	25%	39% N	29%	28%	30%
<b>Somewhat conservative</b> Column %	22%	25% B	19%	0%	22%	16%	28% DF	20%	17%	22%	4%	12% H	19% H	18% H	25% HI	30% HIJK	22%	26% O	10%	22%	23%	21%
<b>Very conservative</b> Column %	21%	25% B	18%	0%	21%	15%	24% D	28% DG	7%	21%	9%	16%	19%	14%	26% HIK	28% HIJK	21%	27% O	6%	21%	26% Q	20%
<b>Not sure</b> Column %	4%	3%	5%	0%	4%	6% F	4% F	1%	9%	4%	3%	10% JKM	4% M	4% M	6% M	1%	4%	3%	6% N	4%	1%	5% P

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

Which of the following are you? \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q	
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C	
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816	
<b>Male</b> Column %	47%	<b>100% BC</b>	<b>0% A</b>	<b>0% C</b>	47%	<b>35% D</b>	<b>52% E</b>	<b>57% DG</b>	<b>30% F</b>	47%	<b>24% H</b>	<b>55% IJKL</b>	<b>49% H</b>	<b>44% H</b>	<b>42% H</b>	<b>51% HL</b>	47%	47%	46%	47%	<b>59% Q</b>	<b>42% P</b>	
<b>Female</b> Column %	53%	<b>0% A</b>	<b>100% AC</b>	<b>0% C</b>	53%	<b>65% EF</b>	<b>48% E</b>	<b>43% F</b>	60%	53%	<b>73% IJKLM</b>	<b>45% I</b>	<b>51% I</b>	<b>56% I</b>	<b>58% IM</b>	<b>49% M</b>	53%	53%	53%	53%	<b>41% P</b>	<b>58% P</b>	
<b>Other</b> Column %	0%	<b>0% A</b>	<b>0% B</b>	<b>100% AB</b>	0%	<b>0% D</b>	<b>0% E</b>	<b>0% F</b>	<b>10% DEF</b>	0%	<b>3% M</b>	0%	0%	0%	0%	<b>0% O</b>	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

How many infants and children under 18 years of age live in your household today? \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped						Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>None</b> Column %	68%	70%	66%	2%	68%	70%	67%	66%	78%	68%	47%	38%	36%	53%	85%	95%	68%	71%	58%	68%	71%	66%
<b>1 to 2</b> Column %	27%	27%	26%	98%	27%	24%	29%	27%	22%	27%	53%	43%	48%	42%	15%	5%	27%	24%	33%	27%	25%	27%
<b>3 or more</b> Column %	6%	3%	8% A	0%	6%	6%	5%	7%	0%	6%	0%	19% HKLM	15% HKLM	5% LM	1% LM	0%	6%	5%	8% N	6%	5%	6%

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: AHIJKLMNO

Which of the following best describes your race or ethnicity? \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q	
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816	
<b>White, non-Hispanic</b>																							
Column %	72%	72%	72%	2%	72%	63%	76%	79%	58%	72%	53%	59%	65%	61%	81%	84%	72%	100%	0%	72%	81%	68%	
<b>Black or African American</b>																							
Column %	22%	21%	23%	98% A	22%	31% EF	19%	15%	29%	22%	42% JKLM	34% LM	27% LM	28% LM	14%	13%	22%	0%	79% N	22%	12%	27% P	
<b>Asian</b>																							
Column %	1%	2% B	0% C	0%	1%	0%	1%	2% D	3%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	5% ILM	0%	0%	1%	0%	4% N	1%	2% Q	0% R
<b>Hispanic</b>																							
Column %	2%	3%	2%	0%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	5% M	3%	3% M	3%	3%	1%	2%	0%	9% N	2%	3%	2%	
<b>Other</b>																							
Column %	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	2%	3% F	1%	2%	2%	0%	4% L	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%	7% N	2%	2%	2%	
<b>Prefer not to say</b>																							
Column %	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	7% E	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2% N	0%	1%	0%	

