

Women's Equality Party Conference

26 November 2022

Title: Recognition of Intersex Rights and an end to Intersex Genital Mutilation

Proposed by: Dr David Lavelle-Hill

Proposer: Dr David Lavelle-Hill

Signatories:

Elisha Foust Anya Tremayne Toni Harrison Leisa Taylor Sarah-Helen Snow Julie Camosseto Amanda Shribman Kandiss Rilev David Clasen Caroline Hunt Stacy Hart Stella Plumbridge Claire Empson Kay Wesley Sarah Jane Pattison Jonathan Skeet Lisa Bard Rebecca Manson Jones

Cal Clarke Sarabajaya Kumar

Type of motion: Policy motion

Motion text:

- 1 The Women's Equality Party calls for: The UK Government (and devolved governments of Scotland,
- 2 Wales & Northern Ireland where appropriate) to:
- Recognise that sex is not a binary and that intersex people should be recognised in law
- Recognise that intersex discrimination is sex discrimination
- End non-essential surgery on babies and infants to force intersex people to fit into a sex binary
- Offer an apology from the relevant government bodies of state for the historical wrongs carried
 out against intersex people through Intersex Genital Mutilation
- 8 A ban on Intersex Genital Mutilation (IGM) is integral, along with the WEP's policy on ending
- 9 Female Genital Mutilation (p22 of 2015 policy document) to the WEP's call for an end to violence
- 10 against women and girls.

- 11 'Intersex Genital Mutilation: Human Rights Violations of Reproductive Anatomy', Open Society (April
- 2019), gives the figure of 2900 children per annum who have a sex imposed on them by surgical,
- hormonal or both means, at birth or thereafter. This must end, as non-essential surgery on children
- to impose a sex binary leads (like FGM) to physical and mental health issues that intersex people
- 15 face throughout their lives.
- 16 The United Nations Convention Against Torture (CAT) also considers Intersex Genital Mutilation as
- a 'serious violation of non-derogable human rights' Bauer et al (2019).
- 18 Intersex Genital Mutilation is a human rights issue:
- Surgery is carried out on babies and infants without consent, (babies and infants cannot give
 consent, parental consent is sought instead).
- Interventions are life changing and can leave a person with lifelong physical health issues.
- Interventions very often take away a person's fertility, removing their reproductive rights.
 - Interventions can have long term or permanent impacts on a person's psychological wellbeing.

Motion rationale:

23

- In the UK, intersex people have no recognition and therefore no protection in UK law. What protection
- 25 there is is based on European law. The EU believes that intersex is best addressed under sex:
- 26 'Intersex discrimination is better covered by sex discrimination rather than discrimination on the basis
- of sexual orientation and/or gender identity as it concerns physical (sex) characteristics'. (European
- 28 Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (04/15)
- 29 Discrimination on grounds of 'sex' is covered in article 21 of the EU Fundamental Rights Charter, with
- intersex covered under 'sex' in the Gender Equality Directive 2006/54/EC (para. C. ii). The only
- 31 legislation providing for intersex rights in the UK is the Scottish Offences, Aggravation by Prejudice
- Act (2009). This does see intersex as an issue but still equates it with gender identity rather than
- respecting intersex people's sex characteristics.
- The exclusion of intersex from the Equality Act 2010 left intersex people with few rights. The 2015
- WE Policy document acknowledges that sex is not binary, "WE also recognise that the binary words
- 36 "woman" and "man" do not reflect the gender experience of everyone in our country, and support the
- 37 right of all to define their sex or gender or to reject gendered divisions as they choose." This policy on
- intersex rights seeks to re-inforce WE policy with regard to intersex people and their right to full bodily
- 39 autonomy.
- As a baby or toddler it is not possible to reject sex divisions and so it is left to surgeons to discuss
- with parents surgery/treatments that will normalise intersex children into society's sexed binary.
- 42 Medics often perform irreversible surgeries and other interventions on young children without fully
- informed consent (for example reduction of the clitoris if it is seen as "too large" a procedure

compared by some survivors to female genital mutilation. Most of these surgeries are not life-saving or medically necessary – they are designed to make intersex people look stereotypically male or female as if there was only one right way to be female or male. Many of us suffer from scarring, pain, loss of sensation, frequent infections, have to undergo "maintenance surgeries" or surgeries aimed at 'fixing' problems created by previous interventions. Many of these treatments are now seen as human rights violations and torture by the United Nations and other human rights organisations.

It is therefore imperative, in keeping with the 2015 WE Policy on ending violence to women and girls, for the Women's Equality Party to recognise intersex people in law and call for a ban on Intersex Genital Mutilation. This is the only way in which the safety of children (who cannot speak for themselves) can be protected.

References:

50

51

52

53

Bauer, M; Truffer, D; Greenberry, H; Vago, D. And Hayes-Light, J. (2019) Intersex Genital Mutilations: Human Rights Violations of Children with Variations of Reproductive Anatomy, Intersex NGO Coalition UK **Fausto-Sterling, Anne** (2000). <u>Sexing the Body: Gender Politics and the Construction of Sexuality</u>. Basic Books. p. <u>53</u>. <u>ISBN 978-0-465-07714-4</u>.

Open Society (April 2019) what are Intersex Rights (<u>HTTP://www.opensocietyfoundation.org.publications</u>)