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

Which of the following best describes your household's total income in 2025, BEFORE taxes? \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped						Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816
<b>&lt; \$15,000</b> Column %	7%	6%	8%	0%	7%	20% EFG	0%	0%	0%	7%	26% IJKLM	10% M	8% M	7% M	6% M	2%	7%	4%	15% N	7%	1%	10% P
<b>\$15,000-\$24,999</b> Column %	5%	3%	7% A	0%	5%	14% EF	0%	0%	0%	5%	7%	8% M	3%	4%	8% M	3%	5%	4%	8% N	5%	1%	7% P
<b>\$25,000-\$34,999</b> Column %	8%	6%	10% A	0%	8%	23% EFG	0%	0%	0%	8%	12%	8%	9%	9%	9%	6%	8%	8%	8%	8%	2%	11% P
<b>\$35,000-\$49,999</b> Column %	15%	12%	18% A	2%	15%	43% EFG	0%	0%	0%	15%	15%	15%	15%	10%	11%	19% KL	15%	15%	14%	15%	7%	19% P
<b>\$50,000-\$74,999</b> Column %	19%	21%	18%	0%	19%	0%	55% DFG	0%	0%	19%	15%	23%	18%	19%	16%	21%	19%	18%	22%	19%	12%	23% P
<b>\$75,000-\$99,999</b> Column %	16%	18% B	14%	0%	16%	0%	45% DFG	0%	0%	16%	9%	13%	11%	16%	18%	20% HIJ	16%	19% O	8%	16%	22% Q	13%
<b>\$100,000-124,999</b> Column %	10%	11%	9%	0%	10%	0%	0%	36% DEG	0%	10%	4%	6%	11%	8%	13% HI	13% HI	10%	11%	9%	10%	16% Q	7%
<b>\$125,000-\$149,999</b> Column %	7%	8%	6%	0%	7%	0%	0%	25% DEG	0%	7%	5%	4%	15% HILM	11% IM	6%	5%	7%	8% O	4%	7%	15% Q	3%
<b>\$150,000-199,999</b> Column %	5%	5%	5%	0%	5%	0%	0%	18% DE	0%	5%	5%	4%	5%	2%	5%	7% K	5%	6%	4%	5%	9% Q	3%
<b>\$200,000 and over</b> Column %	6%	9% B	3%	0%	6%	0%	0%	21% DEG	0%	6%	0%	8% H	3%	11% HJM	7% H	4%	6%	7%	5%	6%	16% Q	1%
<b>I'm not sure</b> Column %	2%	1%	2%	98% AB	2%	0%	0%	0%	100% DEF	2%	3%	2% M	1%	2%	3% M	0%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%	2% P

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

Which of the following best describes your current education level? \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q	
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816	
<b>Some high school or less</b>																							
Column %	10%	8%	11%	98% AB	10%	21% EF	4% F	0%	59% DEF	10%	23% JKLM	15% JM	7%	10% M	11% M	5%	10%	9%	11%	10%	0%	14% P	
<b>High school graduate</b>																							
Column %	28%	27%	29%	0%	28%	41% EFG	29% FG	11%	5%	28%	29%	35% LM	32% M	27%	26%	24%	28%	25%	35% N	28%	0%	41% P	
<b>Associate degree (two-year post-secondary degree)</b>																							
Column %	11%	8%	14% A	0%	11%	10%	14% DF	7%	19%	11%	14% J	10%	5%	13% J	12% J	12% J	11%	11%	11%	11%	0%	16% P	
<b>Some college but no degree</b>																							
Column %	19%	17%	21%	0%	19%	18%	21%	17%	12%	19%	20%	19%	17%	17%	16%	21%	19%	19%	20%	19%	0%	28% P	
<b>Bachelor's degree</b>																							
Column %	20%	26% B	15%	0%	20%	6%	22% DG	36% DEG	2%	20%	12%	11%	19% I	19% I	26% HI	23% HI	20%	22% O	14%	20%	62% Q	0%	
<b>Master's or professional degree</b>																							
Column %	9%	11% B	7%	2%	9%	2%	7% D	20% DEG	3%	9%	2%	4%	11% HI	8%	6%	14% HIKL	9%	10% O	5%	9%	28% Q	0%	
<b>Doctoral degree</b>																							
Column %	3%	3%	3%	0%	3%	1%	2%	8% DE	0%	3%	0%	4% M	6% LM	6% LM	2%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	9% Q	0%	
<b>Prefer not to say</b>																							
Column %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

What is your current marital status? \*

	Gender				Income Group					Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)			
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q	
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B		Male A	Female B	
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816	
<b>Married</b>	Column %	52%	59% B	46%	0%	52%	27%	60% DG	76% DEG	23%	52%	13%	37% H	45% H	49% HI	55% HI	69% HIJKL	52%	60% O	33%	52%	70% Q	44%
<b>Widowed</b>	Column %	7%	5%	10% A	0%	7%	12% EF	5%	4%	4%	7%	0%	0%	2%	3%	3% I	18% HIJKL	7%	8%	6%	7%	5%	8%
<b>Divorced</b>	Column %	8%	7%	10%	0%	8%	15% EF	5%	4%	5%	8%	0%	3%	5%	11% HIJ	17% HIJM	7% HI	8%	8%	9%	8%	6%	9%
<b>Separated</b>	Column %	3%	2%	4%	0%	3%	6% EF	2%	1%	6%	3%	1%	5% M	6% M	6% M	4% M	0%	3%	2%	5% N	3%	1%	4% P
<b>Never married</b>	Column %	21%	20%	22%	100% AB	21%	35% EF	17% F	8%	47% EF	21%	73% IJKLM	40% JKLM	29% LM	20% M	14% M	4%	21%	16%	35% N	21%	13%	25% P
<b>Prefer not to say</b>	Column %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
<b>Living with partner</b>	Column %	8%	7%	8%	0%	8%	5%	10% D	6%	16% DF	8%	13% M	15% LM	12% LM	10% M	6% M	1%	8%	6%	11% N	8%	4%	9% P

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

Do you own or rent your home? \*

	Gender				Income Group						Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)		
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q	
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G		18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M		White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O		College Degree P	Without College Degree Q	
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816	
Own Column %	71%	76% B	67% A	0%	71%	55% DG	74% DG	90% DEG	39% EF	71%	42%	45%	69% HI	63% HI	80% HIJK	89% HIJKL	71%	76% O	59% N	71%	90% Q	62% P	
Rent Column %	29%	24% A	33% A	100%	29%	45% EF	26% F	10% EF	61% EF	29%	58% JKLM	55% JKLM	31% LM	37% LM	20% M	11% M	29%	24% N	41% N	29%	10% P	38% P	

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

Thinking about your religion, are you... \*

	Gender				Income Group					Age Grouped							Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)			
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q	
		Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	Other C		Male A	Female B	Other C	Male A	Female B	
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816	
<b>Protestant</b> Column %	37%	37%	36%	98%	37%	29%	41%	40%	29%	37%	23%	21%	27%	30%	35%	54%	37%	42%	22%	37%	44%	33%	
<b>Roman Catholic</b> Column %	13%	16% B	10%	0%	13%	12%	10%	19% DEG	4%	13%	4%	6%	8%	9%	16% HIJK	21% HIJK	13%	16% O	6%	13%	20% Q	10%	
<b>Mormon</b> Column %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
<b>Orthodox (e.g., Greek or Russian Orthodox)</b> Column %	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%	4% DF	1%	0%	2%	3%	3%	1%	0%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	
<b>Jewish</b> Column %	2%	2% B	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3% L	4% L	0%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%	3% Q	1%
<b>Muslim</b> Column %	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2% E	0%	2% E	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4% M	2% M	0%	1%	1% N	3% N	1%	2%	1%	
<b>Buddhist</b> Column %	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	0% DE	1%	3% DE	0%	1%	2%	2% M	1%	5% JLM	0%	0%	1%	1% N	3% N	1%	2% Q	1%	
<b>Hindu</b> Column %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
<b>Atheist</b> Column %	3%	5% B	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	0%	3%	9% JKLM	6% JM	1%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4% O	1%	3%	5% Q	2%	
<b>Agnostic</b> Column %	3%	2%	4%	0%	3%	4%	2%	3%	7%	3%	0% M	5% HLM	4%	2%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	
<b>Something else</b> Column %	22%	17% A	27% A	0%	22%	29% EF	22% F	14%	28%	22%	31% M	28% M	36% LM	30% M	24% M	9%	22%	17% N	36% N	22%	9%	29% P	
<b>Nothing in particular</b> Column %	15%	16%	15%	0%	15%	17% F	15%	12%	27% F	15%	25% JM	27% JKLM	12%	15%	16% M	9%	15%	12% N	23% N	15%	10%	17% P	

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

Would you describe yourself as a 'born-again' or evangelical Christian? [BASE: Protestant]

	Gender			Income Group						Age Grouped						Ethnicity Grouped			Education (Grouped)			
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,00 0 D	\$50,00 0 to \$99,99 9 E	\$100,0 0 or More F	Refuse d G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non- Hispani c N	Non- White/ No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q
Sample Size	438	207	229	2	438	122	176	135	6	438	16	37	41	54	76	214	438	364	74	438	169	269
<b>Yes</b>	61%	57%	65%	100%	61%	67%	57%	62%	51%	61%	58%	61%	60%	69%	64%	59%	61%	60%	65%	61%	61%	61%
<b>No</b>	39%	43%	35%	0%	39%	33%	43%	38%	49%	39%	42%	39%	40%	31%	36%	41%	39%	40%	35%	39%	39%	39%

Are you now employed full-time, part-time, self-employed, or not employed? \*

	Gender			Income Group						Age Grouped						Ethnicity Grouped		Education (Grouped)					
	Total	Male A	Female B	Other C	Total	Less than \$50,000 D	\$50,000 to \$99,999 E	\$100,000 or More F	Refused G	Total	18 to 24 H	25 to 34 I	35 to 44 J	45 to 54 K	55 to 64 L	65 or older M	Total	White, non-Hispanic N	Non-White/No Answer O	Total	College Degree P	Without College Degree Q	
Sample Size	1,200	564	634	2	1,200	419	425	336	20	1,200	72	180	156	180	216	396	1,200	864	336	1,200	384	816	
<b>Full-time</b> Column %	41%	<b>51% B</b>	<b>32% A</b>	2%	41%	<b>26% D</b>	<b>44% DG</b>	<b>58% DEG</b>	<b>16% G</b>	41%	<b>39% M</b>	<b>60% HLM</b>	<b>73% HIKLM</b>	<b>59% HLM</b>	<b>40% M</b>	<b>13% L</b>	41%	40%	45%	41%	<b>54% Q</b>	<b>35% P</b>	
<b>Part-time</b> Column %	9%	<b>6% B</b>	<b>11% A</b>	0%	9%	<b>14% EF</b>	7%	5%	1%	9%	<b>19% JKLM</b>	<b>12% J</b>	4%	8%	6%	<b>9% J</b>	9%	9%	8%	9%	7%	9%	
<b>Self-employed</b> Column %	7%	<b>7% B</b>	<b>7% A</b>	<b>98% AB</b>	7%	8%	6%	7%	<b>17% E</b>	7%	<b>9% M</b>	<b>9% M</b>	<b>10% M</b>	<b>10% M</b>	<b>7% M</b>	<b>3% L</b>	7%	<b>6% N</b>	<b>10% N</b>	7%	6%	7%	
<b>Not employed</b> Column %	12%	<b>6% B</b>	<b>18% A</b>	0%	12%	<b>21% EF</b>	7%	5%	<b>57% DEF</b>	12%	<b>30% IJKM</b>	<b>16% M</b>	<b>14% M</b>	<b>13% M</b>	<b>20% M</b>	<b>2% L</b>	12%	<b>10% N</b>	<b>17% N</b>	12%	<b>2% P</b>	<b>17% P</b>	
<b>Retired</b> Column %	31%	30%	31%	0%	31%	<b>30% G</b>	<b>37% DFG</b>	<b>24% F</b>	<b>9% G</b>	31%	<b>0% H</b>	<b>0% I</b>	<b>0% J</b>	<b>9% HIJ</b>	<b>28% HJK</b>	<b>73% HJKL</b>	31%	<b>35% O</b>	<b>18% O</b>	31%	31%	30%	
<b>I'm not sure</b> Column %	1%	<b>0% B</b>	<b>1% A</b>	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	<b>3% LM</b>	0%	1%	<b>0% LM</b>	1%	<b>0% N</b>	<b>0% N</b>	1%	<b>2% N</b>	1%	0%	1%

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ

Are you now employed full-time, part-time, self-employed, or not employed? \*

Weight Variable = Weight, Confidence Level = 95%

\* Denotes variable with statistically significant findings

■ **Significant difference within groups: ABDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ**